

Oil & Natural Gas Technology

DOE Award No.: DE-FE0024271

Fracture Diagnostics Using Low Frequency Electromagnetic Induction and Electrically Conductive Proppants

Submitted by:
Mukul M. Sharma
The University of Texas at Austin
200 E. Dean Keeton St. Stop C0300
Austin, Texas 78712

April 30, 2017
DUNS Number:170230239

Prepared for:
United States Department of Energy
National Energy Technology Laboratory

Project/Grant Period: October 1, 2014 – September 30, 2018
Reporting Period: Jan. 1, 2017 – March 31, 2017

Quarterly Progress Report

M. M. Sharma

Mukul M. Sharma

April, 30, 2017
Date



Office of Fossil Energy



2. ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- Co-planar and cross polarized transmitters and receivers were built and tested.
- Initial measurements were performed for calibrating the transmitter and receiver coils and extracting their effective parameters.
- A procedure for tuning the receiver coil system for bucking the signal was developed.
- Initial lab tests were performed with simple conductive targets.
- The shallow test site with a conducting planar target partially collapsed due to heavy rain and had to be rebuilt (and because no metal screws had been used in its construction to avoid EM interference). This work delayed the shallow test for a month.
- Regular bi-weekly meetings are being held with E-Spectrum.
- We expect that we will meet the milestone to have the prototype tool built by the end of summer this year.

3. PRODUCTS:

None.

4. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS:

Name:	Yaniv Brick
Project Role:	Postdoctoral Fellow
Nearest person month worked:	3
Contribution to Project:	Need Info
Funding Support:	ICES Postdoctoral Fellowship
Collaborated with individual in foreign country:	No
Country (ies) of foreign collaborator:	No
Travelled to foreign country:	No
If traveled to foreign country (ies), duration of stay:	0 months

Partner Organizations

Organization Name: E-Spectrum Technologies.

Location of Organization: San Antonio, Texas

Partner's contribution to the project:

- Collaborative research (e.g., partner's staff work with project staff on the project).
- Design and building of tool.

More detail on partner and contribution:

- The testing of the receivers and transmitters was performed in collaboration with E-Spectrum at their labs in San Antonio. These results will now be compared with simulation results to help with scale-up and the final tool design.

5. IMPACT:

The proposed technology has the following key advantages, which is not presently offered by any technology in the market:

- a. It can be executed from a single wellbore.
- b. It is a direct far field measurement.
- c. This tool can be run in hole after hydraulic fracturing. If the need arises, it can be used at any time during the well's life cycle providing a time lapse analysis of fracture growth or closure.
- d. Since it obtains tri-directional signals, these tensors can be resolved to obtain a simulated volume map, which can be correlated directly to the productivity of a given well.
- e. This is the only technology that can obtain propped fracture length, which governs productivity of a given well. Also it can be used to detect proppant banking or anisotropy in hydraulic fracture growth.

We anticipate that the technology will have a very significant impact on fracture diagnostics as it is cheap, repeatable, and fairly simple to run. In addition to the key critical advantages mentioned above the proposed technology can also offer the following benefits which are in line with DOE's ongoing efforts:

- a. Additional recovery: This tool can improve our understanding of true stimulated rock volume, since it tracks propped volume of hydraulic fractures and not shear slip events during a fracturing job. Therefore, using this technology, we can model the reservoir better and find effective re-fracturing candidates. Also a true stimulated rock volume map can help us design better simulations for subsequent wells.
- b. Reduced costs: This tool can be operated at any time during the well's life cycle and not necessarily during the hydraulic fracturing job (as is the case with microseismic monitoring). Therefore, it will be reduce the equipment load during a fracturing job, thereby reducing the environmental footprint. Since this technology, being a single wellbore application, doesn't require a monitoring well, it can be potentially deployed in any hydraulically fractured well with or without a rig (can be deployed with a MAST truck too). Due to the simplicity of deployment and ease of operation, we anticipate a much reduced cost as compared to microseismic monitoring while providing more reliable results.
- c. Environmental benefits: This technology basically tracks the location of conductive proppant using the proposed electromagnetic logging tool. Therefore it can used to track if the fractures are hydraulically connected to natural aquifers. This tool can be run alongside Cement Bond Logs, in fractured reservoirs to ensure hydraulic isolation of oil and gas producing zones. Also the inverted product of this data can be combined with other geophysical data (2D and 3D seismic and/or CSEM data) to find connection with natural fractures.

6. CHANGES/PROBLEMS:

NCTE to extend 2nd budget period end date from 12/31/16 to 5/31/17 was submitted and approved. End date for the overall project was adjusted to 9/30/2018

7. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:

None.

