the Energy to Lead

## High Energy Systems for Transforming CO<sub>2</sub> to Valuable Products

#### DOE Contract No. DE-FE0029787

Osman M. Akpolat, Howard Meyer

Gas Technology Institute (GTI)

Theodore Dibble SUNY-ESF

NETL CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Technology Project Review Meeting, Pittsburgh, PA, August 13-17, 2018



- Background Information
- Technical Approach Discussion
- Progress and Current Status
- Modelling Results
- Plans for Future



#### **Introduction to GTI**

- Research organization, providing energy and environmental solutions to the government and industry since 1941
- Facilities:18 acre campus near Chicago





#### **High Energy Systems for Transforming CO<sub>2</sub> to Valuable Products**









- **Funding**: Federal: \$799,997, Cost-share: \$206,000, Total: \$1,005,997
- Objective: Develop a direct electron beam synthesis process to produce valuable chemicals such as acetic acid, methanol, and carbon monoxide, using carbon dioxide captured from a coal-fired power plant and natural gas.



## **Advantages Over Traditional Processes**

- Current technology for the commercial production of acetic acid, methanol, and carbon monoxide requires:
  - High temperatures and pressures
  - Expensive catalysts in multiple process steps
  - High capital and operating costs
- The DEBS process uses high-energy electron beams to break chemical bonds, allowing production of the desired chemicals at near-ambient pressure and temperatures
- Valuable chemical production by DEBS technology applied to CO<sub>2</sub> captured from coal-fired power plant:
  - 1. Lower cost
  - 2. Low pressure / low temperature
  - 3. More energy-efficient
  - 4. Have reduced emissions



#### **Project Description**





#### **DEBS Process for Post- and Pre-combustion**



#### **Electron Beam Fundamentals**

**500keV & 15mA E-Beam:** E-Beam power = 7500 watt (7500 J/sec)

Each electron will have: 8 x 10<sup>-14</sup> J of energy

**E-Beam will have:** 9.3633 x 10<sup>16</sup> electrons per second

Bond dissociation energy (kJ/mol):

C-H 337.2 C-O 1076.5

Each electron has the potential to achieve ~100,000 interactions



### **Industrial Accelerator Design (linear)**

Voltage – Controls how FAR the electrons will go Current – Controls how MANY electrons there will be



Maximum efficiency occurs when electron beam deposition depth is equal to reactor depth.

### **Monte Carlo Simulation of Electron Trajectories**

- Each electron enters the reactor with a given energy, and its trajectory is followed until it comes to rest or exits the reactor.
- To simulate a beam, the process is repeated for a large number of electrons.
- Secondary electrons are generated and tracked within the "fast secondary" model.
- Reactor should be sized to utilize the active



Electron trajectories in a reactor vessel



The luminance of nitrogen in air within radiation field area



electrons.

## **Technical and Economical Challenges**

Technology Challenge: Delivering maximum e-beam dose while maintaining very short residence time

- Prepared multiple different reactor geometries
- IBA performed Monte Carlo calculations
- Reactor designed to maximize e-beam utilization inside the reactor

#### Technology Challenge: Determining which products are more probable

- SUNY developed a preliminary kinetic model to follow over 1600 reactions during irradiation
- The model uses thermodynamic properties for over 200 compounds
- Preliminary results are available for experimental design



#### **Experimental Design & Key Experimental Parameters**



- E-Beam dose, (kJ/gm)
- Gas residence time in beam and off beam (ms)
- E-Beam energy: 300-500 keV
- Use of a promoter, such as carbon monoxide
- Use of catalyst(s) to promote desired reactions

### **Limitations of Experimental Approach**

- Reactor size constraints:
  - 1. Size of Ti-window affects E-Beam dose in the reactor
  - 2. Volume of reactor affects residence time
- Duration of experiment to collect enough condensate

#### **Project Task Plan and Schedule**

#### BP1:

- Design and construct a DEBS reactor and a testing unit
- Shakedown DEBS testing unit and calibrate analytical diagnostic equipment
- Transport the testing unit to IBA BP2:
- Run parametric testing
- Develop a kinetic model based on the collected data
- Perform life cycle analysis, technology gap analysis, and economic analysis



