High Temperature, Low NOx Combustor Concept Development

Kickoff Meeting

Oct 6th, 2015

Prof Tim Lieuwen

Prof Jerry Seitzman, Prof Suresh Menon, Prof Wenting Sun, Prof. Brian German

David Noble

Matthew Sirignano

Agenda

- Motivation
- Technical background
- Proposed work
 - Task 1: Project management & planning (PMP)
 - Task 2: Kinetic modeling & optimization
 - Task 3: Experimental characterization of distributed combustion concept
 - Task 4: Detailed experimental & computational investigation of mixing & heat release distributions
- Program schedule


| Georgialnstitute |∤ of Technology

Project Participants

- Contact principal investigator (PI)
 - Prof Tim Lieuwen
- Additional PIs
 - Prof Menon
 - Prof Seitzman
- Collaborators & research engineers
 - Prof Sun
 - Prof German
 - David Noble
- Graduate students
 - Matthew Sirignano
- Undergraduate students


Motivation Thermal Efficiency

- Thermal efficiency has steadily increased from 47% to 61% over the past 3 decades
 - Success driven by improvements in materials and cooling methods
 - Advanced combustion technologies enabled simultaneous reduction in NOx emissions
- Goal: combined cycle thermal efficiency of 65%
 - Requires turbine inlet temperature (T_{Turb Inlet}) of 1975K
 - New challenge: low NOx at elevated temperatures



Motivation Emissions

- Current architectures can't meet current emissions standards at elevated T_{Turb Inlet}
 - EPA limit for NO = 30 ppm
 - Current architecture yields 90 ppm
 NO at T_{Turb Inlet} = 1975K
- Current NOx reduction techniques are not viable






New combustor paradigm is required to meet goal

Technical Background NOx Formation

- Values are generally orders of magnitude below equilibrium
- Significant NOx formation mechanisms
 - Flame generated NOx (Fenimore, N₂0, etc.)
 - Thermal (Zeldovich)
- Thermal NOx
 - Approximately linear function of residence time
 - Exponential temperature dependence




$$O + N_2 \Leftrightarrow NO + N$$

 $N + O_2 \Leftrightarrow NO + O$

$$N + OH \Leftrightarrow NO + H$$


Technical Background CO Formation

- Values are generally above equilibrium
- Relaxation to equilibrium is exponential function of temperature
- CO emissions generally limit turndown, as relaxation is slow at low temperatures



Technical Background Current NOx Reduction Techniques

- Current approaches focus on temperature distribution control
 - Lean, premixed
 - Lean stoichiometry and careful premixing
 - Dilution:
 - Lowers temperature at given fuel flow rate
 - Steam/CO₂/N₂
 - Axially staged/Late Lean Injection (LLI)
 - Fuel injection in low residence time, high temp environment




Technical Background Proposed Approach

• Thermal NO initiating step:

$$O + N_2 \Leftrightarrow NO + N$$

 $[NO] \propto [O][N_2]e^{-38,379/T}\tau_{res}$

- "Knobs"
 - Temperature
 - Residence time
 - [O] concentration





Technical Background Proposed Approach

- NO formation dependent on residence time and O radical concentration, in addition to temperature
 - Combustion in reduced oxygen atmosphere reduces [O]



- Radical tailoring to minimize [O] concentration
- Co-optimize with residence time control
- Advanced manufacturing approaches suggest complete rethinking of combustion – continuous axial distribution of fuel?





October 6th 2015

DOE University Turbine Systems Research Kickoff Meeting

Georgialnstitute

Related Work Axial & Azimuthal Staging

- Axial staging concepts will likely require jet in cross flow (JICF) configuration (to keep the fuel injectors out of hot flow)
 - Georgia Institute of Technology our group
 - Emissions & stability characteristics of jets of various compositions in vitiated crossflow.
 - Purdue University Lucht
 - Methane and Hydrogen jets in vitiated crossflow
 - Karlsruhe Institute of Technology Zarzalis
 - Experimental & computational investigation of methane jet in vitiated cross flow at elevated pressures
 - Technische Universität München Sattelmayer
 - Experimentally supported reactor model for staged combustor
- In addition to their axially staged work, Technische Universität München, has developed an azimuthally staged approach
 - Focused on operation of ultra-low temperature and equivalence ratio flames to greatly reduce NO emissions

Proposed Work Key Research Questions

- (1) For a given firing temperature and residence time, what are the minimum theoretical NOx limits?
 - How much lower is this fundamental limit than the limits achievable with current architectures?



Proposed Work Key Research Questions

- (1) For a given firing temperature and residence time, what are the minimum theoretical NOx limits?
 - How much lower is this fundamental limit than the limits achievable with current architectures?
- (2) What does the actual fuel and air distribution patterns look like that attempt to achieve these theoretical values?
 - Then, what are the operational behaviors of such a combustion system?

Proposed Work Key Research Questions

- (1) For a given firing temperature and residence time, what are the minimum theoretical NOx limits?
 - How much lower is this fundamental limit than the limits achievable with current architectures?
- (2) What does the actual fuel and air distribution patterns look like that attempt to achieve these theoretical values?
 - Then, what are the operational behaviors of such a combustion system?



(3) What do local pre- & post-flame mixing patterns look like and how is the heat release distributed?


