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Background: Weld can be the weakest link

- Weldability issues (aka Type IV cracking) in Creep Strength Enhanced Ferritic Steels weldments

  HAZ cracking in a 9-12Cr steel

  Type IV failure of E911 pipe weldment

  Source: ETD Ltd.

- Major reduction in creep strength in the weld region can be as high as 50% of base metal. Effectively negate the benefit of higher creep resistance steels such as P91, P92 etc.

- Lack of reliable predictive modeling tool makes it difficult to effectively incorporate welding technology innovations for creep resistance improvement in design and service. Life assessment of existing power plant and scheduling maintenance and repair
Degradation of Creep Performance in CSEF Weld

- Not all welds are created equal – it is possible to alter microstructure to improve creep performance of welds

- Weld creep performance assessment tool needs to include microstructure variations and associated different creep deformation/failure mechanisms so that innovations in weld creep performance improvement can be practiced with high confidence

Table: Microstructure evolution at fine grain heat affected zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-weld temper</th>
<th>Weld (at FGHAZ)</th>
<th>PWHFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **HTT**
| (e.g. 780T/760) | ![Microstructure](image1) | ![Microstructure](image2) | ![Microstructure](image3) |
| **LTT**
| (e.g. 650T/760) | ![Microstructure](image4) | ![Microstructure](image5) | ![Microstructure](image6) |

X. Yu et al., Acta Materialia, 2013
Project Goal and Scope

• Develop an Integrated Computational Welding Engineering modeling (ICWE) tool for creep deformation and failure in welded structures of Creep Strength Enhanced Ferritic (CSEF) Steels

• Develop a new creep test approach with purposely built system suitable to determine the highly nonuniform creep deformation and failure in the a weldment to validate and refine the model

• Two levels of modeling frameworks have been under development
  - An engineering approach for weld creep performance based on experimental data (Level 1 model)
  - Microstructure informed ICWE model for CSEF steels weld creep performance prediction (Level 2 model)
What’s the local creep behavior in HAZ that controls Type IV cracking in Grade 91 welds?

- Cracking occurs in a very narrow region, typically ~1mm wide
- Measurement needs to have sub-mm spatial resolution
- Standard creep test (for base metal) cannot capture such highly localized creep deformation

P. Mayr, 2007
A purposely built in-situ full-field creep strain measurement system with high temperature DIC
Creep measurement using "standard" extensometer shows very low creep strain before tertiary creep leading to failure.

Creep condition: 550°C, 215 MPa

Before creep testing

After creep testing
Creep strain localization in the HAZ quantified by high temperature DIC

Creep testing condition: 600 °C, 135 MPa

Local creep curves in different regions of weld, HAZ and base metal with a spatial resolution below 1mm
Systematic microhardness measurement helps to identify creep rupture location in the welds.

Before creep testing
PWHT-ed: 760 °C - 2 h

After creep testing
Creep: 600 °C - 135 MPa
Hardness Distribution

Microhardness (HV0.5) vs. Distance (mm)

Structural Evolution

Cavity formation
Purposely designed experiments with varying microstructures to support and validate the ICWE model

- Gleeble simulation of HAZ
Different creep behavior related to formation of martensite in ICHAZ

As welded

After PWHT (760°C, 2hrs)

LT: 860°C >Ac1, ~10% new martensite

HT: 900°C <Ac3, ~80% new martensite

Additional creep tests for different HAZ microstructure constituents are on-going to extract information for model development and validation.
Level 1 Model: An engineering (phenomenological) approach based on local weld creep measurement

- Experimentally determine the local creep strain evolution at different stages of creep deformation and failure
  - High temperature DIC based fully field, in-situ measurement across the entire weld/HAZ region

- Measured properties can be incorporated in constitutive equations or used as direct input in finite element models.
Level 1 Model: Creep Damage Model based on local weld creep measurement

- Physics based phenomenological constitutive equation

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = A \sinh \left( \frac{B \sigma_e (1 - H)}{(1 - \phi)(1 - \omega)} \right) \frac{3 S_{ij}}{2 \sigma_e} \]

- Strain hardening

\[ \frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{h \dot{\varepsilon}_e}{\sigma_e} \left( \frac{1 - H}{H^*} \right) \]

- Precipitate coarsening

\[ \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{K_c}{3} (1 - \phi)^4 \]

- Intergranular cavitation

\[ \frac{d\omega}{dt} = C N \dot{\varepsilon}_e \left( \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_e} \right)^\nu \]

- Determination of material parameters

Optimization objective function

\[ f(\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_j} \left[ (\varepsilon_{ij}^e - \varepsilon_{ij}^f)/\varepsilon_{ij}^e \right]^2 \]

