



#### Addressing Materials Processing Issues for A-USC Steam Turbines

Paul D. Jablonski, Joe Licavoli and Jeffery Hawk



Crosscutting Research Review, April 2015

# **Example Components**

• Castings

(2)

- 1-15 tons
- Up to 100mm in thickness



#### **Initial Trials With Small Scale Casting**



3

When the ingot was cast the mold never showed any "color" which meant that the mold temperature stayed below about 550C. This gave us some confidence that slow cooling was achieved.

#### **Grain Etched Ingot Cross Sections**



Ingots were sectioned to bisect the shrink cavity.

In general, the ingots have a columnar outer band ~1/4-1/3 of the radius thick and an equiaxed core. This is similar to the grain structure we would expect to observe in a large sand cast version of these alloys.

SDAS: ~45-65 µm in columnar; ~80-90 µm in equiaxed

#### **263—Solidification**



(5)

#### Variation of Mo in the FCC Phase



#### H282—Homogenization Heat Treatment 1 2 3 4 Comparison

TIME = 0,10000,40000,80000



Patent Pending Metall. Trans. B, **40B**, (2009) 182.

#### NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

7

#### **As-Cast vs. Homogenized H282**

**Qualitative Confirmation of the Effectiveness of the Homogenization Heat Treatment** 





As-Cast

8

Homogenized

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

#### **Comparison to Wrought Properties**



9

#### **Trial Castings**



#### Homogenizing Haynes 282 Steam Turbine Partial Valve Casing Casting



(11

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

#### **Variation of Key Elements**



NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

(12)

#### **Homogenization Proposal 1**

#### Based on a SDAS of 300 microns.

The first calculations were made aiming for a temperature 50C below the incipient melt point (IMP) which we maintained except for a couple of instances.

Temp (C)	Time (h)	IMP-T (C)				
1115	3	54.4				
1165	3	50.6				
1175	3	51.2				
1190	8	47.9				
1205	12	50.6				
1220	24	47.0				
1225	24	50.1				
Overall	77					

(13)

Resulting chemical variation (percent of nominal; all within specification):

	tip	core
AI	98.54%	101.41%
Со	99.57%	100.48%
Cr	99.72%	100.31%
Fe	97.94%	102.10%
Mn	106.54%	93.41%
Мо	105.74%	94.32%
Si	97.01%	102.90%
Ti	97.92%	101.94%

#### **Homogenization Proposal 2**

#### Based on a SDAS of 300 microns.

The second calculations were made aiming for a temperature 40C below the incipient melt point (IMP) which we were able to maintain throughout.

T(C)	t(h)	IMP – T (C)
1125	3	44.4
1175	3	42.2
1185	3	43.7
1200	6	40.8
1215	6	40.4
1220	6	43.2
1225	6	43.4
1230	12	41.5
1235	18	40.1
Overall	63	

(14)

Resulting chemical variation (percent of nominal; all within specification):

	tip	core
ΑΙ	98.36%	101.58%
Со	99.47%	100.60%
Cr	99.60%	100.45%
Fe	97.59%	102.45%
Mn	107.44%	92.50%
Мо	106.59%	93.50%
Si	96.92%	102.95%
Ti	97.92%	101.89%

## Homogenization of Large H282 Castings



ESR Ingot

VAR Ingot: 24in Diameter x 71in long, ~10,000lb

- Small ingots (15#): 1100C/3h + 1200C/9h (100µm)
- Metaltek (300#): 1130C/3h + 1200C/3h + 1210C/14h (150µm)
- Flowserve (1000#): 1100C/6h + 1200C/48h (200µm)
- Special Metals (10,000#): 1133C/4h + 1190C/8h + 1223C/30h (200µm)



#### **Partial Valve Casing Casting Microstructure**







16

Homogenized

1115C/3h + 1165C/3h + 1175C/3h + 1190C/8h + 1205C/12h + 1220C/24h + 1225C/24h



#### Cast H282



17

# **Section Summary**

- If new plants operate under A-USC conditions enhanced efficiency and reduced pollution are anticipated.
- Small scale castings were made to evaluate the performance of cast forms of traditionally wrought Ni-based superalloys.
- A computationally optimized homogenization heat treatment was developed to improve the performance of these materials, especially H282.
- Verification of the effectiveness of the homogenization cycles on H282 and alloy 263 have been performed on large scale castings and performance has been verified.





