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Technical Status
2) Laboratory studies of effects of geomechanics on CO$_2$ flow and transport properties in fractured rock
Rock Property Tests

- Three different rock types: concrete, sandstone and shale
- Acoustic test, permeability and porosity, Brazilian test, uniaxial compression test, specific heat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Sandstone</th>
<th>Shale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Origin</td>
<td>Type II Portland Cement</td>
<td>Williams Fork Outcrop, West CO</td>
<td>Niobrara Form. Boulder, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$, Gpa; $\nu$</td>
<td>30.0; 0.243</td>
<td>118.3; 0.142</td>
<td>49.3; 0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$; $k$, mD</td>
<td>9.56; 0.009</td>
<td>11.47; 0.349</td>
<td>6.65; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Str., MPa</td>
<td>2.878</td>
<td>4.505</td>
<td>8.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uni-Comp Str, MPa</td>
<td>37.343</td>
<td>41.457</td>
<td>54.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp. Heat, J/kg·K</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Permeability vs Effective Stress, I

- Gray Berea - fractured core with spacers on left
- Initially brine filled, displaced by sc-CO$_2$
- Differential CT scan of $S_{sc-CO2}$ in aperture (brighter colors)
- Flow is from the right to left
• $\text{scCO}_2$ effective permeability versus effective stress
• Various flow rates were used at each effective stress
• High variability occurs primarily for the lowest flow rates
• Noise - from sample, tubing, or back-pressure pump
Permeability vs Effective Stress

- Sandstone - brine permeability, then scCO₂ permeability
- Apparent permeability decreased by 10 for sc-CO₂ flow
- scCO₂ expected to be non-wetting fluid
- CT images – scCO₂ is only in fracture at low effective stress
3) Laboratory studies of CO$_2$ and brine injection induced fracturing
Brine Injected into Concrete

- Six samples; 8 in cubes with 4.5 in borehole epoxied to 3.5 in.
- Triaxial stresses were (500, 750, 1000 psi) or (1000, 1500, 2000 psi).
- Various flow rates, with 40 ml/min the most common.
- Peak pressure (fracturing first occurs) - lower at higher injection rates, incr. along with triaxial stress.
- Fracture patterns, acoustic signatures before and after injection obtained.
Sample 40

Surfaces of Sample 40 after dye and gas break-down.

Internal fracture morphology of Sample 40 after dyeing and gas breakdown.
CO$_2$ Injected into Concrete

- Twenty eight samples
- 8 in cubes with 4.5 in borehole epoxied to 3.5 in
- Various triaxial stresses: (1000<x<1500 psi), (1500<y<2250 psi), (1875<z<3000 psi),
- 10 and 40 ml/min the most common flow rates
- Samples, CO$_2$, preheated to desired temperature
- Injected CO$_2$ either gas, liquid or supercritical depending on borehole conditions
Sample 70

• Composite sample – low strength, high permeability concrete ball at core center

• Simplified version of injection into high permeability zone surrounded by low permeability sealing formation

• Initial stresses: 1250:1562:1875 psi

• Injection rate 40, raised to 100 around 900 sec

• P and S waves show delay and wave form change, indicating fracturing
Sample 70

Bore hole pressure of Sample 70

P-wave signatures measured from Faces 2 & 4 of Sample 70
Fracture profile inside sample with high permeability zone apparent.
Shale Experiments

- Five shale samples from Niobrara shale outcrop
- Shale has natural fractures; epoxy injected into fractures through the borehole to seal them
- Fluids injected: slickwater, gaseous CO$_2$, and sc-CO$_2$
- Triaxial stress values: (1100, 1600, 2100), (1200, 2100, 3000), and (1600, 2100, 2600)
- Pump rates: 40 or 80 ml/min for CO$_2$; 1 ml/min for slickwater
Shale Sample 3

Borehole temperature profile during CO₂ injection into Shale Sample 3.