- Experiment creep strain

- Fitted value of creep strain

- Number of experiment curves

- Number of data points on experiment curves
Determination of material parameters from local DIC creep measurement

- **Comparison**

- **Constitutive parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>B (MPa⁻¹)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>h (MPa)</th>
<th>H⁺</th>
<th>Kc (h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>2.9563×10⁻⁹</td>
<td>1.1520×10⁻¹</td>
<td>1.1804</td>
<td>9.3605×10⁴</td>
<td>0.4266</td>
<td>2.9786×10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZ 0.4mm</td>
<td>3.8059×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.3935×10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.0416</td>
<td>2.010×10⁴</td>
<td>0.5473</td>
<td>8.9785×10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZ 0.8mm</td>
<td>3.9287×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.3935×10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.0535</td>
<td>2.010×10⁴</td>
<td>0.5476</td>
<td>1.0978×10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZ 1.2mm</td>
<td>4.2859×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.3935×10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.0240</td>
<td>1.6098×10⁴</td>
<td>0.5507</td>
<td>1.2982×10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZ 1.6-2.0mm</td>
<td>4.2859×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.3935×10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.0262</td>
<td>1.6098×10⁴</td>
<td>0.5514</td>
<td>1.3980×10⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[(0 < H < H^*, 0 < \phi < 1, 0 < \omega < 1/3, \nu = 2.8)\]
Comparison: Level I Model and DIC Experiment

- Finite element model

**Finite element model**

- **BM**
- **HAZ WM**

Assign properties based on locations

- DIC

View: cut through the thickness

**DIC**

**Strain (%)**

- **DIC**
- **Simulation predicted**

**T=600°C, σ=135MPa**
Application of Level 1 Model: An improved weld configuration?

- Grove weld
- Step weld
An improved weld configuration?

- Creep strain
- Stress triaxiality
- Creep damage parameter $\omega$

(Same contour limits for grove and step weld)
An Improved weld configuration?

![Graph showing the average strain in IC/FGHAZ over time for Grove weld and Step weld.](image)
Level 2 Model: Life Prediction with Explicit Microstructure Information

- What are the key microstructure features for creep deformation?
- How does these key features affect creep properties?
- Due to significant microstructure variations in the weld region, it is essential to explicitly incorporate the microstructure information in creep life prediction.
- Such model is also useful to design and optimize microstructure for creep life improvement (base metal and weld metal)
Level 2 Macroscopic Creep Deformation and Damage Model

- Two Major Parts
  - Creep deformation
    - Grain interior
    - Grain boundary
  - Cavitation failure
    - Cavity nucleation
    - Cavity growth
    - Grain boundary failure

Deformation and Fracture Mechanism Map
Part I: Creep Model - Deformation Mechanisms

- Governing constitutive equation:

\[
\sigma_{ij,j} + b_i = 0, \\
\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{ij} + u_{ji}),
\]

where

\[
\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^e + \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^p,
\]

\[
\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^p = \frac{1}{1 + \nu} \frac{E}{1 + \nu} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{\nu}{1 + \nu} \delta_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right).
\]

\[
\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^p = A_{dis} \frac{E b D_i}{k_B T} \left( \frac{\sigma}{E} \right)^n + A_{coble} \frac{E b D_{gb}}{k_B T} \left( \frac{\sigma}{E} \right)^3 \frac{3 S_{ij}}{2 \sigma_c}.
\]

where \( S_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} - \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij}/3, \sigma_c = \sqrt{3 S_{ij} S_{ij}/2}. \)

- Grain orientation effects:

\[
f_{\text{taylor}} = \frac{\Sigma Y_{\text{local}}}{\langle \varepsilon_{VM} \rangle} \]  -- Indicates “soft” or “hard” grains.

- Crystal plasticity theory
  - Multiplicative decomposition:
    \[ F = F^p T^p \]
  - Plastic deformation rate:
    \[ \dot{F} = \sum \gamma_{(\alpha)} s_{(\alpha)} \otimes m_{(\alpha)} \]
  - Flow rule
    - Hardening law
      \[ \dot{\gamma}^\alpha = \dot{\gamma}_0 \left( \frac{\tau^\alpha}{g^\alpha} \right)^{1/m} g^\alpha = \sum \dot{h}_{\beta\beta} | \dot{\gamma}_\beta | \]
  - Modification to the rate equation
    \[
    \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = \frac{\Omega \eta_0 \exp(-Q_{gb}/RT)}{k_B T} \tau,
    \]
    \[
    \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^p = \frac{A_{dis}}{k_B T} \left( \frac{\sigma}{E} \right)^n + A_{coble} \frac{E b D_{gb}}{k_B T} \left( \frac{\sigma}{E} \right)^3 \frac{3 S_{ij}}{2 \sigma_c}.
    \]
    where \( f_{\text{local}} = \frac{f_{\text{local}}}{\max(f_{\text{local}}^1, f_{\text{local}}^2, \ldots, f_{\text{local}}^N)}. \)
Part II: Creep Fracture and Life Prediction by the Intergranular Creep Fracture Model

Grain interior creep

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^e + \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^p \]

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^e = \frac{1 + \nu}{E} \left( \sigma_{ij} - \frac{\nu}{1 + \nu} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij} \right) \]

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^p = \dot{\varepsilon}_0 \left( \frac{\sigma_e}{\sigma_0} \right)^n \frac{3}{2} \frac{S_{ij}}{S_{ij}} M \]

where \( \sigma_e = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} S_{ij} S_{ij}} \)

M: Micromechanical Taylor factor
- Grain orientation

Grain boundary sliding

Newtonian viscous flow

\[ \dot{\mathbf{u}}_s = \frac{\tau}{\eta} - \frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{A}{T} e^{-Q_s} \]

Cavity representation

Cavity volume

\[ V = \frac{4}{3} \pi a^3 h(\psi) \]

Shape parameter

\[ h(\psi) = \frac{(1 + \cos \psi)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \cos \psi}{\sin \psi} \]

Smeared–out separation

\[ u_n = \frac{V}{\pi b^2} = \frac{2Vb}{\pi b^3} \]

Rate of void spacing

\[ \frac{b}{b} = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\varepsilon}_1 + \dot{\varepsilon}_||) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{N}{N} \]

Damage evolution:

\[ a/b \sim 1.0 \]

Cavity nucleation

Cavitation nucleation rate:

\[ N = F_n \left( \frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 \varepsilon_e^c \]

Nucleation parameter:

\[ S = \left( \frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 \varepsilon_e^c \text{ for } \sigma_n > 0 \]

Threshold value:

\[ S_{thr} = \frac{N_i}{F_n} \]

Cavity nucleation to be triggered:

\[ S > S_{thr}, N<N_{max} \]

Cavity growth

Cavity growth – GB diffusion and creep:

\[ \dot{V} = \dot{V}_1 + \dot{V}_2 \]

1. Contribution of GB diffusion:

\[ \dot{V}_1 = 4\pi D \left( \frac{\sigma_n - (1 - f)\sigma_s}{\ln(\frac{1}{f}) - \frac{1}{2}(3 - f)(1 - f)} \right) \]

2. Contribution of creep deformation:

\[ \dot{V}_2 = \begin{cases} 
\pm 2\pi \varepsilon_e a^3 h(\psi) [\alpha_n |\frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e}| + \beta_n]^n, & \text{for } \pm \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e} > 1 \\
2\pi \varepsilon_e a^3 h(\psi)[\alpha_n + \beta_n]^n |\frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e}|, & \text{for } \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e} < 1 
\end{cases} \]
Integrating pieces together for creep fracture (P91 base metal):
Creep Damage Simulation of Weld Type IV Failure

- Creep fracture in HAZ
- Creep curve: predicted vs. experiment
- Life prediction

![Stress vs. Time Graph](image)

- GB Diffusion (long term)
Level 2 Model Development

Microstructure Features

Representative Volume Element (RVE) explicitly connect with key microstructure features

Constitutive creep relation from RVE

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{\text{creep}} = f(\text{carbides, grain boundary sliding, grain interior deformation, } T, \sigma, \text{etc}) \]

Weld Coupon

Structural Component
RVE Model to Study Creep Rupture Behavior in ICHAZ with Two Phases

- Level II RVE creep model with explicit microstructure information

Case study:

Case I: $\dot{\varepsilon}_B = 10 \dot{\varepsilon}_A = 1.337 \times 10^{-8} s^{-1}$

Case III: $\dot{\varepsilon}_A = \dot{\varepsilon}_B = 1.337 \times 10^{-8} s^{-1}$

Phase A has lower creep rate (hard phase)

Phase A and B have same creep rate
Creep Rupture Behavior in ICHAZ: Case I, Time=1s

- **Stress**

Uniform stress and strain distribution at the beginning of loading due to the similar elastic material property.

- **Strain**

Case I: $\dot{\varepsilon}_B = 10\dot{\varepsilon}_A = 1.337 \times 10^{-8} s^{-1}$
Creep Rupture Behavior in ICHAZ: Case I, Time = 4,433s

- **Stress**

  Nonuniform stress distribution as a result of the creep rate difference between phase A and B. Higher stress is observed in the ‘hard’ phase, and higher strain is in the soft phase.

