SOFC anode degradation during operation in coal syngas with selected impurities.

Harry O. Finklea NIFT

Professor, Bennett Department of Chemistry West Virginia University Morgantown, WV Fellow, Institute of Advanced Energy Studies

July 16, 2009





Outline

- Introduction to NIFT
- Phosphine
- Hydrogen sulfide
- Hydrogen chloride
- In-situ temperature measurements
- SOFC simulations
- Conclusions





National Institute for Fuel Cell Technology

- Established in Fall 2006 under the Implementation of US DOE EPSCoR and WV state EPSCoR Implementation
- Personnel
 - 10 faculty from 4 departments (MAE, Chem. Eng., Chem., Phys.)
 - 5 postdocs
 - 8 graduate students
- Vision establish an internationally recognized, sustainable fuel cell research center for coal-based clean power generation
- Capabilities
 - Button cell manufacturing
 - Half-cell and full cell test benches
 - Unique in-situ optical test bench
 - High-end computational facilities





Acknowledge co-workers & support

- Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
- Ismail Celik, Nick Wu, Bruce Kang, Xingbo Liu, Andrei Smirnov, Ed Sabolsky, Xueyan Song
- Chemical Engineering
- John Zondlo
- Chemistry
- Harry Finklea
- Physics
- Barry Cooper, Ning Ma
- National Research Center for Coal and Energy
- Dick Bajura
- plus the 5 post docs and 8 graduate students
- Support: DE-FG02-06ER46299 (Dept. of Energy)





Objectives of Current Research

- Characterize the effects of major trace contaminants in coal syngas on fuel cell performance
- Identify the fundamental mechanisms through which
 these impurities affect performance
- Develop novel materials to minimize impact of contaminants
- Propose remedies for adverse effects of contaminants
 on fuel cell performance





Phosphine Protocol

- MSRI cells, 0.8 mm anode support, with 25 micron active anode layer, 20 micron YSZ electrolyte, LSM/YSZ cathode (2 cm²).
- Anode current collector: anode center not covered with mesh or paste.
- Sequential exposure to wet hydrogen, syngas, and syngas with PH₃ at 800°C.
- Syngas: 30% H₂, 26% H₂O, 23% CO, 21% CO₂.
- PH₃ conc. typically 10 ppm, injected after humidifier.
- In this section, focus on overvoltages ($\Delta E_{OCV} \Delta E_{appl} + IR_s$).
- Ex. Apply 0.80 V, ΔE_{OCV} = 1.08 V, R_s = 0.10 Ω , I = 1.0 A
- Overvoltage = $1.08 0.80 + (1.0 \text{ A})(0.10 \Omega) = 0.18 \text{ V}$





Long term Performance test at 0.25 A/cm²







Ohmic and polarization resistances from EIS





Anode appearance after PH₃ exposure

No metallic layer underneath mica seal





Metallic layer on exposed part of the anode





The nickel migrates!



Virgin SOFC

After PH_3 exposure











Van der Pauw measurements on the anode.

- Does Ni migration cause power loss through damage to the Ni percolation network in the anode?
- Perform in-situ van der Pauw measurements during exposure experiment.
- Linear plots, zero intercept imply pure ohmic behavior.
- All 4 connection combinations yield very similar resistances.
- Calculate sheet resistance and resistivity.









VDP results summary

	H ₂ W/ 3%	H ₂ W/ 26%	Syngas	Syngas after	Syngas after	Syngas+PH₃	Syngas+PH₃	Syngas+PH ₃
	water	water	after 24 h	96 h	170 h	after 24 h	after 96 h	after 170 h
Resistivity (μΩ.cm)	575	585	588	608	610	606	605	608

Estimated uncertainty +/- 5% -> no significant change.

Loss of power not due to loss of percolation network in the supporting part of the anode.

Lit. value for pure nickel at $800^{\circ}C = 45 \ \mu\Omega.cm$





Recent overvoltage study

- Protocol
- After 200 hour burn-in using wet hydrogen, then clean syngas, expose the anode to 10 ppm PH_3 at fixed overvoltages for 24 hours.
- Applied overvoltage sequence: 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 V (not corrected for iR drop) for 1-2 days each.
- Then expose the anode to clean syngas, and finally wet hydrogen for 1 day each.
- Collect measurements of OCV, polarization curves, EIS at OCV and at fixed overvoltages each day.
- Objective: see if there is a correlation between the overvoltage and the rate of power loss.