CO₂ injection induced fracture planes in Shale Sample 3.
Failure Analysis

• Concrete samples 27-29, 46-56
• Actual breakdown pressure > predicted bp then tensile failure; otherwise shear failure

• Mogi-Coulomb shear failure: linear shear envelope, stress tensor invariants I1, I2.5
4) Development of CO$_2$ flow and geomechanics-coupled models for modeling fracturing growth
TOUGH2-CSM
Geomechanics

- Mean stress equation, form geomechanical equations for thermo-multi-poroelastic media, functions of P, T, mean stress
- Stress tensor component equations, P,T,MS,Sij
- Geomechanical equations added to TOUGH2 fluid and heat flow formulation
- Similar form to Darcy flow equations
- Fully implicit finite difference formulation
Caprock Failure

• Mohr-Coulomb failure – shear failure of fault or randomly fractured caprock
• Hydraulic fracturing due to pore pressure greater than minimum principal stress; also hydraulic fracture growth and extension
• Fractured media – fracture aperture correlated to permeability
• Permeability and porosity correlated to stress
Finite Difference Formulation, I

• Reservoirs conceptualized as rock strata with constant properties \((E,\nu,\alpha,\beta)\) – composite media

• Solutions to transport problems in composite media obtained from:
  - Constant property solution in each composite
  - Fluxes, primary variables continuous at interfaces

• Apply above to geomechanical formulation
Finite Difference Formulation, II

- Geomechanical equation form: \( \nabla \cdot \Psi_k = 0; k = m, zz, xz, \ldots \)
  \[
  \Psi_m = \frac{3(1-v)}{1+v} \nabla \tau_m + \bar{F}_b - \frac{2(1-2v)}{1+v} \nabla h(\bar{P}, \bar{T})
  \]

- Associate primary variables with grid block interface
- Equal fluxes at interface, from node and interface quantities
  \[
  \Psi_k \cdot \hat{n} = \Psi_{k,+} \cdot \hat{n} = \Psi_{k,-} \cdot \hat{n}
  \]

- Solve for flux and interface primary variable
  \[
  \tau_{m,+} - \tau_{m,-} + \Gamma_1(v_+, s_+) \bar{F}_{b,+} \cdot \hat{n} + \Gamma_2(v_-, s_-) \bar{F}_{b,-} \cdot \hat{n}
  \]
  \[
  \Psi_m \cdot \hat{n} = \frac{-\Upsilon_1(v_+)(h(\bar{P}, \bar{T})_+ - h(\bar{P}, \bar{T})_{+,int}) - \Upsilon_1(v_-)(h(\bar{P}, \bar{T})_-_{,int} - h(\bar{P}, \bar{T})_-)}{\Gamma_1(v_+, s_+) + \Gamma_2(v_-, s_-)}
  \]

\[
\Gamma_1(v_i, s_i) = \frac{(1 + v_i)s_i}{3(1 - v_i)}; \quad \Upsilon_1(v_i) = \frac{2(1 - 2v_i)}{3(1 - v_i)}
\]
TOUGH2-FLAC
Fracture Initiation and Growth

- Strain softening tensile behavior and softening of modulus

- Brittle to more ductile fracture behavior can be simulated by changing the strain softening characteristics

- Aperture changes with fracture propagation are related to the tensile strain normal to the fracture plane

- Permeability - cubic relation between fracture transmissivity and fracture aperture.
6) Concept and flow-mechanics coupled model validation using field data of stress measurement and/or land surface uprise
• In Salah well KB-502 double-lobe uplift pattern
• Measured using Interferometric Satellite Aperture Radar (InSAR)
• Explained from presence of a deep vertical fracture
• Four geologic layers, plus sublayers; 1 km horizontal injection well; 80 m wide vertical fracture
• Time-dependent permeability for fracture and aquifer layer to match observed pressure data
• Anisotropic geomechanical properties (fracture) approximated as isotropic in TOUGH2-CSM
Uplift Comparison