- **Strain**

  \[ \dot{\varepsilon}_B = 10 \dot{\varepsilon}_A = 1.337 \times 10^{-8} \text{s}^{-1} \]
Creep Rupture Behavior in ICHAZ: Case I, Time = 263,600 s

- Stress

- Strain

\[ \varepsilon_B = 10 \varepsilon_A = 1.337 \times 10^{-8} s^{-1} \]

- Strong stress redistribution: the ‘hard’ phases withstand more load with the increased time. Stress concentration near the triple junctions and grain boundaries is caused by the grain boundary activities and the mismatch between these two phases. Accumulated strain is observed, especially in the soft grains.
Creep Rupture Behavior in ICHAZ: Case I, Time = 813,629 s

- Stress

- Strain

Case I: $\dot{\varepsilon}_B = 10\dot{\varepsilon}_A = 1.337 \times 10^{-8} s^{-1}$

- Stress concentration disappears with the cavity nucleation, growth and formation of microcracks on the grain boundaries.
Creep Rupture Behavior in ICHAZ: Case III (same creep rate)
Creep Rupture Behavior in ICHAZ with Two Phases

Case I:
\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_B = 10 \dot{\varepsilon}_A = 1.337 \times 10^{-8} \text{s}^{-1} \]

Case II:
\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_A = \dot{\varepsilon}_B = 1.337 \times 10^{-8} \text{s}^{-1} \]

Case III:
\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_A = \dot{\varepsilon}_B = 1.337 \times 10^{-9} \text{s}^{-1} \]

Case IV:
\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_A = 10 \dot{\varepsilon}_B = 1.337 \times 10^{-9} \text{s}^{-1} \]
Three Dimensional Model

• To obtain more realistic localized and macroscopic deformation of the critical subregions in the weldment.

Model: 500 grains ~ 600,000 elements
Grain boundary Cohesive element

Strain evolution
Three Dimensional Model

• Inner strain distribution
Summary

• A new experiment approach, involving high-temperature creep testing, in-situ DIC measurement, advanced microscopy characterization, and standardized metallurgical analysis, has been developed to investigate creep performance of the CSEF steel welds.

• Localized creep deformation can be quantified by the specially-built DIC, to evaluate creep strength degradation in the CSEF steel welds.
  - The newly formed martensite in ICHAZ during welding has profound influence on the localized creep deformation.
Summary

• Demonstrated an engineering modeling approach (Level 1 model) for weld creep performance prediction based on the local DIC experimental data.
  - Potential for weld process innovation to improve weld creep performance

• Established ICWE modeling framework (Level 2) to simulate effects of key microstructure features and operating conditions (temperature and stress)
  - Capable of predicting creep rapture life of base metal and welds in literature
  - Demonstrated the feasibility of a micromechanics RVE model to provide microstructure informed constitutive relation for macroscopic weld structure performance prediction.
On-Going Work

- Additional experiments with varying key microstructure constituents in the HAZ of P91 weld to provide needed parameters to validate the model and make it applicable to specific CSEF materials and welds.
- Refine/fine tune the modeling approach to better connect microscopic RVE and macroscopic models
- Port the ICWE code to high performance computers (HPC) for realistic prediction of industry applications
Thank you!
Key Milestones

- **FY2015**
  - Improve and standardize the ORNL weld creep test procedure and demonstrate its effectiveness to quantify the non-uniform creep deformation behavior in P91 weldments

- **FY2016**
  - Complete first stage of microstructural model with consideration of martensite/precipitates evolution and interaction
  - Develop a weld creep performance model using experimental determined creep parameters (Level 1)

- **FY2017**
  - Complete integration of microstructure model with RVE model (Level II)

- **FY2018**
  - Demonstrate the model developed in this project for creep life prediction
  - Report on the feasibility and potential of big data and deep learning method for creep life prediction of weldments

- **FY2019**
  - Complete integration of microscopic RVE with evolving microstructure features and macroscopic models for component level predictions (March 2019)
  - Port the ICWE code to high performance computers (HPC) for realistic prediction of industry applications (June 2019)
  - Complete purposely designed experiments with varying microstructures to support and validate the ICWE model (Sept. 2019)
"As-Received" Grade 91 base metal (Plate)

- Heat number: 30176
- Solid processing: hot forging, hot rolling
- Heat treatment:
  Normalizing: 1050 °C-1 hour-AC, Tempering: 760 °C-2 hours-AC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition (wt. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RVE Model for Carbide/Precipitate on Grain Boundary

- Explicitly determine the roles of carbides/precipitates on grain boundary deformation and failure.

Unit cell model

\[ r_p: \text{precipitate radius} \]
\[ d_g: \text{grain size (5 microns)} \]