

power generation group

### Atmospheric Iron-Based Coal Direct Chemical Looping Process for Power Production

Pittsburgh, PA. Jun 26. 2015

# **Project Objectives**

#### Phase I Project objectives: 2012 - 2013

- Evaluate commercial viability of OSU's coal-direct chemical looping process for power production with CO<sub>2</sub> capture.
- Perform a techno-economic evaluation of the commercial design.

#### Phase II Project Objectives:2013-2016

- Reduce technology gaps identified in Phase I by conducting laboratory testing and small pilot-scale testing.
- Update design and cost performance of the commercial 550 MWe CDCL power plant
- Re-evaluate the CDCL technology and identify development pathway for commercialization in year 2025.

# **Project Participants**

### **Federal Agencies:**

• DOE/NETL

### **Project participants:**

- The Babcock & Wilcox, PGG
- The Ohio State University
- Clear Skies Consulting

### **Industrial Review Committee:**

- American Electric Power
- Consol Energy
- Dayton Power & Light
- Duke Energy
- First Energy
- Ohio Development Service Agency



- Commercialization Path
- Phase I: CDCL Concept and Techno-Economic Analysis
- Phase I: Technology Gaps
- Phase II: Pilot Design
- Phase II: Laboratory Testing and Studies
- > Project Schedule
- Conclusions and Acknowledgments

### **Commercialization Path**



- Commercialization Path
- Phase I: CDCL Concept and Techno-Economic Analysis
- Phase I: Technology Gaps
- > Phase II: Pilot Design
- Phase II: Laboratory Testing and Studies
- > Project Schedule
- Conclusions and Acknowledgments

### **Chemical Looping Concept**



# **CDCL Moving Bed Reactor Concept**



- Commercialization Path
- Phase I: CDCL Concept and Techno-Economic Analysis
- Phase I: Technology Gaps
- Phase II: Pilot Design
- Phase II: Laboratory Testing and Studies
- > Project Schedule
- Conclusions and Acknowledgments

### **CDCL Commercial Plant Design and Engineering**

OSU's experimental data was converted into a commercial 550 MWe CDCL power plant.

- Material and Energy Balance
- Process Flow Diagrams
- Equipment Drawings
- Arrangement Drawings
- Plant layout Drawings
- 3-D Models



# Modular Loop Design



### **CDCL Technology Comparison**

	Base Plant	MEA Plant	CDCL Plant
Coal Feed, kg/h	185,759	256,652	205,358
CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions, kg/MWh <sub>net</sub>	801	111	31
CO <sub>2</sub> Capture Efficiency, %	0	90	96.5
Net Power Output, MW <sub>e</sub>	550	550	550
Net Plant HHV Heat Rate, kJ/kWh (Btu/kWh)	9,165 (8,687)	12,663 (12,002)	10,084 (9,558)
Net Plant HHV Efficiency, %	39.3	28.5	35.6
Cost of Electricity, \$/MWh	80.96	132.56	102.67
Increase in Cost of Electricity, %	-	63.7	26.8

- Commercialization Path
- Phase I: CDCL Concept and Techno-Economic Analysis
- Phase I: Technology Gaps
- > Phase II: Pilot Design
- Phase II: Laboratory Testing and Studies
- > Project Schedule
- Conclusions and Discussion

### **Technology Gap Analysis**



### CDCL Technolgy Gaps

Design/Technology Issues	<b>Ongoing/Past Mitigation</b>	Planned Mitigation	<b>Future Mitigation</b>
Particles			
Manufacturing Cost	Under OSU's SOW	Particle Manufacturer	
Attrition	NCCC	Lab 2" BFB / Envergex	
High Temperature Resistance	TGA	TGA	
Reducer Design			
Coal Injection & Distribution	OSU's Sub-Pilot	Small-pilot Unit	3 MWth-Pilot
Char Residence Time	OSU's Sub-Pilot	TGA, Small-pilot Unit	
Ash Separation / Enhancer Gas	OSU's Sub-Pilot	Small-pilot Unit	
Pressure Drop	Phase I (Calculation)	Small-pilot Unit	
CO <sub>2</sub> Purity	Phase I (Calculation)	Small-pilot Unit	
Sulfur, NOx, Hg Emissions	OSU's Sub-Pilot	Small-pilot Unit	3 MWth-Pilot
Alkaline Management	2" BFB (Preliminary)	2" BFB	3 MWth-Pilot
Combustor Design			
Heat Exchanger surface	B&W's CFB Technology		3 MWth-Pilot
Auto-thermal Operation	Phase I (Calculation)	Small-pilot Unit	3 MWth-Pilot
System			
Operation	NCCC	Small-pilot Unit	3 MWth-Pilot
Start up/Shut down	NCCC	Small-pilot Unit	3 MWth-Piot
Safety	NCCC	Small-pilot Unit	3 MWth-Pilot

