In-situ Acoustic Measurements to Temperature Profile in Extreme Environments

Yunlu Jia and Mikhail Skliar

Direct Temperature Measurement
- Develop hardened sensors that can withstand harsh environment for long time.
  - Heavy sheathing makes such devices less sensitive to dynamic changes in temperatures, which are important in the refractory life management since rapid temperature variations can introduce thermal stresses.

Other temperature measurements
- Indirect (secondary) measurements that are easy to obtain ($T$, $P$ and compositions of in/out streams) are used with appropriate models to infer otherwise inaccessible operating parameters inside the reactor zone and the state of the refractory.
  - Few examples in gasification: reactor temperature reported in ppm of methane -- Tampa Electric IGCC Demonstration Project [3]. Economically appealing option.
  - Quality of inferences is affected by modeling errors and uncertainties.
  - Measurement accuracy, sensitivity, and response time compare poorly with direct measurements.

- Optical measurement:
  - Infrared window required to maintain pressure boundary
  - Deposition of slag & other contaminants blocks sight path

- Acoustic Pyrometer:
  - Sensitive to surroundings
  - Spatial resolution limited by low acoustic frequency

Refractory Degradation

- Slag corrosion
- Mechanical abrasion
- Temperature gradient
- Chemical aggressiveness

Initial Installation

Degraded refractory

Stages of refractory degradation [3].

Prof. Zang Jiansheng: "Domestic TC survive ~1-2 weeks; Rosemount sapphire TC: ~4-6 weeks"
Acoustic Temperature Measurements

• Speed of sound (SOS) is temperature dependent in gases, liquids, and solids. SOS can be obtained by measuring time of flight (TOF) of the test pulse:

\[ \text{SOS} = \frac{2L}{\text{TOF}} \]

• Key difficulty: When temperature changes along the path of US propagation, the acoustic TOF measurements depend on temperature distribution in a complex way:

\[ \text{TOF} = \int \frac{2}{f(T(t,r))} dr \]

• Key uncertainty: How strong is SOS vs. T dependence?
  – The answer to this question determines achievable accuracy of temperature measurements.

Direct Ultrasound (US) Measurements of Temperature Distribution

• Create multiple partial reflections that give information about temperature distribution in different segments of the refractory.
  – The ability to create partial internal reflections and their spacing determines achievable spatial resolution.

• Methods to create partial reflections:
  – Scatterers;
  – Change in US impedance;
  – Change in geometry

Estimating temperature distribution from TOF measurements

• Experimentally establish the relationship between \( T \) and SOS/TOF and identify the function \( f(T) \):

\[ \text{TOF} = \int \frac{2}{f(T(t,r))} dr \]

• Use the result and the heat transfer model

\[ \rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = k \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) \]

to estimate the temperature distribution

A: Steel shots were embedded as ultrasound scatters to produce partial reflection at the midpoint of ultrasound propagation path
B: Two internal interfaces obtained by sequentially casting three layer of identical formulation and allowing for a partial curing before casting the next layer.

- Water/cement ratio: 0.35 ~ 0.5
- Curing time: 15 min ~ days
- Vibrate to remove bubbles

Non-uniform Temperature Distribution
Experimental Setup

- Heated at the base
- Surface temperature measured by TCs
- Experiments repeated least 6 times to calculate 95% CI

Piecewise Linear Temperature Distribution

- Assume linear distribution in different segments. Slopes and intercepts may be different but temperature must be continuous
- Linear relationship between the SOS and temperature T found from calibration data
- TOF for each layer:

\[
TOF_i - TOF_{i-1} = \int_{r_{i-1}}^{r_i} \frac{2}{a(m_i r + n_i) + b} dr
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{am_{i-1}} \ln \left[ a(m_{i-1}r + (m_i - m_{i-1})r_{i-1} + n_{i-1}) + b \right]
\]

Results

- Accurate estimation of the temperature distribution strongly depends on the assumptions about the shape of that distribution and the method used to interpret the measurements of the ultrasound TOF
Algorithm for finding distribution that satisfies thermal model

- Predict temperature by solving

\[
\rho C \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = k \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) \right)
\]

with boundary conditions:
- Cold/hot side temperature provide by TC and thermal camera
- Heat loss through the sides equal

\[ q = h(T_s - T) \]

- Calculate the corresponding TOF using SOS vs. T calibration curve
- Compare predicted TOF with measurements
- Adjust \( h \) to improve fit

Temperature Distributions Obtained Based on US Measurements, Thermal Model and Comsol Model are Compared with TC Measurements

Engineering Refractory with Partial Internal Reflections

- Tested in castable and pre-cast, pressure formed, machinable \textit{alumina} ceramics: max temperature 3000°F
- 2” I.D. and 2” height sample
- 1/16” I.D. carbide drill bit
- US tested with 1/4” drilling depth
High Temperature Refractory Model

