Charged Wellbore Casing Controlled Source **Electromagnetics (CWC-CSEM) for Reservoir Imaging** and Monitoring: FE0028320

Yaoguo Li **Colorado School of Mines**

THE









U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory CO2 Capture Technology Project Review Meeting August 13-17, 2018

Full Project Team

Colorado School of Mines

- Yaoguo Li
- Richard Krahenbuhl
- Andy McAliley
- Jiajia Sun

University of Utah

- Trevor Irons
- Nathan Moodie
- Brian McPherson

United States Geological Survey

Ben Bloss

New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology

William Ampomah

Collaborators

- Denbury Resources, Inc.
- Energy and Environmental Research Center (EERC)

Presentation Outline

- Project Overview
- Methodology
- Current Status
- Accomplishments
- Lessons learned
- Summary

Project Overview

Production-scale verification of CWC-CSEM as MVA technology

- Multi-phase system, fluid content change alters electrical conductivity
- Dynamic system with CO₂ injection, time-lapse monitoring
- Cost-efficient monitoring through use of existing wellbores

Integrated reservoir MVA

- Coupled simulation
- Constrained inversion
- History matched with time-lapse CWC-CSEM and production data
- Collaboration with site operator Denbury Resources, Inc.

Field site: Bell Creek, Montana

Methodology: Underlying Method

Charged wellbore casing controlled source electromagnetics

- 1. Electrical conductivity tied to reservoir fluid phase (oil / CO_2 / water)
- 2. Development and maturation at active CCS-EOR project
- 3. Constrained inversion using data from existing characterization
- 4. Static near-surface correction from transient EM data
- 5. Integration with reservoir simulation
- 6. History matching for validation

Methodology: Workflow



Methodology: CWC-CSEM Principle

- CSEM transmitters inject current through legacy borehole casings deep into subsurface
- Current flows around resistive bodies (CO₂)
- Surface measurements of E and B fields
- Time-lapse measurements to observe changes
- 4D inversion of electrical conductivity



No internal borehole access!

Methodology: CWC-CSEM Basis



Archie's Law $R_t = a\phi^{-m}S_w^{-n}R_w$ Waxman-Smits $\phi_t^m S_{wt}^n \left(\frac{1}{R_w} + \frac{BQ_V}{S_{wt}}\right)$

- R_t saturated rock resistivity
- a tortuosity factor
- φ porosity

- *m* cementation factor
- S_w saturation of water
- R_w brine resistivity
- Conductivity changes have been shown to be effective in mapping saturation in CCS settings (Yang et al., 2014)
- Archie's law and similar empirical relationships map resistivity to saturation
- Crosswell ERT requires dedicated wellbore jewelry and specialized construction

Image from: Xianjin Yang, Xiao Chen, Charles R. Carrigan, and Abelardo L. Ramirez (2014). "Uncertainty quantification of CO_2 saturation estimated from electrical resistance tomography data at the Cranfield site". In: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 27.Supplement C, pp. 59 –68. issn: 1750-5836.

Resistive CO₂ plume near charged wellbore casing



Real component Imaginary component Northing (m) 15001000 Northing (m) 15001000 1000 1000 500 500 n -500 0 -500 -500 DEasting (m) -500 "Easting (m) -1000 -1000 500 500 0 0 1000 1000 1500 1500 0 0 -500 -500 Depth (m) (m) -1000 -500 -1000 -500 Depth (m) Depth (m) -1500 -1500 -1000 -1000 -1000 -1000 -500 -500 0 0 -1500 -1500 1500 Easting (m) 500 Easting (m) 500 1500 1000 1000 500 500 1000 1000 0 0 Northing (m) Northing (m) -500 -500 1500 1500 -1000 -1000 **Real Magnitude** Imag Magnitude 7.666e-09 4.5e-5 9e-5 0.00013 1.794e-04 1.826e-09 1.6e-6 3.3e-6 4.9e-6 6.585e-06







Transmitter Scenario 1: Borehole to Surface

- Simplest configuration
- Only requires single borehole
- Rely on a conventional surface electrode



Transmitter Scenario 2: Borehole to Borehole

- Improved depth of investigation
- Current path between casings
- Less invasive, easier setup
- Requires additional access



Base Camp



- Established next to Electrode-A borehole
- Provides shelter for personnel and electronics
- Close to Denbury office

Transmitter Station



- Transmitter box, Iso-amp, Zen-receiver, Laptop
- Full transmission cycle: ~ 4-hours
- Transmission run continuously throughout each day while the receivers are moved every 4-hours to ensure that they capture a complete transmission cycle



Transmitter: Borehole Electrode

- Electrode A always
- Electrode B for boreholeborehole array
- Tx wire connected to production tubing of legacy wells
- 25 Amps; 200 Volts
- Full transmission cycle is approximately 4 hours
- Warning signs placed on the wells
- Provides direct contact with reservoir



Transmitter: Surface Electrode



- Tx Electrode B (scenario-1)
- "Layer-cake" construction
- Alternating layers of aluminum foil, soil, and a lot of salt water
- Buried at approximately1-ft depth