#### The Practical Application of Minor Element Control in Small Scale Melts

- CONTRACTOR

Paul D. Jablonski, Joe Licavoli and Jeffrey A. Hawk

#### Resulting Chemistries From Vacuum Distillation Trials

Experiment	Cr (w/o)	S (ppm)	C (ppm)	O (ppm)	N (ppm)
50°C/20 min	21.74	50	28	45	61
50°C/20 min	21.74	43	43	173	46
150°C/20 min	21.57	43	<10	59	27
100°C/60 min	21.67	43	16	90	12
100°C/20 min	21.67	39	12	38	17
100°C/10 min	21.63	41	18	42	22

(20)

# **Results of S Gettering Trials**

Scoping experiments have been performed to reduce the S level in Ni-based alloys. These experiments included the addition of La, Y, or Mg in addition to the use of vacuum. The results showed good S reduction with La additions.

Experiment	S (ppm)	Other (ppm)
Ni-22Cr		
2.0 g Mg	54	10 Mg
25.6 g IncoMg 1	59	110 Mg
2.0 g Mg in ea. Cr	31	18 Mg
26.5 g NiCrLa	4	102 La
7.1 g Y	23	247 Y
23.3 g NiCrY	14	246 Y
Ni-30Cr		
35.1 g NiCrLa	5	106 La
Ni-40Cr		
46.2 g NiCrLa	33	106 La
Ni-50Cr		
35.1 g NiCrLa	9	227 La
25.4 g NiCrLa	67	61 La
35.1 g NiCrLa	7	118 La

(21)

# **Sulfur Reduction by ESR Melting**

- Ni 30Co 30Cr:
  - Electrode: 48 ppm
  - Ingot: 12 ppm
- Ni 30Co 30Cr:
  - Electrode: 50 ppm
  - Ingot: 14 ppm
- Ni 50Cr:

(22)

- Electrode: 54 ppm
- Ingot: 22 ppm



### **Reducing S in Ni alloys**



23

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

# **Reducing S in Ni alloys**

- It does not seem to be possible (yet) to reduce S below about 50ppm by vacuum distillation.
  - Very effective in reducing C to very low levels...but if C levels are very high it can make a mess of the furnace.
- Vacuum levels and leak rates have been significantly improved.
  - Ultimate vacuum is usually less than 0.1 microns.
  - Leak rates of 0-0.1 microns/minute are typical.
- Sulfur reduction to ~10ppm or lower has been achieved with addition of reactive metals.
  - Variable results
  - High level of effort
- Sulfur reduction via ESR has been successful
- Critical component for RE or ESR removal of S is the O level

#### • Subtask 3.2 Superalloy Design & Development

- Fireside Corrosion with respect to Mo levels
- Steam oxidation as a function of pressure
- Co-authors

25

- Gordon R. Holcomb
- Casey Carney (NETL and AECOM)

# **Fireside Corrosion**

- Comparison of air-fired and oxy-fired (hot gas recycle case) conditions to examine
  - The effects of temperature (650 to 800°C)
  - Alkali sulfate flux to the alloy surface
  - Mo content in Ni-22Cr alloys
  - NETL developed alloy CPJ7B in comparison to T92
- Flue gas compositions
  - Air-firing:  $N_2$ -14CO<sub>2</sub>-9H<sub>2</sub>O-2.5O<sub>2</sub>-0.3SO<sub>2</sub>
  - Oxy-firing:  $CO_2$ -8N<sub>2</sub>-20H<sub>2</sub>O-2.5O<sub>2</sub>-0.9SO<sub>2</sub> (hot gas recycle)
  - Simplified from earlier research, as flue gas compositions were not found to change overall corrosion rates (at 700°C)