Period of Performance	Budget Period 1	Budget Period 2
05/17-04/19	05/17-10/18	11/18-04/19



#### **Progress and Current Status**

- Based on availability of resources, IBA-Industrial decided not to participate in project
- Other particle accelerator facilities have been identified as alternatives
- BP1 is extended from 1/31/18 to 10/31/18





### **Progress and Current Status**

- Worked with IBA to design the reactor to deliver enough beam energy with very short gas residence time
- Fabricated reactor based on final design
- Started test skid construction
- Work is on hold during new accelerator facility search



- Preliminary Kinetic model finished, ran initial conditions
- Model corrected based on an initial assessment of results

#### **Electron Beam Reactor**









#### Goal: predict the best operating conditions

• Over 200 species, 1600 gas-phase reactions

By simulating all the reactions, a kinetic model could determine:

- Energy conversion efficiency (G value)
- Which reactions contribute most to production/loss of products



#### G value:

- is the number of specific molecules generated per 100 eV absorbed
- indicates how efficiently the electron beam energy has been used





#### **Radiolysis Reactions:**

- $CH_4 \rightarrow CH_3^+ + H + eh^-$
- $CO_2 \rightarrow CO_2^+ + eh^ CO_2 \rightarrow C^+ + 2O + eh^-$

eh<sup>-</sup> : high-energy electrons et- : thermal electrons

 $eh^{-} + X \rightarrow et^{-} + X$ 

#### **Gas-phase reactions:**

1. Electron attachment:

 $(et^- + H + M \rightarrow H^- + M)$ 

2. Ion-neutral reaction:

 $(CH^+ + CH_4 \rightarrow C_2H_3^+ + H_2; C^+ + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_2^+ + C)$ 

3. Neutral-neutral reaction:

 $(H + CH_4 \rightarrow CH_3 + H_2; OH + CH_4 \rightarrow H_2O + CH_3)$ 

4. Cation-anion reaction:

$$(C^- + O^+ \rightarrow C + O; O^- + H_3O^+ \rightarrow H + O + H_2O)$$



## **Energy Conversion Efficiency**

#### G Values vs CH<sub>4</sub> fraction (Ambient, CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> mix, constant dose rate 11.8 kGray/sec)



- Initial gas composition influences G value
- Model discrepancy at low CH<sub>4</sub> fraction
- \* Experimental values are from Arai H et al. 1982

\*Ref: Arai, H. et al., "Electron Beam Radiolysis of CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> Mixtures,", Zeitschrift fur Physikalische Chemie Neue Folge, Bd. 131, S. 69-78 (1982)

# **Energy Conversion Efficiency (cont.)**

G values at 1:1 CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ratio, 11.8 kGray/sec vs E-Beam residence time



- At certain point, G value no longer changes due to side-reactions
- The result shows G values of H<sub>2</sub>, methanol and acetic acid peak at 0.5 sec.
- Model predicts low residence time

## **Energy Conversion Efficiency (cont.)**

G value at 1:1 CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ratio vs E-Beam dose rate



- Variation in G value exists, but relative small
- Model predicts linear scale-up

#### **Plans for future testing/development**

- Finish reactor and testing skid fabrication
- Begin testing with new accelerator facility
- Kinetic model verification
- Techno-economic analysis
- Scaling up accelerator and reactor is not expected to be an issue:
  - 1. Available beam coverage from existing equipment is large
  - 2. Multiple accelerators can be connected to increase beam coverage if necessary





- Objective is to develop a commercially viable non-equilibrium process that breaks bonds directly unlike conventional chemistry that requires heating the entire molecule
- E-Beam reactor designed and constructed to maximize e-beam utilization
- Irradiation of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> mixture has been modeled for over 200 compounds with over 1600 reactions
- Conversion energy-efficiency peaks at 1:1 CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ratio for methane and acetic acid
- Model predicts low residence times and linear scale-up







### **Acknowledgements**

#### Financial Support



DOE NETL

Bruce Lani

Lynn Brickett

SUNY-ESF

**Dr. Theodore Dibble** 

 IBA-Industrial Rick Galloway



NATIONAL

OLOGY



#### **Disclaimer**

This presentation was prepared by Gas Technology Institute (GTI) as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither GTI, the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.