Power vs time during 10 ppm PH₃ exposure



Degradation continues after removal of PH₃.





Rate of Power Loss vs Gas Mixture

first application of 0.2 V overvoltage results in highest rate of power loss 4 3.5 3 Rate of loss (mWcm second application - lower rate of power loss. 2.5 2 1.5 1 0.5 0 Clean syngas PH3 0.1V PH3 0.2V PH3 0.3V PH3 0.1V PH3 0.2V PH3 0.3V Clean syngas H2 **Gas mixture**

No clear correlation of rate of power loss with overvoltage.





Series and polarization resistances vs time





Summary of phosphine results

- Substantial loss of power (0.05 to 0.5 mW/cm²/hr) during exposure to 10 ppm PH₃.
- Migration of nickel, possibly as nickel phosphide phases.
- No significant change in anode resistivity over 200 hrs.
- Power loss is not recovered using clean syngas.
- Higher rate of power loss on first exposure to higher overvoltage, but no subsequent correlation of power loss with overvoltage.
- Recent result no degradation for 10 ppm PH_3 in dry H_2 . Is water needed for the degradation process?





Sulfur-Tolerant SOFC Anode



Baseline MSRI cell with Pt paste tested in syngas with 20 ppm H_2S and load of 0.25 A/cm².

MSRI cell impregnated with $La_x Ce_{1-x}O_2$ coating tested in syngas with 20 ppm H₂S and load of 0.25 A/cm². Onset of decay delayed 2 to 13 hours. 0.90 0.85 l⊫ H_sS on Syngas on 0.80 +H_S cut-off 0.75 0.70 0.65 5.3% Performance Drop 4.7% Performance Drop 0.60 Degradation Started(3hrs) 0.55 0.50 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 Time(h) West Virginia University



Effect of HCI impurity



Cell voltage vs time at 0.5 A/cm² for a cell running on syngas before/after addition of 100 ppm HCl for 300 hours at 800°C, 100 hours at 850°C. Cell overvoltage ca. 0.2 V. No significant changes in ohmic or polarization resistances during HCl exposure.





After 400 hours exposure to 100 ppm HCI



clean reduced anode

after HCI exposure





In-situ measurements



Probostat with sapphire window gives optical access to one electrode.

Use Sagnac interferometry to measure strain.

Use IR thermometer to measure directly the SOFC surface temperature.



Surface temperature results for the Ni mesh on the anode



Effect of current density and gas composition ->

<- time response to step changes in current density.



Viese Virginia University.



Accomplishments: Multiscale Continuum Modeling

- Developed 3-D anode-supported SOFC model which includes conservation of mass, charge and energy, multi-component mass transfer, surface and gas phase reactions, simultaneous oxidation of hydrogen and CO.
- Refined parameters by fitting VI curves to experimental data.
- Equilibrium calculations for stable forms in the presence of selected contaminants.
- Phenomenological model for degradation.





Continuum Modeling

• Developed a detailed 3D model for syngas SOFCs that gives comprehensive information on various constitutive processes.



Predicted performance of button cells operating on various fuel compositions



Surface temperature of a button cell operating on coal syngas at 1073 K: Comparison between experiments and simulations





Degradation Modeling

 phenomenological model to simulate the typical poisoning effect of the impurities.



Ni₃P, Ni₅P₂, Ni₂P

Ni₃P/YSZ



Conclusions

- PH₃ poses a significant threat to long term operation of Ni anodes in SOFCs. Once losses appear, they are irreversible.
- Possible mechanisms include nickel migration and blocking of nickel surfaces in the active layer.
- An oxidation catalyst (La-doped ceria) delays onset of degradation by H₂S. Can that strategy be applied to PH₃?
- Over 100 hours, 100 ppm HCl does not significantly affect performance or resistances in the anode, despite visible structural changes.
- More in-situ methods are needed. Optical temperature and strain measurements are promising.
- Computational methods will help understand local chemical conditions and provide predictions of failure.



