Modeling Long-term Creep Performance for Welded Ni-base Superalloy Structures for Power Generation Systems

Chen Shen¹, Monica Soare¹, Vipul Gupta¹, Shenyan Huang¹, Pengyang Zhao², Yunzhi Wang²

¹ GE Global Research; ² Ohio State University

Acknowledament: This presentation is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory under Award No. DE-FE0024027.

Disclaimer: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employed, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacture, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Imagination at work.

2016 NETL Crosscutting Research and Rare Earth Elements Portfolios Review Meeting, Pittsburgh, PA

Acknowledgement

- Marija Drobnjak, Ian Spinelli, Dan Ruscitto, Mike Gilhooly, Mitch Hammond, Chris McLasky, Jeremi Faulkner, Akane Suzuki, Tim Hanlon, Sam Thamboo (GE GRC)
- Vito Cedro (NETL)
- Steve Pope, Sri Kottilingam, Brian Tollison, Jeff Roberts (GE Power)
- Steve Niezgoda (OSU)
- DOE NETL Award No. DE-FE0024027
- GE Power



Project objectives

Overall goal:

- Model long-term creep performance for Ni-base superalloy weldments
- Demonstrate on gas turbine combustion liner welded HA282

Objectives

- Physics-based constitutive creep modeling for welded HA282
- Microstructure modeling for welded HA282
- Mesoscale deformation model to bridge creep to heterogeneous microstructure
- Local deformation measurement in conjunction with conventional mechanical testing



(RK Matta et al, 2000)





Overall approach



Focus on HA282 weldment behaviors with a given welding process



Experimental observations

	Tensile	Creep	DIC/PD (Creep)
Base metal	Х		
Welded 282	(As-weld) (PWHT2)	(PWHT2) (PWHT3a)	(PWHT2) (PWHT3a)
100% weldment	(PWHT2)	(PWHT2)	
	/ _		

(Testing at 1500, 1600, 1700F)

Base metal HA282



Manual Gas Tungsten Arc Welding

PWHT2: 2075F/0.5h + 1850F/2h + 1450F/8h PWHT3a: 1850F/1h + 1450F/8h 100% weldment 282





Tensile properties comparison



- No significant debit in UTS and YS in Welded and 100% weldment at 1500F, 1600F; but • a large drop at 1700F
- No difference in UTS, YS between transverse vs parallel direction in 100% weldment •
- Ductility decreased: base metal > 100% weldment > Welded (PWHT) > As-weld ۲



Tensile specimens: Welded HA282 & 100% Weldment







- Inter-granular cracks observed near the fracture surface
- Failure locations and mechanisms did not change at different temperatures, between PWHT2 welded and 100% weldment











Creep properties comparison



Welded vs 100% Weldment vs Base metal (Rupture)



Creep properties comparison





Creep specimen: PWHT2 welded HA282







- All specimens failed within the gauge section
- Inter-granular cracks near fracture surface, along high-angle GBs (by EBSD/IPF)
- SEM fractography suggested inter-granular failure
- Failure locations and mechanisms did not appear to change at different temperatures, between welded 282 and 100% weldment (T & P orientation)



Grain boundary phases



- Similar grain boundary phases were observed in **base metal** and **weld zone**, including $M_{23}C_6$, M_6C , and γ' precipitates



• Features of these phases do not differ with annealing temperature or time

Grain boundary phase identification



EDS and EBSD identified Cr rich $M_{23}C_6$ (dark contrast in BSE) and Mo rich M₆C (bright contrast in BSE) on grain boundaries Spot 7: gamma



Modeling considerations



phases

- boundaries (SGB):
- Grain size/shape
- GB phases

Assumptions:

- 1. γ' is still the main effect for creep strength
- Changes to grain size in weldment 2. may shift creep behaviors
- Changes at GBs may alter damage 3. accumulation and offsets ductility and rupture time





Post-weld heat treatment and residual microsegregation in HA282



PWHT3a,b	1850F/1h, 2h	+ 1450F/8h
PWHT1	1895F/0.5h+1850F/2h	+ 1450F/8h
PWHT2	2075F/0.5h+1850F/2h	+ 1450F/8h



EPMA composition analysis





50um

Modeling homogenization heat treatment (PWHT1)

As-weld (EPMA do	ata)				50µm
100s (simulation)					
6min					
15min					
30min Al	Ni	Мо	Cr	Ti	Со