Proposed Work Scope of Work

Task 1: PMP

Task 2: Kinetic modeling & optimization

• Task 3: Experimental characterization of distributed combustion concept

Task 4: Detailed experimental & computational investigation of mixing & heat release distributions





Task 1: PMP


- Project management plan (PMP)
 - Updated directly following award & every alternate quarter
 - Key risk management tool
 - Outlines technical, financial, and schedule driven program risks
 - Highlight risk level at time of PMP update
 - Include action plan for reduction or rational for acceptance
 - Tracks milestones/critical decision points
 - Ex: Down-select of experimental concepts

Task 2: Kinetic Modeling & Optimization


- Task 2.1: Fundamental kinetic studies
 - Utilize detailed mechanisms
 - Develop insight into:
 - Interactions b/w radical profiles
 - NOx formation rates
 - Impact of radical pool tailoring
 CO₂ & H₂O addition
 - Pressure sensitivity

Task 2: Kinetic Modeling & Optimization (cont)

- Task 2.2: NOx optimization studies
 - Will attempt to answer the first key research question
 - Will develop computational model of an axially staged combustor with multiple injection locations
 - Approach: model a number of "reactor cells"
 - Each reactor cell consists of sub-components such as a mixer and plug flow reactor
 - Optimization study will be conducted on combustor model




Chain of Reactor Cells




Reactor Cell Model

Task 2: Kinetic Modeling & Optimization (cont)

- Task 2.3: Constrained NOx optimization studies
 - Will refine work conducted in previous task by adding additional physical constraints
 - Mixing
 - Finite mixing times
 - Various schemes for mixing process of injected fluids & main flow
 - Recirculation



Independent Mixing of Injected Fluids & Main Flow



Joint Mixing of Injected Fluids & Main Flow

Task 3.1: Facility Development


- Design combustion architecture guided by results of Task 2
 - Lean primary burner
 - Distributed secondary injection of fuel/air/steam
 - Premixed & non-premixed
 - Atmospheric
 - Advanced manufacturing techniques
 - Optical access





Task 3.2: Experimental Characterization

- Observation of operational characteristics of combustor
 - Instability, blow off, limits of operation
- Implementation of fuel/air/steam injection schema developed in Task 2
- Characterization of emissions
 - Local & spatially averaged
 - Traversing probe vs rake
 - Axial profile of key species



Task 4: Partnership of Experimental & Computational Investigation


- Interaction of experimental & computational activities crucial for success
 - PI's have experience of collaboration in other joint computational & experimental combustion studies

NOx reduction strategies developed in Task 2


- →Experimental design of stage injection system
- →LES simulation geometry
- →Iteration of reduction strategies and/or combustor design

Task 4.1: Large Eddy Simulations

- High Fidelity LES
 - Investigate turbulent mixing of staged injection
- LESLIE
 - History of use in combined experimental & computational studies of flame dynamics
- Will conduct full rig simulations matching physical geometry




Velocity & Temperature Isocontours of a Reacting Jet In Cross Flow



AMR vs LES Time Averaged Mixture Fraction

Task 4.2: Experimental Characterization Using High-Speed Laser Diagnostics

- Velocity field measurement
 - 10 kHz stereo-PIV
- Combustion visualization
 - OH & CH₂0 PLIF
 - OH* & CH* chemiluminescence
- Post-processing
 - Full Fourier analysis
 - Proper orthogonal decomposition
 - Dynamic mode decomposition
 - Hybrids



Program Schedule Summary of Tasks & Deadlines

| Tasks | Quarter | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1.0 – Project Management and Planning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1: Revise PMP after contract is negotiated. | Х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2: Update PMP as project progresses | | | Х | | Х | | Х | | Х | | Х | |
| 2.0 – Kinetic Modeling and Optimization | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1: Fundamental kinetic studies | Х | Х | X | X | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2: NO optimization studies | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | | |
| 2.3: Constrained NO optimization studies | | | | | х | Х | Х | х | Х | х | | |
| 3.0 – Experimental characterization of concept | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1: Facility development | Х | Х | X | X | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2: Experimental characterization | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |
| 4.0 – Detailed characterization | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1: Detailed LES simulations | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| 4.2: High-speed diagnostics | | | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Reporting: Progress reports will be prepared and submitted on a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| quarterly, semi-annual and annual basis. In addition, a comprehensive | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| final report will be submitted which describes the overall project's | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| objectives, results and conclusions. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1: Prepare and submit Quarterly Progress Reports | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| 2: Prepare and submit Semi-Annual Report | | Х | | X | | Х | | Х | | Х | | Х |
| 3: Prepare and submit Annual Report | | | | Х | | | | Х | | | | Х |
| 4: Prepare and submit Final Report | | | | | | | | | | | | Х |

Program Schedule Deliverables

| Deliverables | Quarter | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Revised Project Management Plan. | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Updated Project Management Plan. | | | • | | • | | • | | • | | • | |
| Quarterly Progress Reports | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Semi-Annual Reports | | • | | • | | • | | • | | • | | • |
| Final Report | | | | | | | | | | | | • |



Conclusion

- Increase in turbine inlet temperature would lead to significant efficiency gains
 - NOx formation is important barrier
- New paradigm needed
 - Study will determine fundamental limits to minimum achievable NO levels, as well as provide understanding of architectures associated with realizing these minima
 - Goal is to both develop a roadmap for what improvements are possible, as well as steps toward realization by turbine companies
- Study involves combination of chemical kinetic, experimental, and CFD investigations to fully evaluate the problem

