**DE-FE0027947** 

MINIMIZING CR-EVAPORATION FROM BALANCE OF PLANT COMPONENTS BY UTILIZING COST-EFFECTIVE ALUMINA-FORMING AUSTENITIC STEELS

### Xingbo Liu

Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering Department Benjamin M. Statler College of Engineering & Mineral Resources West Virginia University

November 18, 2016

### Outline

- Project Team Information
- Background
- Technical Approach
- Project Objective
- Project Structure
- Project Schedule
- Project Budget
- Risk Management
- Technology Readiness Level (TRL)/commercialization goals



## **Project Team Information**



- PI Xingbo Liu
- Program Management
- Cr-release Measurement
- On-Cell Testing
- TEA
- Phase II Preparation



- Co-PI Hossein Ghezel-Ayagh Alireza Torabi
- •Cr-release Measurement
- •TEA
- •Phase II Preparation



Co-PI - Mike Brady •AFA Development •Oxidation Measurement



Industrial Partner – Samuel Kernion

• AFA Manufacturing



### **Background** - SOFC Stacks



Component	Materials	Thickness	Porosity	Process
Anode	Ni/YSZ	0.3 - 1 mm	~ 40%	Tape casting
Electrolyte	YSZ	5 - 10 μm	< 5%	Screen printing
Cathode	Conducting ceramic	10 - 50 μm	~ 30%	Screen printing

\* NETL 2015 SOFC Workshop – FCE Presentation

Stack Repeat Unit

### SOFC Long-term Degradation



Long-term cell endurance was verified in >2 years of operation with a 0.32%/1000h performance degradation

\* NETL 2015 SOFC Workshop – FCE Presentation

### SOFC Cathode Degradation

- Microstructural changes (loss effective TPB area)
  - 。 Grain growth
  - 。 Coarsening of the particles
  - Surface re-construction
- Chemical reaction with YSZ electrolyte.

 $La_2O_3(s) + 2ZrO_2(s) \rightarrow La_2Zr_7O_3(s)$   $SrO(s) + ZrO_2(s) \rightarrow SrZrO_3(s)$ 

• Strontium segregation related issues  $25r' \pm V'' \pm 20^{x} \leftrightarrow 25rO(s)$ 

 $2Sr'_{La} + V^{\bullet\bullet}_{O,LSCF} + 2O^x_O \leftrightarrow 2SrO(s)$ 

Poisoning of the cathode (e.g. by CO<sub>2</sub>, chromium species etc.)

 $SrO(s) + H_2O(g) \rightarrow Sr(OH)_2(s) \quad SrO(s) + CO_2(g) \rightarrow SrCO_3(s)$  $2Cr_2O_3(s) + 3O_2(g) + 4H_2O(g) \rightarrow 4CrO_2(OH)_2(g)$ 



### Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Related Degradations

Cr poisoning of SOFC Cathode

 $Cr_2O_3(s) + 1.5O_2(g) = 2CrO_3(g)$  $Cr_2O_3(s) + 1.5O_2(g) + 2H_2O(g) = 2CrO_2(OH)_2(g)$ 

Reactions with other components

 $2Cr_2O_3(s) + 4BaO(s) + 3O_2(g) = 4BaCrO_4(s)$  $CrO_2(OH)_2(g) + BaO(s) = BaCrO_4(s) + H_2O(g)$ 



J. Power Sources 152 (2005) 156–167

Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Sources: Interconnect and BOP



Fig. 4. Molar ratio of phases in LSCF–Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mixture during heating at 1073 K for 0–1000 h: ( $\bullet$ ) LSCF, ( $\blacksquare$ ) Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ( $\Box$ ) SrCrO<sub>4</sub>, ( $\blacktriangle$ ) CoCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel, ( $\triangle$ ) (Fe,Cr)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