# Alloys and Ash Compositions (wt%)

Alloy	Fe	Cr	Ni	Со	Мо		Si	Ti	AI	Mn	V	Nb+T a	Cu	Other
T92	Bal	9.08	0.25	0.01	0.45	0.081	0.09		0.01	0.40	0.21	0.07		1.80 W
CPJ7 B In Dev	Bal	9.83	0.27	1.48	1.26	0.15	0.09	0.004	< 0.02	0.41	0.21	0.336	0.03	0.48
740H Nom.	0.7	25	Bal	20	0.5		0.15	1.35	1.35	0.3		1.5		
Ni22Cr 0Mo*		22.18	Bal		0.02	0.043		0.01	0.08			0.01	0.01	11 ppm S
Ni22Cr 1Mo*		22.04	Bal		0.99	0.050			0.07			0.02	0.01	4 ppm S
Ni22Cr 8Mo*		22.04	Bal		7.77	0.052			0.07			0.01		11 ppm S

#### Ash Compositions

- Maintain 3:1 ratio of (Na,K)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>:Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as found in lowest melting point alkali iron trisulfates
- Different alkali sulfate fluxes to alloy surfaces

ID	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>
SCM	0	0	25	37.5	37.5
<b>S</b> 80	10	10	20	30	30
S60	20	20	15	22.5	22.5
S40	30	30	10	15	15
S20	40	40	5	7.5	7.5

## Metal Loss Results (240 hr)



- Ni-base alloys: breakdown of a protective chromia scale
  - An incubation period prior to rapid hot corrosion
  - Sometimes deep pit formation controls the behavior
  - Variability beyond, or at the edge of, the limited sample set of these tests to resolve

# Effect of Mo 24 hr Exposure at 700°C with a 20% alkali iron trisulfate ash



No effect from Mo or Gas Phase (SO<sub>X</sub>,  $H_2O$ ,  $CO_2$ ) with a 20% alkali iron trisulfate ash

(29)

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

#### Effect of Mo 24 hr Exposure at 700°C with an 80% alkali iron

Ni 22Cr 0Mo

30

Ni 22Cr 1Mo

Ni 22Cr 8Mo



Large effect from 8 Mo and Gas Phase (SO<sub> $\chi$ </sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>) with an 80% alkali iron trisulfate ash

# Effect of Mo 24 hr Exposure at 700°C with a 100% alkali iron trisulfate ash



Large effect from 8 Mo and Gas Phase (SO<sub>X</sub>,  $H_2O$ , CO<sub>2</sub>) with a 100% alkali iron trisulfate ash High levels of Mo decreases the incubation time for the transition to rapid corrosion

# **Steam Oxidation**

- Examine the effect of pressure on steam oxidation
- Advanced Ultra-supercritical (A-USC) steam applications
- Delays due to procurement of a replacement heater
  - Tests restarted last week with a 500 hr exposure at 730°C and 207 bar (3000 psi)
- Completed test at 670°C with 1 and 267 bar for about 300h
  - 5 of 6 showed increased oxidation rates
  - Pure and compact chromia scales were not observed
  - Rates still within range for successful usage in A-USC boilers and turbines
  - IN625 needs additional tests and analyses
  - High pressure makes establishing and maintaining a chromia scale more difficult
  - Comparison with literature values shows a significant pressure effect somewhere above 105 bar

### Ni-Base Alloy (670°C, 293 hr)

#### H230

H263







10 µm

e

f





10 µm

# 1 bar

267 bar

33



### Ni-Base Alloy (670°C, 293 hr)

**IN617** 

34

**IN625** 

**IN740** 





# Summary

#### **Sulfur reduction:**

- Low sulfur remelt stock and alloys are achievable by reactive element addition in VIM or ESR techniques.
- Maintaining low S in the product ingot is achievable by good remelt practice.

**Fireside corrosion:** 

- Coal ash corrosion results are highly variable pitting makes clear conclusions hard (looking into comparing distributions)
- High levels (8) of Mo decrease the incubation time to rapid corrosion.

#### **Steam oxidation:**

• Seems to be a pressure effect above 105 bar



#### Disclaimer

"This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof."