

2015 UTSR Workshop Georgia Institute of Technology November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2015



Fundamental Studies to Enable Robust, Reliable, Low Emission Gas Turbine Combustion of High Hydrogen Content Fuels: experimental and computational studies

#### Margaret Wooldridge

Arthur F. Thurnau Professor, Departments of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor **Graduate student contributors:** Pinaki Pal, Andrew Mansfield\*

#### **Co-PIs:**

Hong Im, Professor King Abdullah University of Science and Technology Saudi Arabia

Charles Westbrook, Scientist Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

\*Graduated with Ph.D. 2014, currently at General Motors

#### Acknowledgements



Many thanks for the generous financial support of the Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory via the University Turbine Systems Research Program, Award number DE-FE0007465, and the Department of Mechanical Engineering at the University of Michigan.

Many thanks to our UM team and the DOE NETL program!



# outline



- Program objectives
- Computational results
  - Experimental results

The proposed research program focuses on three areas to advance syngas turbine design:

- 1. syngas chemistry
- 2. fundamental ignition and extinction limits of syngas fuels
- 3. data distillation for rapid transfer of knowledge to gas turbine design.

The project objectives are:

- 1. To develop and validate accurate and rigorous experimental and computational data bases of syngas reaction kinetic and fundamental combustion properties,
- 2. To develop detailed and reduced syngas chemical mechanisms that accurately reproduce the new experimental data as well as data in the literature,
- 3. To develop a quantitative understanding of the stability of syngas combustion to fluctuations in the flow field, including the opportunities and challenges of exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) on extinction, ignition and flame stability,
- 4. To develop domain maps which identify the range of conditions (e.g. temperature stratification, turbulence, etc.) where syngas combustion can be effected in both positive and negative manners (e.g. accelerated autoignition).

- 1. Predicting ignition regimes
  - 1. 1D numerical simulations → Sankaran criterion
  - Including turbulence → Damkohler criterion
  - 3. 2D DNS validation

2. Syngas kinetics and the effects of impurities on syngas combustion









The effects of impurities on syngas combustion

- Numerous impurities in real syngas, with significant impacts on reactivity [15-21]
- Particular concern for organosilicon compounds

combustion LABORATORY



- Silanols, siloxanes (like trimethylsilanol) increasing in concentration in landfill-based syngas [13]
- Known to foul; effects on combustion?
- SiH<sub>4</sub> has marked effect on H<sub>2</sub>, likely also the case for syngas [25, 28]



[Pierce, 2005]

# **Project Objectives and Approach**

- 1. Syngas kinetics for baseline understanding
- 2. Effect of trimethylsilanol (TMS) on syngas reactivity.
  - Unstudied impurity related to commonly found Sispecies in landfill-based syngas
- Compare ignition times to predictions from typical modeling
- Use model to interpret and analyze observations
- $P\sim 5$  & 15 atm,  $T\sim 1010-1110~K$
- $\phi = 0.1$ , ~Air Dil. with N<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>, Ar)
- (1) syngas: 30% H<sub>2</sub>, 70% CO
- (2) syngas + 10ppm TMS

combustion LABORATORY

(3) syngas + 100ppm TMS

#### **Results: Typical Pressure Time Histories during syngas ignition**

5 atm

#### Two-step ignition

**15 atm** 

combustion LABORATORY

**One-step ignition** 



- 2-step ignition never before reported
- Modeling trends indicate worse for higher P, more CO
- For each experiment, assigned:
  - Thermo. State (**P**,**T**) ,  $\tau_{ign, 2}$  and  $\tau_{ign, 1}$  (if 2-step)
  - Sources of uncertainty: direct meas. and post-processing filters

# **Comparison between measurements**

# combustionLABORATORY

#### and model predictions 15 atm

# **Predicted P-t history**



- Modeling accurately predicts 2-step ignition at 15 atm, 1-step 5 atm
- τ<sub>ign,1 & 2</sub> predictions in excellent
   agreement for both P, syn. & syn. + CH<sub>4</sub>
- System well represented by Li 2007 mech. and CHEMKIN homog. reactor model

J. Li, Z. Zhao, A. Kazakov, M. Chaos, F.L. Dryer, and J.J. Scire, A comprehensive kinetic mechanism for CO, CH2O and CH3OH combustion, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 39 (2007), pp. 109–136.



5 atm



# **Discussion: Why 2-step Ignition?**

# **Predicted P-t trends**

combustion LABORATORY



- 2-step behavior minimal at 5 atm, pronounced at 15 atm for high  $\chi_{CO}$ 

# Why 2-step ignition?

(ROP and sensitivity analysis)

- $CO + OH = CO_2 + H$  dominates
- OH lag after step 1, H<sub>2</sub> exhausted
- $H + O_2 = OH + Ov. H + O_2(+M) = HO_2(+M)$
- Explains P and H<sub>2</sub>:CO (T<sub>step1</sub>) dependence

# **Predicted** $\chi_i$ -t history



(Pure syngas, 15 atm, 1066 K)

# **Results: Effects of TMS on T<sub>ign</sub>**

5 atm

ATORY

combustion LABOR

**15 atm** 



- 10 ppm TMS ~ negligible
  100ppm TMS decrease by ~20-30%
- **10 ppm TMS** ~ negligible?
- **100ppm TMS** decrease by ~**50-70%**

TMS effect consistent and drastically promoting at 100 ppm!

# **Results:** TMS and P Dependence

# τ<sub>ign,2</sub>

ATORY



#### <u>Syngas</u>

- 5 to 15 atm  $\rightarrow$  ~ 100% increase in  $\tau_{i,2}$ 

Syngas + 100ppm TMS

combustion LABO

- 5 to 15 atm  $\rightarrow$  ~ negligible increase

- 100 ppm TMS virtually eliminates P dependence
- Suggests TMS effect is on HO<sub>2</sub>/ H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> chemistry: supported by modeling
- Very similar effects seen for another Si compound,  $SiH_4$ , in  $H_2$  [petersen][mclain]



# **Discussion: Why does TMS have a promoting effect?**

- Can't investigate directly using modeling, there are no reaction mechanisms to support the chemistry

combustion LABORATORY

- Jachimowski & McLain and Petersen suggested  $SiH_4$ in  $H_2$  disrupts formation and/or enhances consumption  $HO_2$
- Simulated these effects using current model with Li
   2007 mechanism
  - $H + O_2(+M) = HO_2(+M)$  (A x 10<sup>1,-1,-3</sup>)
  - $HO_2 + HO_2 = H_2O_2 + O_2 (A \times 10^{1,-2})$
- Trends of increased reactivity and lowered pressure dependence replicated, but magnitude of effects are not consistent with experimental observations
- HO<sub>2</sub> interaction likely part of the TMS effect, but not all of the effect



#### **Current work : OH measurements**

#### combustion LABORATORY

#### Goal

Measure  $\chi_{OH}(t)$  during syngas auto-ignition.

#### Conditions

 $P\sim 5$  atm,  $T\sim 1000\text{-}1090$  K,  $\phi=0.1,$   $\sim\!Air$  Dil.,  $N_2$  (Ar) Fuel: 30%  $H_2,$  70% CO, with and without TMS impurities



- Low precision targets dominate  $(\tau i_{gn}, s_L^0)$  available kinetic data
- Important O, OH, H radical data very limited for H<sub>2</sub> (high-T, low-P, ultra dilute) [29], unstudied for syngas
  - Initial results show visible OH absorption feature
  - Excellent agreement between measured and predicted χ<sub>OH</sub>(t)
  - Interrogation of multiple features possible (magnitudes, slopes), to improve chemical kinetics



# *Thank you!* Questions/Comments?

\$50 Million Renovation of the GG Brown Memorial Laboratories



combustion LABORATORY

TOP: Rendering of new stateway connecting public spaces. BOTTOM: GG Brown during the construction phase.