J. Power Sources 162 (2006) 1043-1052



### SOFC Interconnect Coatings

- Various Spinel Coatings (Mn-Co, Mn-Cu, etc.)
- PVD, CVD, Spray, Electroplating, EPD









iversity have put their head ether with scientists from th The team has published its esearch findings in two peer-eviewed journals, and a pater ent of Energy's Nati gy Technology Laboratory. Th closure of the process also has been ult of this collaboration has h lopment of a new alt coating for solid oxi sck from the report on the coa nted at the 2008 MS&T el cell intera ence last October ue that reported ers significant advantages in ent of SOFC degradation with th sive on-cell testing ha vements are anticipated a rated considerable imp zed plating variables are ide ent of SOFC degradation co tified. (Visit: http://netLdoe.gov)



Junwei Wu, a Ph.D. student at West Virginia University, demonstrates a mentally friendly electroplating for SOFC interconnects.











**DE-FE0027947** 

Developing Cost-Effective Alumina Forming Austenitic Stainless Steels (AFA), to replace Austenitic Stainless Steel 316L and Ni-base Superalloy Inconel 625, for Key **Balance of Plant (BOP) components**, to minimize Cr-Poisoning of SOFC Cathode





Compression Plate in BOP



### Stainless Steels with Higher-Temperature Capability Needed

- Driver: Increased efficiencies with higher operating temperatures in power generation and chemical process systems.
- Key issues are creep and oxidation resistance.
  - Significant gains have been made in recent years for improved creep resistance via nano MX precipitate control (M = Nb, Ti, V; X = C, N).
  - Stainless steels rely on Cr₂O₃ scales for protection from hightemperature oxidation.
    - -Limited in many industrial environments (water vapor, C, S)
    - -Most frequent solution is coating: costly, not always feasible



Development Effort for Low Cost, Creep and Oxidation-Resistant Structural Alloy for Use from ~600-900°C

- Approach: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-forming austenitic stainless steels

   background and potential advantages
- Current alloy status for microstructure, mechanical properties, and oxidation resistance



> Tubing in chemical/process industry, etc. also targeted.

## Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Formers Suffer Accelerated Attack in Water-Vapor Environments



•Susceptibility from Cr-oxide volatility in H<sub>2</sub>O and enhanced internal oxidation

•Particularly important for thin components such as heat exchangers

### Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Scales Offer Superior Protection in Many Industrially-Relevant Environments



•Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has lower growth rate/more thermo. Stability in oxygen than Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

- •Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> highly stable in water vapor
- •Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> generally (not always) better resistance to carburization and sulfidation

•FeCrAl Alloys: Open body-centered cubic structure is weak -Not suitable for most structural uses above ~500°C

### •Ni-Base Alloys/Superalloys: too costly

-5 to 10 times greater cost than stainless steels -limited to niche applications with ultrahigh performance needs

### •Typically use Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-forming coatings or surface treatments -increases cost -not feasible for many components/applications



## Challenge of Alumina-forming Austenitic (AFA) Stainless Steel Alloys

- Numerous attempts over the past ~30 years (e.g. McGurty et al. alloys from the 1970-80's, also Japanese, European, and Russian efforts)
- Problem: Al additions are a major complication for strengthening
  - strong BCC stabilizer/delta-ferrite formation (weak)
  - interferes with N additions for strengthening
- Want to use as little AI as possible to gain oxidation benefit
   keep austenitic matrix for high-temperature strength
   introduce second-phase (intermetallics/carbides) for precipitate strengthening



# Composition and Microstructure Considerations for AFA Stainless Steels

### Typical Fe-(20-30)Ni-(12-15)Cr-(2.5-4)Al-(1-3)Nb-0.1C wt.% Base AFA Alloy Microstructure After Creep SEM TEM



•Creep Strength

- balance Al, Cr, Ni, to maintain single-phase FCC austenitic matrix
- Nano NbC and micron/submicron B2-NiAl +  $Fe_2Nb$  base Laves precipitates

•To form protective alumina:

- Ti+V < 0.3 wt.%; Nb > (0.6-1) wt.%; N < 0.02 wt.%

#### DE-FE0027947

## AFA Form Transient Al-Rich Oxide Overlying Inner, Columnar a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

### TEM of HTUPS 4 After 1000 h at 800°C in Air + 10% Water Vapor



- $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> the source of the excellent oxidation resistance
- Occasional transient nodules 0.5-5  $\mu$ m thick, some Nb-oxide also detected  $\sim$

