Materials Issues for Advanced Supercritical CO₂ Cycles and High Efficency Gas Turbines

> B. A. Pint Corrosion Science & Technology Group Materials Science and Technology Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6156

Research sponsored by DOE, Office of Coal and Power R&D Office of Fossil Energy (B. White, project monitor)

Acknowledgments

TBC Task leaders:J. A. Haynes - coating procurementK. A. Unocic - characterization (TEM)S. Sampath, Stonybrook U. - processing

M. Lance - PSLS, 3D LM

H. Aldridge, U. Florida PhD student - lifetime modeling

G. Garner, M. Stephens - oxidation experiments

sCO₂: Jim Keiser - autoclave design Mike Howell - construction and operations
T. Lowe - characterization
D. W. Coffey - TEM specimen preparation, FIB
T. Jordan - metallography
D. Leonard - EPMA

Superalloys: Howmet, Siemens, Capstone Turbines Alloys: Haynes, Special Metals, ATI, Sumitomo, Sandvik... Research sponsored by: U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Coal and Power R&D, Office of Fossil Energy

Looking for coating solutions

New environments (higher H₂O, CO₂, SO₂)



#1 More durable coatings will benefit IGCC and NGCC #2 Focus on alumina scale as "weak link"

Coatings for Syngas-H₂ Turbines completed in 2015

Used furnace cycle testing to evaluate:

- Effect of H₂O, CO₂, etc. on TBC lifetime
- 1-h vs. 100-h cycles
- NiCoCrAIYHfSi bond coatings vs. Ti-B additions
- effect of substrate composition (de-evolution):



Standardized coating procedures

16mm disks: superalloy substrates (all at.%): X4: 13.0Al 1.2Ti 6.4Ćr 0.9Re 0.03Hf 17ppmaS 1483: 7.3AI 4.9Ti 13.6Cr 0Re < 0.001Hf < 3ppmaS 247: 12.6Al 1.3Ti 9.7Cr 0Re 0.47Hf <3ppmaS

High Velocity Oxygen Fuel (HVOF) bond coating: Ni-18Co-16Cr-23Al-0.4Y-0.07Hf-0.65Si

Roughness: final coarse powder spray APS top coating: ZrO_2 - Y_2O_3 (1 side) Oxidation: 1-h and 100-hcycles

16mm diameter coupon



900° and 1100°C: air + 10% H₂O

Characterization:

Metallographic cross-sections SEM/EDS/EBSD EPMA (WDS) PSLS, 3D LM **FIB/TEM**

1-h cycles: DS 247 similar to X4 HVOF NiCoCrAIYHfSi/APS YSZ coatings



1100°C average lifetime of 3 similarly coated specimens No parameter effect #1

3D image + PSLS: maps & histograms 1483: 1100°C, dry air, 1h cycles



3D Light microscopy (Keyence)

Photo-Stimulated Luminescence Spectroscopy: mean stress

PSLS: total R-line area



Able to measure stress under YSZ HVOF MCrAIYHfSi/APS YSZ on 1483 in 50%H₂O





Stress in alumina scale measured by PSLS or PLPS

Also similar lifetime with 100h cycle HVOF NiCoCrAIYHfSi/APS YSZ coatings



5 similarly coated specimens with each substrate 100h cycles more relevant to baseload generation No parameter effect #2

Thicker bond coating appeared to eliminate substrate effect

3 batches of HVOF NiCoCrAlYHfSi coatings



Batch 2 - saw lower lifetime for 1483 substrates Batch 3 - no substrate effect observed

Last set of coupons: similar lifetimes 1-h cycles, 1100°C, air + 10%H₂O



1100°C, 2012°F 247 substrates 3 specimen average

Bars 1-2: single vs. CTSR double layer YSZ Bars 2-3: HVOF vs. VPS NiCoCrAlYHfSi Bars 3-4: VPS YHfSi vs. YHf (effect of Si) Bars 3-5: VPS YHfSi vs. YHfSiTiB (effect of Ti,B) No parameter effects #3, #4, #5, #6

Different stress with Ti-B addition PSLS measurements during 1-h cycles at 1100°C

mode stress

average stress



Mode stress - peak of stress histogram Higher residual stress with VPS NiCoCrAlYHfSiTiB