Surface uplift for Rinaldi and Rutqvist (2013) (right) and TOUGH2-CSM (left). Solid black lines are wells and white lines are fracture.
Accomplishments to Date

• Performed rock property measurements on cores made from concrete, sandstone and shale

• Measured permeability versus effective stress for fractured gray Berea and sandstone

• Performed many fracturing experiments on concrete and shale samples

• Extended TOUGH2-CSM code to calculate rock failure scenarios; modified TOUGH2-FLAC to simulate fracture initiation and growth

• Verified TOUGH2-CSM code using InSalah uplift simulation
Lessons Learned

- Using a polyimide film between the sample and sleeve helped protect the sleeve from the sc-CO2 and allowed a longer test to be performed.

- Mathematical derivations that include physical principles perform better than those based on mathematics alone.
Synergy Opportunities

• Project entails laboratory studies of rock deformation and fracturing and development of coupled geomechanical models for rock deformation and fracturing

• Rock property data obtained elsewhere can enhance our research efforts; rock property data obtained here could enhance other research efforts

• Our geomechanical models could be applied to other research efforts; other geomechanical models could suggest enhancements of ours
Project Summary

• We have a large amount of results from the experimental portion of the project

• We have modified our numerical models to simulate injection induced property changes

• We are completing the remaining work, namely the experiments, model validation, and application to the field
Appendix
Benefit to the Program

- Laboratory studies of rock deformation, fracturing with coupled geomechanical modeling to quantify effects of geomechanics and flow on safe and permanent geological storage of CO$_2$

- Understanding of geomechanical effects on CO$_2$ flow and storage in fractured reservoirs; develop modeling tools for assessment of CO$_2$ geo-storage systems

- Technology developed in project will contribute to our ability to predict CO$_2$ storage capacity in geologic formations to within ±30 percent
Project Overview: Goals and Objectives

- Understanding and correlations for injection pressure induced geomechanical effects (rock deformation, fracturing) on CO$_2$ storage systems, through lab experiments

- Incorporate above into simulators (TOUGH2-CSM and TOUGH-FLAC) to model CO$_2$ injection induced rock mechanical processes associated with CO$_2$ storage in reservoirs

- Quantify flow, storage, and potential leakage pathways; develop remediation measures when needed
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Table 1. Baseline Schedule/Timeline – degree of task completion is shown in black.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Management and Planning</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2: Development of correlations of CO₂ injection induced rock property variation by experiments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.1: Obtaining rock cores and rock preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.2: Permeability versus effective stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.3: scCO₂ fracture permeability versus stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3: Development of understanding and correlations of CO₂ injection inducing fractures by experiments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.1: Fracture initiation using brine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.2: Fracture initiation using CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.3: Fracture propagation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4: Development of CO₂ flow and geomechanics-coupled models for modeling fracture growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.1: Constitutive correlations for fracture initiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.2: Calculate stress tensor components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.3: Simulate fracture initiation and growth (TOUGH2-CSM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.4: Simulate fracture initiation and growth (TOUGH2-FLAC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.5: Verification of TOUGH2-CSM and TOUGH-FLAC for fracturing modeling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Gantt Chart, continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 5: Incorporation of CO₂ injection enhanced property and fracturing correlations/models into reservoir simulators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 5.1: TOUGH2-CSM stress-dependent fracture permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5.2: TOUGH2-FLAC stress-dependent fracture permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5.3 Verification of TOUGH2-CSM and TOUGH-FLAC injection-induced property changes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 6: Concept and flow-mechanics coupled model validation using field data of stress and rock deformation measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.1: Validation of model for stress induced permeability changes in single fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.2: Validation of model for fluid driven fracture propagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.3: Validation against deep fracture zone opening and surface uplift at In Salah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.4: Application of models to a generic large-scale sequestration site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 7: Development and application of advanced modeling and optimization schemes and integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 7.1: Inverse modeling model and optimization scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7.2: Validation of the coupled model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bibliography, I


Bibliography, II
