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Hydraulic Fracturing Test Site #2: Project Overview

- Field-based hydraulic fracturing research program in west Texas, Permian (Delaware) Basin
- Public-private partnership with NETL and multiple industry partners providing financial support
- Site host to be Anadarko and Shell – Joint effort
- $20+ million of new hydraulic fracturing research.
- Advanced diagnostics including coring through hydraulically fractured reservoir, fiber optics, pressure monitoring, proppant quantification, etc.
- Goal is to define/mitigate environmental impact and optimize HF and well spacing.
Public Private Partnership

- Leveraged investment in a dedicated, controlled field experiment
  - Access to producing and science wells explicitly designed for hydraulic fracturing diagnostics, environmental monitoring, data collection and technology testing
  - Use of multiple near-well and far-field diagnostics and verification with through fracture cores
  - Access to many subject matter experts
  - Early adoption of learnings by industry participants – technology transfer
  - Balanced science and practical issues

- Data available to public upon expiration of confidentiality period
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Subcontractors
- Bureau of Economic Geology
- Desert Research Institute
- Stanford SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory
Current Status & Timing

• Funding by U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory (DOE/NETL) awarded to GTI on 3/9/18
  – DOE share: $7,799,052
  – Performer share: $12,590,025 towards minimum scope of work
• Five of the eight well test program have already been drilled and none are completed
  – Remaining three wells will spud in November 2018
• Wells schedule to be completed by June 2019
• Finalizing site agreement with Anadarko – Participation agreements will follow immediately
Overview of the Permian Basin
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Stratigraphy Across the Permian Basin
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HFTS Locations – Significant Geologic and Geomechanical Differences

- There is ~150 miles between the basins, which are separated by a central basin platform creating different geologic settings.
- Vertical depth of Delaware basin is deeper – double in some cases to that of the Midland basin.
- Provenance and burial history of the sediments is different resulting in different geomechanical properties of the rock.
- Fracture height growth is likely markedly different between the two areas with very little agreement amongst industry as to the created hydraulic fracture height.
- Pore pressure in the Delaware is higher and in some areas double that of the Midland basin (.70 to .75 in Delaware)
- Higher GOR in the Midland
- Significant difference of opinion as to HF job design in the Delaware
Test Site Location

Study Area
Anadarko/Shell Wells in Loving Co. TX
Development/Diagnostics Plan

## Diagnostic Plan – Tier 1

~$20 Million – 8 Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characterize the SRV</th>
<th>Pre-Completion, Geological &amp; Petrophysical Characterization</th>
<th>Production Analysis &amp; SRV/DRV Evolution</th>
<th>Characterization of the Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Microseismic monitoring in Thresher 16H, Boxwood 3H and pilot well | Vertical whole core in the pilot well from BS3 through Upper Delta  
  - Advanced mudlogging  
  - Routine core analysis  
  - Geomechanical analysis  
  - CMR/NMR  
  - Quad combo with OBMI and dipole sonic | Geochemistry on pilot well core chips | DAS/DTS fiber in Boxwood 3H, 4H and pilot well |
| Obtain whole core on 1st slant well adjacent to Boxwood 3H (Lower Middle Beta) through 3-4 stages based on diagnostics captured during completions (~500 – 1,000’ of core) |  | Time lapse geochemistry on Boxwood 2H, 3H and 4H | Varied completions designs testing the impact of water volumes on SRV development |
| DFIT in the toe of all wells |  | Surface PVT from all producing wells in the test | Completion fluid tracing |
| Lateral Quad combo with OBMI logs in Boxwood 2H, 3H, and 4H |  | Permanent downhole pressure gauges in all producing wells in the test. External pressure gauge at the heel and at the toe of the Boxwood 3H and 4H |  |
Diagnostic Plan – Tier 2+
$35+ Million – 18 Participants

**Characterize the SRV**
- Obtain whole core from a 2nd slant well adjacent to Boxwood 4H (W2 Alpha) through 3-4 stages
- Sidetrack 1st and/or 2nd slant wells to further sample the extent of the frac dimensions
- Tiltmeter on pilot well

**Pre-Completion, Geological, and Petrophysical Characterization**
- Intense geomechanical sampling and analysis outside of landed zones
- Cased hole logs in Boxwood 1H

**Production Analysis & SRV/DRV Evolution**
- TEC line with bottom hole pressure gauge on pilot well
- Install casing on slant wells and install isolated bottom hole pressure gauges
- Time Lapse PLTs on Boxwood 3H and 4H

**Characterization of the Completion**
- Proppant tracing
- Seven external isolated pressure gauges on pilot well from BS3 through Upper Delta
- Downhole camera on Boxwood 3H to measure perforation hole size after the completion
Field Testing Upgrades

• Will test up to 5 horizons simultaneously at HFTS #2. Tested only 2 at HFTS#1.