Simulated residual micro-segregations compared with EPMA data







Simulate microsegregation effect on γ' precipitation



Calc γ' solvus (F)	Core	Inter-dendr.	
Homogeneous	1839		
PWHT3a	1811	1868	
Set3-4	1780	1894	
Set3-7	1702	1938	

 γ^\prime variation across dendrites mainly shown in volume fraction, solvus, but much less in size





SEM shows similar γ' size between dendrite core and interdendritic region © 2016 General Electric Company - All rights reserved



Generate non-uniform γ^\prime microstructures for crystal plasticity modeling



FFT elasto-viscoplastic (FFT-EVP) formulation

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+\Delta t}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}): \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e,t+\Delta t}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}): \left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{t+\Delta t}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{p,t}(\mathbf{x}) - \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{p,t+\Delta t}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{t+\Delta t})\Delta t\right]$$
$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{m}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) \, \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$$

- Small-strain framework is adopted.
- Implicit Euler treatment requires numerical iteration.
- Periodic boundary condition (PBC) must be satisfied.



Eisenlohr, P., et al. (2013). Int. J. Plast., 46, 37-53.

Application of crystal plasticity model to HA282 (base metal)



Construction of non-uniform γ' for HA282 weldment



Phase-field generated HA282 with different sinusoidal γ' variation







- To account for microsegregation effect
- Average volume fraction is fixed
- Variation of volume fraction with an increased amplitude from Set3-1 to 3-7

10 7.5 5 2.5

Nearest-neighbor distance maps

Heterogeneous deformation due to γ' spatial variation



Distribution of ε_{yy} (the uniaxial tension along y-axis)



Simulated effects of γ' spatial variation on tensile property



- YS decreases with increase of γ' variation in weldment due to microsegregation
- The reduction appears small for PWHT3a



Constitutive creep model to address microstructural difference in weldment





$$\begin{split} \dot{\varepsilon}^{boundary_diff} &= 12\pi F \frac{D_B \delta_B \Omega}{k_B T} \frac{1}{d^3} \sigma_{applied} (1 + \varepsilon^{creep}) \\ \dot{\varepsilon}^{lattice_diff} &= 4F \frac{D_V \Omega}{k_B T} \frac{1}{d^2} \sigma_{applied} (1 + \varepsilon^{creep}) \end{split}$$

 $\dot{\varepsilon}^{disloc} = A\rho f(1-f) \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4f}} - 1 \right) sinh \left(\frac{\sigma_{eff} - \sigma_{climb}(f, T) - \sigma_0(T)}{MkT} \lambda(f, T) b^2 \right)$

Change in the grain size affects the diffusion creep

Change in precipitate volume fraction affects the dislocation creep



Grain size affect - Constitutive creep model study



[1] D. Saha, 2015. DE-FE0000234 Topical Report, http://www.osti.gov/scitech/servlets/purl/1223685
[2] M. Santella et al, 2010, 24th Annual Conference on Fossil Energy Materials

• To a first approximation, grain size variation (anisotropy) in the weldment showed a small effect to creep strength except for low stresses

Effect of γ' spatial variation - Constitutive creep model study



Only small effects from γ' variation in dendrites, even after PWHT3a (with no solution anneal)



FEM implementation in progress

HA282 base metal model was implemented in a finite element code via a user defined subroutine.

The creep model was applied on creep sample geometry (3D) and the results agree with material point predictions





Summary

- Welded HA282 showed overall a small debit to creep and tensile
 - <u>Creep rupture</u>: no debit at 1500-1700°F
 - <u>YS, UTS</u>: small debit at 1500-1600°F , a larger drop at 1700°F; no difference between parallel (P) and transverse (T) to welding direction
 - <u>Ductility (elongation)</u>: reduced under both tensile and creep: As-weld < PWHT < BM
- Failure locations all in weld zone, subsurface cracks/cavities found along high angle GBs
- M₆C and M₂₃C₆ on GBs were identified compositionally and structurally
- PWHT2 (with solution anneal) and PWHT3a showed little difference in rupture life
- Composition very uniform after PWHT2, and some residual microsegregation in PWHT3a
- γ' size variation is very small even with PWHT3a, more noticeable in volume fraction
- Crystal plasticity modeling showed higher plastic strain in dendrite core where γ' is lean, reduction in YS however is small even for PWHT3a
- Continuum creep modeling showed effects of grain size and γ^\prime at different regimes of temperature and stress

