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DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.
ABSTRACT

As part of Task 1 in Advanced Technologies for Stripper Gas Well Enhancement, Schlumberger – Holditch Reservoir Technologies (H-RT) joined with two Appalachian Basin producers, Great Lakes Energy Partners, LLC, and Belden & Blake Corporation to develop methodologies for identification and enhancement of stripper wells with economic upside potential. These industry partners previously provided us with data for more than 700 wells in northwestern Pennsylvania.

Phase 1 goals of this project are to develop and validate methodologies that can quickly and cost-effectively identify wells with enhancement potential. We have enhanced and streamlined our software, and we are beta-testing the final stages of our new Microsoft™ Access/Excel based software. We have processed all well information and identified potential candidate wells that can be used in Phase 2 to validate the new methodologies. In addition, the final technical report is almost finished and a draft version is being reviewed by Gary Covatch.
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INTRODUCTION

During this report period, we continued to work with our two industry partners, Great Lakes Energy Partners, LLC (Great Lakes), and Belden & Blake Corporation (B&B). As mentioned in our last update, Great Lakes has supplied data for approximately 205 wells located in Warren, Venango, and Crawford counties, Pennsylvania, and B&B provided information for ± 501 wells situated in Venango and Warren counties, Pennsylvania. We are using this data to test and improve our Microsoft™ Access/Excel software, and recognize underperformers.

EXPERIMENTAL

We have utilized our new software tools to conduct a rapid, first-pass search for wells possessing enhancement potential. To begin the process, we generated a single Production Indicator (PI) capable of representing the entire production life of a well. The software has the ability to calculate two PI’s (x-year cumulative versus Date of First Production (DOFP), and normalized rate versus DOFP). The first indicator is obtained by determining the cumulative gas production over a user–specified input period (e.g. 3 yr, 5 yr, 8 yr, etc.) versus a well’s DOFP, and the second PI is determined by calculating the normalized (i.e. the average monthly production rate for the desired year) gas production rate for the chosen year of production.

We then compared the PI’s of a target well relative to the average of its offsets within a chosen radius (e.g. 5000 ft), and identified target wells that are underperforming.

The software compares the PI of a target well to the average indicator of its offsets. A user chooses a percentage (e.g. > = 50%) that a target well’s PI must be below the wells within its domain in order to be recognized as a low-performer. The entire well list is processed and all qualifying target wells that meet the chosen criteria are listed. This provides a rapid, efficient, and automated method to identify wells that are underperformers and that may have potential for production enhancement.

Our first pass has been finished and we have identified a list of wells with enhancement potential. During the first quarter of 2002, we discussed the project with B&B and Great Lakes and reviewed completion, geologic, and production data in more detail. We are now further refining our list to establish a good basis for a Phase II field demonstration.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gary Covatch is beta testing the SWARM software before its final release. Our Access database is linked to a Microsoft Excel file specifically designed to aid in recognizing wells with possible production enhancement potential.

A user-friendly interface has been included as this is of paramount importance in making the program relatively easy and inexpensive to use by the operators. Enhanced features such as generating a location maps and rate-time plots have been added.

CONCLUSION

We have received data for more than 700 wells and are in the final stages of identifying wells with possible production enhancement potential. The software is now in its beta testing stage and when completed it will provide an easy and fast way to identify wells that may have upside potential.

Preparation of the Final Technical Report is almost complete and Gary Covatch is reviewing a draft version.

From our experience in the Appalachian Basin, we are confident that a good sample of stripper wells with enhancement potential has been found in this data set. We are also confident that the methodologies developed to date can quickly and economically select stripper wells with economic upside potential. By accomplishing this, we will provide producers throughout the U.S. a means of increasing existing gas production and increase the U.S. natural gas reserve base.