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Background and Motivation

• The Los Angeles Basin provides a unique combination of significant need and significant opportunity for large scale CO2 sequestration

• Has numerous large power plants & oil refineries which produce more than 5 million MT of fossil fuel related CO2 emissions each year

• Prolific oil & gas producing basin with thick sediments (several billion barrel fields)
Background and Motivation

• Precedent and history for large scale injection (>3000 injection wells)

• Precedent and history for large scale gas storage (5 fields)

• But, siting large scale CO2 storage beneath a highly populated area is technically and politically impractical

Oil Fields in LA Basin
The offshore Wilmington Graben presents significant advantages, including:

- Geologically isolated, yet accessible from onshore with existing oil and gas infrastructure;
- Very thick sediments nearly identical to those located onshore;
- Fewer existing wells to reduce leakage risk (11 wells).
**Goal and Objective**

- The objective of this research project is to fully characterize Pliocene and Miocene sediments in the Wilmington Graben, offshore Los Angeles, for high volume CO2 storage, to evaluate risks, and to evaluate logistics for transport from local sources
  - The effort contributes to the Carbon Storage Program’s goal to develop technologies to predict CO2 storage capacity in geologic formations to within 30%.
  - The effort also contributes to the Program’s goal to develop technologies to demonstrate 99% of injected CO2 remains within the injection zones.
- One key goal is to confirm CO2 storage capacity in the Wilmington Graben exceeds 100 million metric tons.
  - Contributes to the understanding of injectivity, containment mechanisms, and storage capacity of the Wilmington Graben for large scale CO2 sequestration.
  - One of only two projects focused on offshore storage formations. Only project focused on turbidite geologic settings (common in Western US).
Benefits to the Program

• This project is contributing to the understanding of injectivity, containment mechanisms, and storage capacity of the Wilmington Graben basin.

• Broadens the experimental knowledge base of best practices for site characterization and approving storage site selection with the ultimate goal of developing practical guidelines for future commercially developed CO$_2$ storage sites.

• This effort contributes to the Carbon Storage Program’s effort of conducting field tests to support the development of Best Practices for site selection, characterization, and operations.

• Unique evaluation of offshore storage in a turbidite geologic setting
Project Team and Participants

DOE NETL
California Energy Commission
City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works
Southern California Gas Company (transport infrastructure)
Cal State Long Beach, Dr. Dan Francis (seismic acquisition)
Legg Geophysics (seismic interpretation)
Don Clarke (geologic evaluation and modeling)
USGS, Dr. Dan Ponti (cores and samples repository)
GeoMechanics Technologies (geology, geomechanics, reservoir engineering and drilling contract management)
Team Contributions

- Partnership with the City of LA (Renewable Energy Project) provided drilling site and existing EPA drilling permit in the North Wilmington Graben, expediting drilling DOE#1.
- Partnership with USGS provides a repository for all the cores and drill cutting samples
- Partnership with California State University, Long Beach provided seismic surveying capability and interest in better understanding the Palos Verdes Fault system
- Don Clarke and Mark Legg are the top geology and geophysics experts on Wilmington Area
- Beta Offshore operating and exploring on offshore edge of Graben, providing cost share for DOE#3
- GeoMechanics Technologies has successfully managed about 10 DOE projects over 15 years. We hold a drilling contractors license and are experienced and active in gas storage engineering and injection operations
Work Plan:
Scope of Work

1) Detailed log evaluation of existing exploration wells in the area
2) Improved evaluation and interpretation of existing 2D and 3D seismic data plus new seismic data acquisition within a “data gap” area
3) Drilling and coring three new evaluation wells into the Graben (Pliocene and Miocene)
4) Development of 3D geologic models, geomechanical models, and CO$_2$ injection and migration models for the region – update with data from new DOE wells
5) Analysis of top 20 industrial sources in the LA Basin
6) Transport Infrastructure Study: engineering study of existing and new pipeline systems to transport CO$_2$ from significant local sources to sequestration sites
7) Risk analysis
Project Status
Accomplishments to date