- average stress: not significant difference
- did not result in increased lifetime

Temperature showed lifetime effect VPS NiCoCrAIYHf±Si/APS YSZ coatings



No change in lifetime w/o Si addition

PSLS: lower stress at 1150°C All 100 1-h cycles in air+10%H₂O

1100°C

1125°C

1150°C



Average lifetime: 567 cycles

500 cycles

187 cycles



Low stress areas due to asperities in bond coating - areas growing after 100 cycles at 1150°C

Initial model work focused on 900°C Model inputs based on 900°C 5-20 kh samples



Failure map from Schütze et al.

Lifetime modeling:

1. Beta depletion

2a. NASA COSIM model with D_{eff} from EPMA 2b. COSIM model with extrapolated D

Wide range of lifetimes predicted Highly dependent on parameter inputs at 900°C







HVOF bond coating Coating on 1483 (low Al): low life by COSIM Little effect of YHfSi compared to Y alone Next phase: collect data between 900°-1100°C

What is effect of H₂O at 900°C? 500-h cycles in wet air and laboratory air



Slight change in rate constants with H₂O Stop specimens at 5,000h for metallography - compare change in oxide thickness

2015 focus areas

 Use CTSR/ORNL experience for best TBC
 Get away from testing flat coupons Cranfield/Jülich coat more complex shapes



~4 specimens from each rod/tube: 100h cycles

- measure lifetime, alumina stress...

Optimize coating process for rod (CTSR)



Rod specimens begun testing Wet air at 900°, 1100° and 1150°C



APS YSZ top coating; NiCoCrAIYHfSi APS + HVOF Exposures: 500-h cycle at 900°C (in progress) run to 5 kh 100-h exposure at 1100°C run to fail 100-h exposure at 1150°C run to fail

APS MCrAIYHfSi bond coating After 100h at 1100°C



run to fail APS MCrAIYHfSi bond coating Failure after 100h at 1150°C



Initial stress measurements One 100-h cycle at 1100°C in air+10%H₂O



Lower mode stress in APS rod specimen after 1 cycle

TBC Summary

2014-15 work concluded multi-year investigation: Effect of environment $(H_2O, CO_2...)$ Effect of bond coating chemistry Effect of superalloy chemistry Effect of cycle frequency Lifetime at 900°C (NASA COSIM model) Furnace cycle testing on 16mm disk specimens 1. 100h cycles at 1100°C failed by interdiffusion 2. Suspect early edge failures on coupons

2015 project

coating 12.5mm diameter rod specimens (247) initial exposures started at 900°,1100°, 1150°C Focus on lifetime modeling at <1100°C

Why use supercritical CO₂?

Potential supercritical CO₂ (sCO₂) advantages:

- no phase changes
- high efficiency
- more compact turbine
- short heat up
- less complex
- lower cost (?)



Direct- and indirect-fired sCO₂ Brayton cycles for:

- fossil energy (coal or natural gas) FY13-?
- concentrated solar power

FY16-FY18

- nuclear (paired with sodium for safety)
- waste heat recovery/bottoming cycle

Many possible applications





Smaller fossil fuel turbines





7MW Echogen (WHR)

Indirect & direct-fired systems of interest

Power

Out

Indirect-fired for CSP



Closed loop of relatively pure CO₂ - primary HX (>700°C) - recuperators (<600°C)

Also, waste heat recovery, bottoming cycle

Direct-fired (Allam cycle by Netpower) Power Out In: natural gas + O_2 1150°C/300 bar Expander Generator Compressor 700-750°C/30 bar Impurities: $\sim 10\% H_2O$ Series of Heat Combustor 27°C/30 bar ~1%O₂, CH₄? Exchanger O, In Water Out Out: CO_2 for EOR Fuel In SC-CO2 700-750°C/300 bar (enhanced oil recovery) Out SC-CO2 Recycle 69°C/305 bar

Different temperature targets

- Uncertainty about ranges for sCO₂ applications
- Fossil energy interest for power generation coal/natural gas: replace steam with closed cycle