- Commercialization Path
- Phase I: CDCL Concept and Techno-Economic Analysis
- Phase I: Technology Gaps
- Phase II: Pilot Design
- Phase II: Laboratory Testing and Studies
- > Project Schedule
- Conclusions and Acknowledgments

# Pilot Unit Design

#### **Physical Specifications**

•Materials: Refractory lined Carbon Steel •Overall Height: 32 ft

•Footprint = 20' x 20'

#### **Process Specifications**

•Thermal rating: 250 kWth

- •Coal Feed Rate: 70 lb/hr
- •Coal size: Pulverized coal
- •Max Operating Temperature: 2012 °F
- •Oxygen Carrier: Iron based
- •Reducer : Counter-current moving bed
- •Combustor : Bubbling bed
- •Particle tranport: Pneumatic

#### **Oxygen Carrier Specifications**

•Active metal: Iron based





babcock & wilcox power generation group

# CDCL 250 kW<sub>th</sub> Pilot



- Commercialization Path
- Phase I: CDCL Concept and Techno-Economic Analysis
- Phase I: Technology Gaps
- > Phase II: Pilot Design
- Phase II: Laboratory Testing and Studies
- > Project Schedule
- Conclusions and Acknowledgments

### **Coal Flow Model Tests: Fines entrainment**





## Fines Residence Time in Moving Bed



# Ash and Fines residence time (Tr)



# **Particle Characterization**



Photograph of TGA Analyzer



**Gas Delivery System** 

# Time to reach 50% conversion as a function of gas flow rate



### **Particle Reduction Studies**



### **Particle Oxidation Studies**



### Particle Integrity Studies: Carbon formation

CO<sub>2</sub> Evalution after carbon formation on oxygen carrier particles



Above 900 °C there is no carbon formation

# **Alkaline Agglomeration Test**





Particles aglomerate at very high alkaline content : ~9.1wt.%

# **Particle Regeneration**



Agglomerated particle caused by alkaline can be regenerated in the combustor.

#### **Regenerated particles**

- Commercialization Path
- Phase I: CDCL Concept and Techno-Economic Analysis
- Phase I: Technology Gaps
- Phase II: Pilot Design
- Phase II: Laboratory Testing and Studies
- Project Schedule
- Conclusions and Acknowledgments

# **Project Schedule**

	2014						2015												2016												
Phase II	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Task 1. Project Management and Planning																															
Task 2. Laboratory Testing and Oxygen Carrier Characterization																															
Task 3. Pilot Facility Design, Construction and Testing																															
Pilot Plant Design Pilot Plant Cost Estimate																														$\square$	
Pilot Plant Construction Pilot Plant Testing																															
Task 4.Data Analysis and Update of Commercial Plant Economic Analysis																															
Task 5. Phase II Final Report																															

- Commercialization Path
- Phase I: CDCL Concept and Techno-Economic Analysis
- Phase I: Technology Gaps
- Phase II: Pilot Design
- Phase II: Laboratory Testing and Studies
- Project Schedule

Conclusions and Acknowledgments

### Conclusions

- CDCL offers a cost-effective alternative for coalbased power generation with carbon capture
- The commercial CDCL modular design is ideal for commercial deployment of the technology
- Cold flow model and laboratory testing is confirming assumptions and design features of the 250 kWth pilot unit and the commercial design
- The design of 250 kWth pilot plant has been completed and we are moving soon towards the construction and testing

### **Acknowledgments**

### This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FE0009761