8. BUDGETARY INFORMATION:

EXHIBIT 1 – MILESTONE STATUS REPORT

Milestone Title/Description	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Verification Method	Comments (Progress toward achieving milestone, explanation of deviation from plan etc.)
Task 1. Project Management & Planning				
Milestones				
Completed project management & planning	11/1/14		PMP document	
Task 2. Development of forward model using proposed tool and different fracture geometries				
Milestones				
model of fracture in well completed	6/30/15			
forward model to observe signal	9/30/15			
important operational parameters	3/31/16			
Publication #1			Paper publication submitted	
Task 3. Lab testing of available proppants in the market for electrical and material properties				
Milestones				
identify the best proppants for their electrical conductivity and strength	3/31/16		Lab test report provided for review	
Task 4. Construction of low frequency electromagnetic tool				
Milestones				
low frequency electromagnetic tool built and lab tested according to well specifications	12/31/16			
Task 5. field testing of tool				
Milestones				
Built tool deployed in well	2/28/17		Tool is deployed on well site	
publication #2			Paper publication submitted	
Task 6. Inverting the obtained field data for stimulated rock volume (SRV) map				
Milestones				
invert the tool signal to obtain stimulated rock volume (SRV) map				
Publication #3	10/31/17		Paper publication submitted	
illustrate the new technology as a fracture diagnostic tool				
Final Report	12/31/17		Final report	

EXHIBIT 2- COST PLAN

Baseline Reporting Quarter	Budget Period 1				Budget Period 2				Budget Period 3			
	Q1		Q2		Q3		Q4		Q1		Q2	
	10/1/14 - 12/31/14	1/1/15 - 3/31/15	4/1/15 - 6/30/15	7/1/15 - 9/30/15	10/1/15 - 12/31/15	1/1/16 - 3/31/16	4/1/16 - 6/30/16	7/1/16 - 9/30/16	10/1/16 - 12/31/16	1/1/17 - 3/31/17	4/1/17 - 6/30/17	7/1/17 - 9/30/17
	Cumulative Total		Cumulative Total		Cumulative Total		Cumulative Total		Cumulative Total		Cumulative Total	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Baseline Cost Plan												
Federal Share	\$ 133,921	\$ 133,921	\$ 267,843	\$ 133,921	\$ 555,685	\$ 133,921	\$ 401,764	\$ 133,921	\$ 133,921	\$ 1,339,215	\$ 1,473,137	\$ 1,607,058
Non-Federal Share	\$ 48,602	\$ 48,602	\$ 97,205	\$ 48,602	\$ 194,409	\$ 48,602	\$ 145,807	\$ 48,602	\$ 48,602	\$ 48,602	\$ 48,602	\$ 48,602
Total Planned	\$ 182,523	\$ 182,523	\$ 365,048	\$ 182,523	\$ 750,094	\$ 182,523	\$ 547,571	\$ 182,523	\$ 182,523	\$ 1,825,238	\$ 1,825,238	\$ 2,190,286
Actual Incurred Cost												
Federal Share	\$ 30,972	\$ 26,985	\$ 57,958	\$ 148,601	\$ 306,214	\$ 475,823	\$ 531,112	\$ 85,464	\$ 1,205,293	\$ 1,616,576	\$ 1,616,576	\$ 1,616,576
Non-Federal Share	\$ 75,222	\$ 64,495	\$ 139,717	\$ -	\$ 139,717	\$ 26,510	\$ 192,737	\$ 34,669	\$ 48,602	\$ 305,596	\$ 305,596	\$ 305,596
Total Incurred Costs	\$ 106,194	\$ 91,480	\$ 197,675	\$ 148,601	\$ 445,931	\$ 502,333	\$ 723,849	\$ 120,133	\$ 1,253,895	\$ 1,922,172	\$ 1,922,172	\$ 1,922,172
Variance												
Federal Share	\$ 102,949	\$ 106,937	\$ 209,885	\$ -14,680	\$ 229,471	\$ 321,706	\$ 406,339	\$ 48,457	\$ 133,921	\$ 722,639	\$ 865,561	\$ 990,482
Non-Federal Share	\$ -26,620	\$ -15,892	\$ -42,512	\$ 48,602	\$ 54,692	\$ 72,093	\$ 69,288	\$ 13,933	\$ 48,602	\$ 180,427	\$ 48,602	\$ 277,632
Total Variance	\$ 76,329	\$ 91,045	\$ 167,373	\$ 33,922	\$ 284,163	\$ 493,799	\$ 475,627	\$ 62,391	\$ 182,523	\$ 903,066	\$ 1,314,163	\$ 1,268,114