Relatively little prior sCO₂ work Especially at >650°C and 300 bar



Several groups active in the past 10 years U. Wisconsin group has published the most results Temperature/pressure limited by autoclave design

ORNL sCO₂ rig finished in 2014

- ORNL design team: 100+ years of experience
- Haynes 282 autoclave
 152mm (6") dia.
 1ml/min flow



pipefitter

shield



Why worry about 740/282? 5-10kh at 800°C still form thin reaction product in air



General: C activity (a_c) relatively low, favors oxidation McCoy 1965: 18Cr-8Ni steel internally carburized in <u>1bar</u> CO₂

High ac predicted - what about NiCr in sCO₂ after 10 years?

Many alloys exposed 650°-750°C

~30 alloy coupons exposed 500h at 200 bar (20 MPa)



Steels exposed at 400°-600°C 500h exposures in 20 MPa CO₂



Industry interested in where low-cost alloys can be used

Baseline created at 750°C 1bar Core 12 alloys, 1bar: dry air, CO₂, CO₂+10%H₂O



Consistently higher mass gains for alloy 282

282 deeper Cr depletion than 740

EPMA depth profiles beneath scale at 750°C



740: 49Ni-24.6Cr-20Co-0.5Mo-1.3Al-1.5Ti

Little effect of pressure observed

500h exposures at 750°C Core group of 12 alloys evaluated



Typical Fe-rich oxide on Gr.91

However, inner/outer ratio appears to change with P Outer Fe_2O_3/Fe_3O_4 layer Inner $(Fe,Cr)_3O_4$ layer Grade 91: Fe-9Cr-1Mo

Some thin-protective Cr-rich scale at 1bar



light microscopy of polished cross-sections

Looking for internal carburization

High a_c predicted, McCoy (1965) observed in 1bar CO₂



Did Cr depletion dissolve the carbides at 750°C?

Ni-base alloys: thin scales

All thin Cr-rich or Al-rich scales at 750°C in CO₂

750°C 0.1MPa CO₂ 750°C 12.5MPa CO₂ 750°C 20 MPa CO₂ 750°C 30 MPa CO₂



light microscopy of polished cross-sections

Hf-rich carbide oxidized in sCO₂ EPMA: carbide transformed to oxide at 750°C 20MPa

247: Ni-8Cr-6Al-10Co-10W-3Ta-1.4Hf



750°C: initial tensile experiments showed little effect of sCO₂

25mm tensile bars exposed at each condition Tensile test at room temperature: 10⁻³/s strain rate





sCO₂ Summary

Completed five 500h sCO₂ tests in 2015:



- Wide range of alloys exposed (12)
- Surprisingly little effect of pressure
- Little effect on 25°C tensile properties for alloys 740, 310HCbN, E-Brite & 247
- Fe-9Cr formed thick scales, similar to other studies

Future work

TBC project:

Long-term testing of rod specimens Develop a path to deployment

- solicit OEM input
- next step: burner rig testing? provide samples
- address issues identified

Specimens with more complex geometry

Cranfield design:



<u>Supercritical CO₂:</u>

- 2nd autoclave for impurity effects (measure in + out)
- developing on-line O_2 , H_2O detector at pressure
- long-term exposures at 750°C/300 bar (30 MPa)

backup slides

1st: 20MPa runs, most low gains

10 representative alloys were focus of metallography



Ni-base alloys: thin scales

All thin Cr-rich or Al-rich scales in 20 MPa sCO₂



Thoughts

More characterization needed of current results Better understand some unusual results

Concern:

Degradation by C penetration through Cr_2O_3

H. McCoy 1965 at 1bar

D. J. Young et al. (2011-2014) at 1bar Need to evaluate longer times + ex-situ ductility Al_2O_3 thought to be better barrier to C ingress Pre-oxidation may assist in Al_2O_3 formation Al-containing alloys can be difficult to fabricate

Possible to make FIB/TEM specimens but not as "pretty" as model systems

X4

1483



Each specimen failed after 480 1-h cycles at 1100°C

EBSD showed more fine grains for scale formed on 1483 with highest Ti content



Each specimen failed after 480 1-h cycles at 1100°C