Receiver Box



- South-west corner of each Rx Station
- Sealed box with Zen receivers and batteries
- Connects to receiver electrodes and B-coils
- Data collected for a minimum of 4-hours for full transmission cycle
- Data downloaded with laptop before moving the Rx station to the next location



Receiver Sensors: E-Field



- Center electrode near the receiver box in the south-west corner
- Additional electrodes buried 100-m to the north and east of the center electrode in L-shape
- Vector E-field measurements



Receiver Sensors: B-Field



- Three sensors measuring horizontal and vertical components of the B-field
- Located near center receiver station
- Approximately 1-ft deep for horizontal components



Current Status

Project Year 2017: Recap

- Significant initial developments
 - Reservoir simulation modeling
 - EM modeling codes
 - Field survey planning

• Unexpected setback

- Loss of initially planned field site due to internal re-organization of site operator
- Initial field survey planning and reservoir simulations no longer valid

• Project adjustment

- New field site agreement with enthusiastic operator: Denbury Resources, Inc.
- Algorithms and procedures from initial field site in place and ready for new site

Current Status

Current Project Year

- Budget Year coincides with calendar year; Updated PMP
- Currently eight months into BY-2
- New reservoir simulation data fully available, modeling on track
- Three field surveys since last Annual Review Meeting
 - One test survey at a local ASR site in Arvada, CO: August 2017
 - Two field surveys at new CCS-EOR site: October 2017, May 2018
- Remaining for BY-2
 - Continue reservoir and EM modeling, data integration, interpretation
 - One more field campaign in BY-2: October 2018

Field campaigns

• Two completed at CCS-EOR site

Site Background

- Bell Creek Integrated EOR & CO2
 Storage Project
- Powder River Basin
- South-eastern Montana



24

Bell Creek Production

- Denbury Resources, Inc.
- 9 phases of production
- Current project is within the phase-5 production area
 - Outlined in light-green
 - Approximate 2-km x 3-km area
 - Started in Summer 2017
 - Combination of private, Denbury, and BLM lands





1.5 km

4998500

4998000

4997500

4997000

0,4996500

4996000

4995500

4995000

4994500

4994000

491000

F

CWC-CSEM Field Data





E-field Data, June 2018, Borehole-pair one (magenta line)





Easting, m







XX

23-08

495000

496000

CWC-CSEM Field Data





E-field Data, June 2018, Borehole-pair two (magenta line)













TEM Data Acquisition and Inversion



TEMBC01 Final-Smooth-NoPrior inv

- Used in static correction ullet
- Complements induction logs, which do not cover near surface
- Fast, mature, and reliable geophysical technique

Accomplishments: Reservoir Model

Reservoir Model Properties



Accomplishments: Reservoir Model

Initial Simulation Results

<u>Injection</u> Single well - 2612 Injection Rate: 2,000 MSCF/d Injection Time: 5 years Total CO₂ injected: 100,000 tons

<u>Grid</u> 174 x 188 x 14 411,152 active cells



Accomplishments: Reservoir Model

Initial Simulation Results

5 years CO_2 injection



Accomplishments: Data Integration

Reservoir model: Expanding to conductivity for EM



33



Accomplishments: Data Integration

Reservoir model: Expanding to conductivity for EM



Accomplishments: Data Integration

Reservoir model: Expanding to conductivity for EM



Accomplishments: Summary

Algorithmic and modeling developments

- All tasks on track
- Reservoir model
 - Software to link reservoir model to CWC-CSEM algorithm
 - Successful application to Bell Creek

• CWC-CSEM algorithm

- Modified to work with new reservoir model format from above
- EM simulation codes enhanced: flexibility and interoperability
- User interface for CESM code made more robust and flexible
- CESM code successfully run on high performance computing resources

Accomplishments: Summary

Dissemination of information

- Web-site development
 - multiphysics-mva.org & cwc-csem.org
 - Limited content at moment
- 2017 AIChE Annual Meeting, Presentation
 - Topical conference: Advances in Fossil Energy R&D
 - Title: Monitoring carbon sequestration using charged wellbore controlled sources electromagnetics and integrated reservoir models
- 2018 American Geophysical Union (AGU)
 - Two abstracts submitted for poster presentation
 - Reservoir simulation modeling; CWC-CSEM Field campaign at Bell Creek

38

Lessons Learned

- The need for efficient EM simulation algorithms
- The need for high performance computing facility
- The site access was a known risk, but the actual need to change the field site did consume time and energy
- Need site-specific relationship between reservoir parameters and electrical conductivity

Synergy Opportunities

- Bell Creek Field site serves as the field laboratory for previous SubTER seismic array presentation (EERC)
 - Joint inversion of seismic and EM datasets a natural opportunity
 - Overlapping survey areas of investigation
- EM methods can provide de-risking of exploration projects
- Monitoring of CO₂-EOR projects has wide application
- EM methods can enhance seismic data in karst, subsalt, and anhydrite locations where seismic interpretation may be challenging

Summary: Overall Project Status

Field site, reservoir modeling, field campaigns

- Procedures and algorithms in place for reservoir modeling and simulations
- Reservoir model expanded to electrical conductivity model
- Two field campaigns completed at Bell Creek; two remaining
- Next field data acquisition campaign: October 2018

Acknowledgements

- DOE/NETL: FE0028320
- Traci Rodosta, Kylee Rice
- Denbury Resources, Inc.
- Energy and Environmental Research Center (EERC)

Thank You!