[5] Chaos, Marcos, and Frederick L. Dryer. "Syngas combustion kinetics and applications." *Combustion Science and Technology* 180, no. 6 (2008): 1053-1096.

[6] Petersen, Eric L., Danielle M. Kalitan, Alexander B. Barrett, Shatra C. Reehal, John D. Mertens, David J. Beerer, Richard L. Hack, and Vincent G. McDonell. *Combustion and* flame 149, no. 1 (2007): 244-247.

[7] Voevodsky V, Soloukhin R. On the mechanism and explosion limits of hydrogen-oxygen chain self-ignition in shock waves. Symp Combust 1965:279–83.

[8] Meyer JW, Oppenheim A. K. On the shock-induced ignition of explosive gases. Symp Combust 1971;13:1153-64.

[9] Blumenthal R, Fieweger K, Komp K. Self-ignition of H2-air mixtures at high pressure and low temperature. Proc. 20th ISSW, World Sci., 1996, p. 935–40.

[10]Kalitan DM, Mertens JD, Crofton MW, Petersen EL. Ignition and Oxidation of Lean CO / H 2 Fuel Blends in Air. J Propuls Power 2007;23:1291–303.
 [11] Walton SM, He X, Zigler BT, Wooldridge MS. An experimental investigation of the ignition properties of hydrogen and carbon monoxide mixtures for syngas turbine applications. Proc Combust Inst 2007;31:3147–54.

[13] Rasi S, Lehtinen J, Rintala J. Determination of organic silicon compounds in biogas from wastewater treatments plants, landfills, and co-digestion plants. Renew Energy 2010;35:2666– 73.

[15] Glarborg P. Hidden interactions—Trace species governing combustion and emissions. Proc Combust Inst 2007;31:77–98.

[16] Pierce J. Siloxane Quantification, Removal, and Impact on Landfill Gas Utilization Facilities. 8th Annu. LMOP Conf. Proj. Expo, 2005.

[17] Mathieu O, Deguillaume F, Petersen EL. Effects of H2S addition on hydrogen ignition behind reflected shock waves: Experiments and modeling. Combust Flame 2013;161:23–36.

[18] Mathieu O, Kopp MM, Petersen EL. Shock-tube study of the ignition of multi-component syngas mixtures with and without ammonia impurities. Proc Combust Inst 2012;34:3211–8.

[19] Mathieu O, Petersen EL, Heufer A, Donohoe N, Metcalfe W, Curran HJ, et al. Numerical Study on the Effect of Real Syngas Compositions on Ignition Delay Times and Laminar Flame Speeds at Gas Turbine Conditions. J Eng Gas Turbines Power 2013;136:011502.

[20] Mueller M, Yetter R, Dryer F. Kinetic modeling of the CO/H2O/O2/NO/SO2 system: Implications for high pressure fall off in the SO2+ O (+ M) = SO3 (+ M) reaction. Int J C 2000;32:317–39.

[21] Mueller M, Yetter R, Dryer F. Flow reactor studies and kinetic modeling of the H2/O2/NOx and CO/H2O/O2/NOx reactions. Int J Chem Kinet 1999;31:705–24.

[25] Petersen E, Kalitan D, Rickard MA. Reflected Shock Ignition of SiH4/H2/O2/Ar and SiH4/CH4/O2/Ar Mixtures. J Propuls Power 2004;20:665–74.

[28] McLain, Allen G., Casimir J. Jachimowski, and R. Clayton Rogers. *Ignition of SiH4-H2-02-N2 behind reflected shock waves*. Vol. 2114. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Scientific and Technical Information Branch, 1983.

[29] Burke, Michael P., Marcos Chaos, Yiguang Ju, Frederick L. Dryer, and Stephen J. Klippenstein. "Comprehensive H2/O2 kinetic model for high-pressure combustion." *International Journal of Chemical Kinetics* 44, no. 7 (2012): 444-474.