Intermediate Temperature Proton Conducting Fuel Cells



S (Elango) Elangovan

Dr. Mukund Mukundan, Dr. Yu Seung Kim (Los Alamos National Lab.) Nilesh Dale (Nissan Technical Center North America) July 20, 2016

> 17th Annual SOFC Project Review Meeting Pittsburgh, PA

Project Objectives

- Develop a proton conducting fuel cell that operates at 200 – 250 °C
 - Mid-Temp and Low Relative Humidity
 - Simplification of Balance of Plant
 - Reduction of significant portions of the Balance of Plant cost
 - Benefits
 - fuel flexibility
 - potential use of non-Pt catalyts
 - higher quality waste heat









Project Team

Team Member		Project Role	
Ceramatec, Inc.		Prime	
Location: Salt Lake City, UT		Materials Scale up Stack Testing	
Focus:	Ion conducting ceramics Electrochemistry Advanced Materials		
Los Alamos National Lab.		National Lab Partner	
Location: Los Alamos, NM		Materials Development, Synthesis, & Characterization MEA optimization and Testing	
Nissan Technical Center North America		Commercialization Partner	
Location: Farmington Hills, MI		Cell validation System Modeling Requirement Definition	







Project Target

- Fuel Cell Testing using Tin Pyrophosphate based membrane
 - Demonstration of 25 50 cm² fuel cell
 - Initial target: 0.5 W/cm² at 200° 250° C,
 - relative humidity < 5%</p>
 - Low Pt loading
 - Target revised to 0.8 W/cm²









FCEV System-Level Modeling Mid-Temp FCEV System Cost Estimation



<u>Major Cost Saving</u> <u>component/system *</u>

- 1. Air Handling
 - ✓ Compressor
 - ✓ Expander
- 2. Water/ Heat Recovery
 - ✓ Humidifier
 - ✓ Radiator
 - ✓ Coolant Loop



* Compared to conventional FC system



PROTON CONDUCTOR SELECTION









Intermediate temperature proton conducting electrolytes

- Each type of Fuel Cell has an operating temperature regime limited by the employed electrolyte
 - SOFC (700-1000°C)
 - DMFC (50-120°)
 - PAFC (150-200°C)
 - PEMFC (50-100°C)
- High temperature operation favors kinetics and alleviates water management difficulties
- Low temperature operation favors reduced assembly cost and improved durability
- Limited electrolyte materials available to bridge technologies in intermediate temperature range (100-400°C)







7

Prior Publication – Indium Tin Pyrophosphate (ITPP Fuel Cells and Composite Membranes)





Conductivity of $In_{0.1}Sn_{0.9}P_2O_7$ with varying P:M

- High proton conductivity at Intermediate temp. in anhydrous condition reported for In-doped Sn pyrophosphates
- Inconsistent reproducibility in conductivity reported

Composition optimization for reproducible, high conductivity - LANL

Batch scale up and high conductivity - Ceramatec

- ✓ Conductivity of nominal material (2.02 P:M) is *negligible* at 250°C.
- ✓ P/M > 3; $\sigma \approx 10^{-1}$ Scm⁻¹

Kreller, C.R.; Wilson, M.S.; Mukundan, R.; Brosha, E.L.; Garzon, F.H. *ECS Electrochemistry Letters* 2013; 2(9): F61-F63.







Stability of Conductivity



ITPP-3.2 P:M 200°C









MEMBRANE FABRICATION









Large Area Membrane Fabrication (LANL)

Material difficult to sinter and retain high P/M

Made a polymer-phosphate composite

















Ceramatec Membrane and MEA



- Dense 80 µm membrane
- High OCV ~ 0.96 V









Enhanced Proton Conductivity of Dense Composite Membrane





- Composite membranes cast process improvement for dense structure and to maintain the desired ductility.
- Membrane with higher density improved the proton conductivity of TPP/PF composite membrane.
 - Max. proton conductivity; ~220 mS/cm in the range of 210 - 240 °C









14

MEA TESTING









Low Pt Loading ($0.2 \text{ mg}_{Pt}/\text{cm}^2$) Under No Humidification (H_2/Air)



Power densities 235 & 310 mW/cm² at 10 & 30 psig back-pressure









Low Temperature Fuel Cell Performance Under No humidification.



This type of cell operates efficiently in the temperature range 80 – 220 °C without humidification.









Performance of 5cm² and 25cm² cells



SYSTEM MODELING









FCEV system modeling in Matlab for intermediate temp operation





Three main loops: Air loop, coolant loop and H_2 loop.

Typical inputs: Net power (80kW), Peak current density, anode and cathode stoichiometry,

active area (400 cm²), coolant max temperature (120 °C), Stack pressure (200 kPa)

Typical outputs: Stack heat generation, Q/dT (radiator parameter), Number of cells in stack,

Coolant pump power, Compressor power, expander power

Cost benefit analysis for intermediate temperature operation performed using this system model and fuel cell data









Example of system simplification Low Temp FC operation Low Temp Hot ges exhaust + Liq water Humidifier Intercoolar Damister Compasse hot si Exhaust Atm Al Moto Compresso Expander Mid- Temp FC operation High Temp Hot gas exhaust Compressed hot air Exhaust Atm Air Motor Expander Compressor

System simplification by eliminating the components

FCEV system Cost savings for intermediate temp operation

Main Cost saving Components:

- 1. <u>Radiator</u>: Reduced number of radiators
- 2. Humidifier: Eliminated.
- 3. Cathode Intercooler: No need. Eliminated
- 4. Demister: No need.
- 5. Expander: Less parasitic losses; indirect impact on cost
- □ In general, compressor and humidifier cost is major part of the BOP system.
- Operating stack at peak current density, lower pressures (with better catalyst technology), lower/no humidity, higher temperature and reducing parasitic losses can reduce the BOP cost significantly.









FCEV system cost benefit analysis for intermediate temp operation

Preliminary cost estimation is based on 10,000 vehicles/yr Fixed cost saving due to Mid-temp and no/low RH operation

Component	Cost saving \$/system	Cost Saving \$/kW _{net}	Cost saving path
Radiator*	~\$120	~\$1.5	By eliminating one radiator
Humidifier	~\$420	~\$5.25	By eliminating the humidifier
Intercooler	~35	~\$0.43	By eliminating the intercooler
Demister	~22	~\$0.27	By eliminating Demister
Total	~\$597	~7.45	



Main cost saving comes from Stack cost reduction due to increase in power density (reduction in number of cells)

- For use as an automotive application, stack performance (power density) need significant improvement
- Intermediate temp operation and improved stack performance can result in maximum cost saving





Summary

- Demonstrated Performance:
 - ✓ Low humidity
 - ✓ 600 mW/cm² (with oxygen); 325 mW/cm² (with air) compared to prior work using this membrane ~ 200 mW/cm²
 - ✓ Scale up to 25 and 50 cm² cell in progress
 - + CO tolerance test planned
 - + Working towards new target: 800 mW/cm²
 - Additional power density increase needed to realize maximum cost savings in automobile application







Acknowledgement

ARPA-E Team

Paul Albertus (Previously John Lemmon) Scott Litzelman Sven Mumme

The information, data, or work presented herein was funded in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy (ARPA-E), U.S. Department of Energy, under Award Number DE-AR0000314

Project Duration: Feb 2013 – March 2017