Appendix

- Benefit to the program
- Project overview
- Methodology
- Organizational chart
- Schedule

SubTER Program Goals

1) Ensure storage permanence for injected CO₂

 [AOI-1]: Deploy and validate prototype <u>carbon storage</u> Monitoring, Verification, and Accounting (MVA) technologies in an operational field environment.

2) Advancing state of knowledge in geothermal exploration

 [AOI-2]: Identify and validate new subsurface signals to characterize and image the subsurface advancing the state of knowledge in <u>geothermal exploration</u>.

SubTER Pillars

- 1) Wellbore integrity New sensors and adaptive materials are needed to ensure sustained integrity of the wellbore environment.
- 2) Subsurface stress and induced seismicity Radically new approaches are needed to guide and optimize sustainable energy strategies and reduce the risks associated with subsurface injection.
- 3) Permeability manipulation Greater knowledge of coupled processes will lead to improved methods of enhancing, impeding, and eliminating fluid flow.
- 4) New subsurface signals DOE seeks to transform our ability to characterize subsurface systems by focusing on four areas of research: new signals, integration of multiple data sets, identification of critical system transitions, and automation.

Project Benefits Statement

- Currently, there is a lack of cost-effective tools that are able to
 - Probe to the required depths, and
 - Be sensitive to changes in the makeup of the reservoir fluids
- Responsive technologies need to be sensitive to both
 - Distribution of CO_2 within reservoir, and
 - Overburden where leakage may occur
- The proposed project is designed to address these requirements

Project Benefits Statement

The project will benefit the monitoring and tracking the fate of CO_2 in a storage site by advancing the state of art through the following three components:

- Time-lapse monitoring using <u>charged wellbore casing controlled-source EM</u> (CWC-CSEM) method
 - data are to be interpreted through constrained coupled inversions using reservoir models
 - electrical conductivity changes mapped to the reservoir properties, fluid saturations (phase)

Project Benefits Statement

The project will benefit the monitoring and tracking the fate of CO_2 in a storage site by advancing the state of art through the following three components:

- 2) Improved characterization of reservoir properties such as relative permeability and dynamic states such as fluid saturations
 - Integrate static and dynamic properties from time-lapse EM monitoring
 - Improve existing reservoir models for long-term monitoring and tracking
 - Characterize the distribution and migration of CO₂

Project Benefits Statement

The project will benefit the monitoring and tracking the fate of CO_2 in a storage site by advancing the state of art through the following three components:

3) Development of a responsive technology capable of imaging CO₂ migration within the whole overburden

Project Benefits Statement

- Proposed technology relies upon
 - Legacy infrastructure
 - Minimal hardware installation
- It will be possible to install sensors permanently with minimal additional effort
- The field site was selected in order to:
 - Validate the method at a WAG site that should provide a distinct target
 - Leverage existing efforts by DOE-NETL in this area

Project Overview: Goals and Objectives

Goals

- Production-scale verification of CWC-CSEM as MVA technology
 - Three phase system, fluid content-sensitive electrical conductivity
 - Dynamic system with WAG cycles, time-lapse monitoring
 - Low cost through use of legacy wellbores
- Integrated reservoir MVA
 - Coupled simulation
 - Constrained inversion
 - History matched with time lapse CWC-CSEM and production data

Project Overview: Goals and Objectives

Objectives

- 1. Develop software capabilities
 - 3D CWC-CSEM simulations at reservoir scale
 - Forward looking survey design, informed with reservoir simulations
 - Constrained 3D inversion with a priori reservoir knowledge and near surface statics
- 2. Development of best practice recommendations for CWC-CSEM
 - Survey frequencies
 - Data and inversion uncertainty
 - Validation through CCS-EOR production data

Methodology

Charged wellbore casing controlled source electromagnetics

- 1. Electrical conductivity tied to reservoir fluid phase (oil / CO_2 / water)
- 2. Validation at active CCS-EOR project
- 3. Constrained inversion from existing characterization
- 4. Static near surface correction from TEM data
- 5. Integration with reservoir simulation
- 6. History matching for validation

Organizational Chart / Communication Plan

Colorado School of Mines

- Project lead
- Survey design
- EM inversion/modeling lead

University of Utah

- Reservoir simulation lead
- Coupled modeling

United States Geological Survey

- Field logistics lead
- Statistical data analysis

New Mexico Tech

• History matching

Communication plan

- Bi-monthly virtual meetings (GOTO Meeting, etc.)
- Annual project meetings

Project website

- http://multiphysics-mva.org
- Outreach and collaboration

Proposed Schedule



Bibliography

No journal publications yet