Autonomous Aerial Power Plant Inspection in **GPS-Denied Environments** M. M. Rizia, A. G. Ortega, A. Flores-Abad, M. McGee, A. Choudhuri

- difficult places to reach, and can turn expensive.
- dependant [1].
- (internal inspection)



- *environments* of coal-fired power plants, including.
- particulate (ash) accumulations.
- 3. Reduces the heightened risks and accessibility limitations of manual/human inspection.
- 4. Significantly reduced system downtime.

RESULTS

trajectories.

Manufacturing Method



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Department of Energy (DOE) under Grant No. DE-FE0031655, NASA MIRO Center for Space Exploration and Technology Research (cSETR), University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP), El Paso Electric and AerobotX Inc.



NASA MIRO Center for Space Exploration and Technology Research (cSETR) University of Texas at El Paso

INTRODUCTION

Inspection of coal-fired power plants is frequently dangerous, includes

Robotic systems have shown capabilities to address some of these issues, but most current robotic inspection technology in power plants is designed for specific components and either RC controlled or GPS

A close-range and autonomous inspection method in the GPS-denied environments from a CAD model of power plant is introduced here.

Synthetic vision (used in aerospace industries) inspired approach, using "Offline trajectory" complemented with "online trajectory", using two platforms : a) A quadrotor (external) and b) a low thrust airship



Fig. 1a. Synthetic vision (GARMIN Synthetic Vision Technology)



Fig. 1b. Lighter-than-air low thrust airship (courtesy: industrial partner, AerobotX)

NEED

1. To enable Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) close quarter inspection within complex over-head and Global Positioning System (GPS)-denied

2. Universal reach i.e. *exterior and interior* inspection of structural elements than component specific approaches, specially without disturbing internal

METHODOLOGY



Fig. 2. CAD/CAM-based Methodology

1. Three different CAD-based methods were used to generate the offline

2. MATLAB/SIMULINK was used to verify the obtained trajectories.

Slicer Algorithm

testind position bollerz.mdt

Fig 3c. A screenshot of UAV offline trajectory simulation test using SIMULINK/MATLAB

Major Advantages

- minimize human risk.
- 2. Can equally be used for both external and internal inspections.
- maneuverability or incur additional cost.

Future work

- testing
- 2. Sync offline and online trajectory generation to work simultaneously
- 3. Further refine algorithm for universal application

REFERENCES

pp. 1-8.

2019 Crosscutting Annual Review Meeting Pittsburgh April 10th, 2019, Pennsylvania

Fig. 1c. A quadrotor UAV

BENEFITS AND FUTURE WORK

1. Saves time and cost associated with downtime of the powerplant and

3. This is a software/data based system that does not need additional component installation in the UAV, thereby does not affect

Export trajectory data and yaw data points to flight controller for in flight

1. J. Nikolic, M. Burri, J. Rehder, S. Leutenegger, C. Huerzeler and R. Siegwart, "A UAV system" for inspection of industrial facilities," 2013 IEEE Aerospace Conference, Big Sky, MT, 2013,

U.S. DEPARTMENT O