- Partial echoes from 3 holes at 2, 4, and 6” from the hot end of 1” x 12” Al₂O₃ rod plus complete US reflection from hot end
- 5MHz central frequency was selected

![Image of Al₂O₃ rod]

(a) 1MHz V603  (b) 5MHz V609

SOS Calculation

- Cross-correlation b/w echoes gives change in TOF and SOS(T)
  \[ \text{SOS}(T) = \frac{2(I_4 - I_3)}{\text{TOF}_{r.e.} + (\Delta \text{TOF}_4 - \Delta \text{TOF}_3)} \]

- \( I_3 \rightarrow I_4 \) was measured by micrometer at room T and corrected for thermal expansion at different temperatures

High Temperature SOS vs. Temperature Calibration Curve Experimental Setup

- Surface temperature measured by OMEGA® Nextel Ceramic Insulated TCs (rated to 1200°C for continuous use)
- Heated inside tube furnaces (maximum operating temperatures 1200°C)
- Temperatures changed in 50°C increments, from 50 to 1150°C
- US measurement between I3 and I4 (marked red) was used for SOS vs. temperature calibration curve

Signal processing

- Accurate and robust timing of ultrasound echoes
  - Cross-correlation of waveform envelope: reduces effect of broadening
  - Filtering by anisotropic diffusion: reduces influence of changing waveform features

![Image of signal processing]
High Temperature SOS vs. Temperature Calibration Curve

- Thermal expansion corrections are included
- Strong dependence on temperature
- The highest errors from 1150°C is less than 1.3%
- 65% measured temperatures have accuracy with ± 1°C

Calibration Curve Model

- In steel, for every 100°F in $T$ increase, SOS decreases by 1%
- Fit SOS vs. $T$ to equal percentage model:

$$\text{SOS} = a - R(\bar{\tau} - b)$$

where

$$\text{SOS} = \frac{\text{SOS}_{\text{min}} - \text{SOS}_{\text{max}}}{\text{SOS}_{\text{max}} - \text{SOS}_{\text{min}}}$$

and

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{T - T_{\text{min}}}{T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}}$$

Assumptions on Temperature Distribution

- Piecewise constant temperature in each segment
- Temperature changes linearly, but with different slopes
- Two experiments: Furnace temperature set to 500 and 1000°C
- US measurements at steady state temperatures

$$\text{TOF}_i(T) - \text{TOF}_{i-1}(T) = \frac{2(L_i(T) - L_{i-1}(T))}{\text{SOS}_i(T)}$$
Distributions under Different Assumption: Comparison with TC Measurements

- Piecewise linear distribution better agrees with TC measurements
- Good agreement between US and TC measurements!

Time-dependent measurements
- Refractory rod was partially inside furnace and partially exposed to ambient T
- Piecewise constant assumption
  - Temperature in each segment is constant
- Furnace temperature set at 1150°C
  - Initially, at room temperature
  - After reaching steady state, sample was cooled inside the furnace
- TCs provided independent measurements at steady state only

Time-dependent results

Furnace setpoint at 1150 °C

Furnace setpoint at 650 °C

Pilot Scale Testing: Down-flow oxy-coal combustor

1. Maximum capacity: 100 kW
2. Representative of full scale units:
   1. Self sustaining combustion
   2. Similar residence times and temperatures
   3. Similar particle and flue gas species concentrations
3. Allows systematic variation of operational parameters
**Pilot Scale Testing:** Down-flow oxy-coal combustor

**Mounting ultrasound waveguide**

**Experimental conditions**

- Combustion conditions
  - Steady state combustion of NG or coal
  - The fuel transition from natural gas to coal
  - Combustion at the different fuel flow rates
- A piecewise-constant temperature distribution in 4 segments of the waveguide
- Independent measurements provided by TCs
Natural gas preheating

Stable natural gas combustion

Transition from natural gas to coal combustion

Coal combustion with coal feed rate adjusted

- Increased flow rate of cooling water
- Changed fuel from natural gas to coal
- Reduced coal feed rate
Stable Coal combustion

Conclusions

- Noninvasive ultrasound measurements of temperature are possible, as demonstrated in the lab and at pilot scale
- SOS dependence on temperature is sufficiently strong to allow for accurate measurements of temperature distribution
- During pilot testing, all relevant process changes were captured in real time
- Different waveguide materials should be selected for continuous high temperatures operation
- Signal processing and data interpretation algorithms should be further optimized
- Method can be used with existing units. New units can be designed to measure T distribution in multiple locations
- Broadly applicable in other energy applications
- Can be used to measure temperature distribution on a line, surface, or volume

After tests

(Left) Ash deposition found on the ceramic insulation that covered the alumina rod. (Right) The alumina rod appears visually undamaged after the pilot scale test.

• Revealed issues
  - Continuous real-time monitoring will require permanent coupling between the transducer/receiver and the waveguide
  - Significant deterioration of the ultrasound signal was observed after long time operation at high temperatures
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