• Detailed log evaluation of existing exploration wells in the area
• Improved evaluation and interpretation of existing 2D and 3D seismic data
• Acquisition and interpretation of additional 2D seismic lines
• DOE#1 (onshore Pliocene) well drilled and analyzed, DOE#2 (onshore Miocene) state permit approved July 31, 2013, with drilling planned for 4th qtr 2013, DOE#3 (offshore Miocene) BSEE permit submitted, with approval expected in September 2013, and drilling planned for 1st qtr, 2014.
• Complete initial development of 3D geologic models, geomechanical and CO₂ injection and migration models. Currently revising and improving based on Peer Review recommendations.
• Completed analysis of top 20 industrial sources in the LA Basin and transportation infrastructure. Developed interactive map/atlas (www.socalcarb.org)
• Risk analysis. 60% completed
DOE#1 and DOE#2 wells

Collect log data from about 12 exploration wells located in State and Federal waters

Evaluate sand, silty-sand, and shale sequences

Combine into common database

Supplement with 3 new wells
Seismic Data Analysis and Acquisition

Collect new seismic data in “gap area”

Combine and reinterpret existing data

Establish horizons for 3D geologic model
Seismic Data Analysis and Acquisition

New seismic data collected over 175km of lines inside and outside breakwater, with ties to previous data.

CA State Long Beach Seismic Acquisition Boat

Shot Point Map for 175km of new seismic lines
Seismic Data Analysis and Acquisition

Top Miocene structure map
Top Basement structure map
• DOE#1 well drilled to a depth of 5400ft, penetrating to near base of Pliocene
  • 200 ft of viable Pliocene age storage formation and 500 ft of caprock identified
  • Sand porosity 24-31%, permeability 50-353 md.
  • Shale porosity 23-29%, permeability <2 md
• DOE#2 well permit application approved 7/31/13
• DOE#3 permit application submitted to BSEE 6/21/13
Formation evaluation data from new wells used to update geologic, geomechanical and gas migration models.

Results for well 1:
• 200 ft of viable Pliocene age storage formation and 500 ft of caprock identified
• Sand porosity 24-31%, permeability 50-353 md.
• Shale porosity 23-29%, permeability <2 md
Using acquired seismic data, well log data, assembled a 3D geologic earth model

Four lithology types: sand, sand-shale, silt, shale

Apply geologic model to:
1. Estimate storage capacity
2. Develop geomechanical model and simulation
3. Develop CO2 injection and migration model and simulation
Geologic Model of Wilmington-Graben

(Top Left) Lithology Model with cut-away view. (Bottom Right) Fence-Diagram.
Apply geologic model to:
1. Estimate storage capacity
2. Develop geomechanical model and simulation
3. Develop CO2 injection and migration model and simulation

Preliminary minimum storage capacity estimate is 258 million MT for the Pliocene and 177 million MT for the Miocene

Miocene estimates to be improved with new well data
Focus on center of Graben

3D model 20,000 x 20,000ft

Apply TOUGH2/ECO2N, use Shell_OCS_P293-1 as injection well over an interval of 150m
Comparison between Porosity Log & Core Porosity

Core porosity
Reservoir Layering for Simulation Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top from sea level [m]</th>
<th>Bottom from sea level [m]</th>
<th>Thickness [m]</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1183</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1187</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>SAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1198</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1204</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1222</td>
<td>1237</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1237</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SILT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1241</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>SILT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1270</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>SILT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1298</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1311</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1317</td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1329</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1338</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1344</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>SILT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1375</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1408</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SILT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1414</td>
<td>1426</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1426</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1439</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1445</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>SAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1533</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1558</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>SAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1575</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SILT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1612</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>SHALE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Porosity and Permeability Correlation

Porosity – permeability correlation

Core samples available (NOTE small # of samples for entire Graben available!)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Available data at well:</th>
<th>Confining pressure (PSI)</th>
<th># of sand</th>
<th># of shale</th>
<th># of silt</th>
<th># of sand/shale</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFI01</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFI02</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFI03</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFI03</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kozeny-Carman equations established using best fit between perm$_{meas}$ and porosity$_{meas}$ term:

- Sand: $\text{Perm}_{\text{sand (mD)}} = 9408 \times n^3$
- Shale: $\text{Perm}_{\text{shale (mD)}} = 71.044 \times n^2/(1-n)^2$
- Sand/Shale interbed: $\text{Perm}_{\text{sa/sh (mD)}} = 367 \times n^2/(1-n)^2$
- Silt: $\text{Perm}_{\text{silt (mD)}} = 9408 \times n^3$
Gas Saturation Contours after 10 Years (preliminary model results)
Heterogeneity modeled in RW, mapped to Tough2 model

Injection cell size: 6m³

Multiple characterizations for alternative risk scenarios
Develop Geomechanical Model to assess:
1. Induced seafloor deformations
2. Induced stresses
3. Fault activation risks

Apply FLAC3D code for two areas in the Graben (north and central)
Develop Geomechanical Model to assess:

1. Induced seafloor deformations
2. Induced stresses
3. Fault activation risks

Apply FLAC3D code for two areas in the Graben (north and central)
Risk Analysis and Practical Logistics

Risk Assessment Includes:

- Lateral Migration to Poorly Cemented Offset Wells
  - Detailed well record review
  - Reservoir scale fluid and migration modeling
- Injection Well Failure and Transmission
  - Stress analysis, near-well migration modeling
- Caprock Integrity Study
  - Geomechanical analysis of fracture and fault activation risk
- Natural Seismicity Risks
  - Historical review of impacts on O&G and Gas Storage operations
- Induced Seismicity Risks
  - Analog review, geomechanical analysis, microseismic monitoring
- CO2 Migration to Sea Floor
  - Analog review, rate assessment, and biologic impact estimate
Risk Analysis and Practical Logistics

Logistics Evaluation Includes:

1. Identify and characterize top 20 sources in LA Basin
   • Include on Interactive Google Earth Map
   • Contribute to NATCARB Atlas and Database

2. Evaluate pipeline and storage field infrastructure in LA Basin
   • Location and design of existing oil and gas lines
   • Location of existing storage fields
   • Requirements for transport from major sources to Graben area
   • Typical design and cost for CO2 transmission lines
Sources, Sinks and Existing Pipelines for Potential CO2 Transport
Completed
Next Step

- Drill and complete DOE#2 and DOE#3 wells
- Establish revised material properties for the different lithologies, based on revised porosity data and permeability estimation and rerun gas migration modeling
- Rerun geomechanical modeling using revised material properties
- Complete risk assessment and documentation
Challenges Permitting 3 wells for Wilmington Graben

Dealing with multiple locations (city, state lands, and federal waters) diverse organizations, and regulations:

- City of Los Angeles
- US EPA
- State Division of Oil and Gas and Geothermal Resources
- BSEE
- CEQA compliance (state implementation of NEPA)

DOE#1 : Onshore well, CLA partner, permitted by EPA
DOE#2 : Onshore well, CLA partner, permitted by DOGGR and EPA
DOE#3 : Offshore well, BOC partner, permitted by BSEE
Summary

• Significant Accomplishments
  – DOE#1 well drilled and completed
  – 175km of new seismic acquired, analyzed, and interpreted
  – 3D Geologic Model developed for entire graben
  – Interactive map of sources, sinks, pipeline completed

• Key Findings
  – About 500 million metric tons storage capacity available (at ~ 3% saturation); Not all accessible due to offset wells, Additional deep capacity likely
  – Injection pressures remain less than 1.1 x initial reservoir pressure if injection limited to 250,000 MT/Y per well
  – CO2 gas plume migrates 1000m horizontally and 350m vertically after 5 years of injection and 50 years of observation if injection limited to 150m interval.
Appendix

– These slides will not be discussed during the presentation, but are mandatory
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  – Dr. Mike Bruno
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  – Kang Lao
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• Research Engineer
  – Jing Xiang

• Research Geologist
  – Nicky White
  – Bill Childers

• Contractors
  – Dr. Mark Legg
  – Dr. Dan Francis
  – Don Clarke
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  – California Energy Commission
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Gantt Chart
Gantt Chart
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