• Dedicated vertical observation well

• Delaware wells flow longer than Midland due to higher pressure, provides opportunity to run production logs if fiber does not provide enough resolution

• Will monitor HFTS#1 EOR experiment and plant seed for similar program in the Delaware if enough industry interest

• Slant core well learnings – more core
Diagnostic Learnings from HFTS 1 and Upgrades

- Increase colored proppant from 5 to 20% in offset treatment wells. While we saw colored proppant in the core, there was not enough of it to conclusively tell where it came from or if it was a specific color.
- Explore adding smaller colored proppants which will travel further out.
- Plan to sample core sludge and test for chemical tracers (oil and water) pumped in offset treatment well. We were able to detect chemical tracers in core sludge, however the samples were already washed during proppant analysis and only a couple samples were available for this testing.
- Will not do cross-well seismic (cost to benefit was low)
- Install fiber and measure fluid injection and production points. Was not able to this in HFTS 1.
Project Progress and Major Milestones

- Secure Funding and Test Site
- Design Testing Program
- Field Data Acquisition & Diagnostics
- Slant Core Well
- Data Analysis & Integration
- Initial Confidentiality Period Expires

2018
- Initial DOE Award
- Selected test site host
- Go/No-go Decision Point

2019
- Spud vertical well
- Finish drilling all horizontal wells
- Frac and diagnostics
- Slant core well

2020

2021
Accomplishments to Date

– Executed DOE contract
  • Submitted PMP, DMP, and SOPO
– Secured field test site, jointly hosted by Anadarko and Shell
  • Large background data package
– Hosted project industry outreach meeting in Houston, over 60 people attended
– Hosted a dedicated HFTS#2 booth at the URTeC conference
– Developed a preliminary diagnostic SOW based on test well package, tiered budget approach
– Industry sponsors, some signed, multiple others in contract negotiations (Participation Agreement), about 2 dozen interested
Lessons Learned: Carried over from HFTS #1

- Public Private Partnership’s leverage funding and expertise, and allow for fast dissemination of learnings and technology adoption.
- Significant planning required for complicated experiments (core well).
- Outreach to the consortium and teamwork among the consortium was essential for the successful execution of the project.
- No EHS incidents, cored 598’ in 6 runs with 99.4% recovery.
- Significant sharing of data and analyses amongst the team.
- Significant learnings to date, many more to come - Data will be looked at for years if not decades; data integration task needs to be defined.
- Each shale is different, multiple test sites needed across U.S.
Synergy Opportunities

• Collaborate with other NETL field test sites; in the Marcellus, EagleFord, HFTS #1, etc.
• NETL Long wave seismic measurement
• NETL core analysis
• NETL emissions van
Project Summary

- Test site to be jointly hosted by Anadarko Petroleum and Shell E&P
  - 8 well package in Loving county TX
- Substantial Anadarko/Shell E&P existing proprietary hydraulic fracturing and well performance data sets available for participants – value significantly exceeds the $1.5 million participation fee
- Significantly enhanced diagnostic and experimental design compared to HFTS#1 in South Midland Basin
- Support from Shell’s large instrumentation history
- Desire to leverage other companies’ experiences
- Unique opportunity for extremely robust integrated data acquisition
Appendix

– These slides will not be discussed during the presentation, but are mandatory.
Benefit to the Program

- The research project is focused on **environmentally prudent development of unconventional resources & enhanced resource recovery**.
- The HFTS#2 is a collaborative, comprehensive hydraulic fracturing diagnostics and testing program in horizontal wells at a dedicated, controlled field-based site. The program emulates the field experiments DOE/NETL and GRI performed in vertical wells in the 1990s (Mounds, M-Site, SFEs). Technology has since advanced into long horizontal, multi-stage shale wells creating a new set of challenges and unanswered questions. HFTS will conduct conclusive tests designed and implemented using advanced technologies to adequately characterize, evaluate, and improve the effectiveness of individual hydraulic fracture stages. Through-fracture cores will be utilized to assess fracture attributes, validate fracture models, and optimize well spacing. When successful, this will lead to fewer wells drilled while increasing resource recovery.
Project Overview
Goals and Objectives

- The primary goal of the HFTS is to minimize current and future environmental impacts by reducing number of wells drilled while maximizing resource recovery.

- Objectives
  - Assess and reduce air and water environmental impacts
  - Optimize hydraulic fracture and well spacing in a multi horizon stacked pay resource
  - Improve fracture models
Gantt Chart

Phase I: PREPARATORY WORK

Task 1: Project Management and Planning
Task 2: Site Selection
Task 3: Data Management Plan & Background Data Collection
Task 4: Field Data Acquisition Plan, Go/No-Go Decision

Phase II: PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

Task 5: Field Data Acquisition, Site 1
Task 5: Field Data Acquisition, Site 2
Task 6: Site Characterization
Task 7: Hydraulic Fracturing Design – Lead by Jordan Ciezobka, GTI with input from all
Task 8: Seismic Attribute Analysis – Lead by Dr. Debotyam Maity, GTI with input from all
Task 9: Fracture Diagnostics – Lead by Jordan Ciezobka, GTI with input from all
Task 10: Stress Interference Effects on Hydraulic Fracturing – Dr. Mukul Sharma
Task 11: Microbial Analysis – Dr. Al Darzins, GTI
Task 12: Environmental Monitoring and Produced Water Assessment, Dr. Tom
Task 13: Developing and Calibrating Complex Fracture Models – Lead by GTI
Task 14: Validate Fracture Diagnostic Tools – Lead by GTI with support from all.
Task 15: Project Management, Analysis, Integration, and Coordination – GTI

Critical Path Milestones
Milestone 1 - Project Management Plan Approval
Milestone 2 - Test Site Selection
Milestone 3 - Launch Data Sharing Platform
Milestone 4 - Completion of Field Data Acquisition Plan
Milestone 5 - Commencement of Field Data Acquisition Site #1
Milestone 6 - Completed Earth Model for Site #1
Milestone 7 - Commencement of Field Data Acquisitions Site #2
Milestone 8 - Completed Earth Model for Site #1
Milestone 9 - Conclusion of Field Data Acquisition Site #1
Milestone 10 - Conclusion of Field Data Acquisitions Site #2
Milestone 11 - Calibrating Complex Fracture Models
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