# Austenite Matrix and NiAl Precipitates Key to Establishing and Maintaining Alumina

SEM-BSE Images of Typical Oxidized Cross-Section for a 4 Al wt.% AFA Alloy (900°C/100h/in air)



- Austenite matrix composition key to forming alumina (Al, C, Cr, Ni)
- NiAl precipitates act as Al reservoir to maintain alumina
- Nb as MC or Laves important in H<sub>2</sub>O

DE-FE0027947

## AFA is a Family of Alloys

- Three different grades of AFA series (wrought alloys)
  - AFA Grade: Fe- (14-15)Cr-(2.5-4)Al-(20-25)Ni-(1-3)Nb wt.% base
    - ~750-950  $^{\circ}$  C temperature limit for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> formation
    - higher temperature ranges need higher Ni and Nb + rare earth additions
    - MC and  $M_{23}C_6$  strengthening
  - Low Nickel AFA<sup>LN</sup> : Fe-14Cr-2.5Al-12Ni-0.6Nb-5Mn-3Cu wt.% base
    - ~ 650-700 ° C temperature limit for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> formation
    - M<sub>23</sub>C<sub>6</sub> strengthening
  - High Creep resistance γ'-Ni<sub>3</sub>Al strengthened AFA: Fe-(14-19)Cr-(2.5-3.5)Al-(30-35)Ni-3Nb + wt.% base
    - ~750-850° C temperature limit for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> formation
- □ <u>Cast AFA</u>: Cast version of AFA alloys

### Advantage Compared to Conventional Chromia Scales

#### Alumina-former

### Chromia-former



### AFA Lower <u>Raw Material</u> Cost to High-Ni Austenitics/Ni-Base Alloys





## AFA Alloys Appear to be Readily Welded (limited data)

## **Gas Tungsten Arc Weld** (used same alloy as a filler material)



• No cracking at fusion/heat-affected zones

## AFA Highlights



Creep-Resistant, Al2O3-Forming Austenitic Stainless Steels Y. Yamamoto, *et al. Science* **316**, 433 (2007); DOI: 10.1126/science.1137711



**AFA Steel team**: Alan Liby, Alexander DeTrana, Mike Brady, Yukinori Yamamoto; Michael Santella, Joseph Marasco, Bruce Pi<u>nt, Craig Blue</u>



## ORNL's AFA licensed to Carpenter Technology Corp.

### **Creep-Resistant, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Forming Austenitic Stainless Steels**

Y. Yamamoto,\* M. P. Brady, Z. P. Lu, P. J. Maziasz, C. T. Liu, B. A. Pint, K. L. More, H. M. Meyer, E. A. Payzant

A family of inexpensive,  $Al_2O_3$ -forming, high-creep strength austenitic stainless steels has been developed. The alloys are based on Fe-20Ni-14Cr-2.5Al weight percent, with strengthening achieved through nanodispersions of NbC. These alloys offer the potential to substantially increase the operating temperatures of structural components and can be used under the aggressive oxidizing conditions encountered in energy-conversion systems. Protective  $Al_2O_3$  scale formation was achieved with smaller amounts of aluminum in austenitic alloys than previously used, provided that the titanium and vanadium alloying additions frequently used for strengthening were eliminated. The smaller amounts of aluminum permitted stabilization of the austenitic matrix structure and made it possible to obtain excellent creep resistance. Creep-rupture lifetime exceeding 2000 hours at 750°C and 100 megapascals in air, and resistance to oxidation in air with 10% water vapor at 650° and 800°C, were demonstrated.



## AFA Commercialization: OC4 (14Cr-3.5AI-25Ni-2.5Nb) Products



### Project Objectives – Phase I

- Develop and utilize cost-effective alumina forming austenitic steels (AFAs) for balance of plant (BOP) components and pipes in solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) systems to minimize the Cr-poisoning and improve system stability;
- Systematically investigate the influence of the operation condition, i.e., temperature and moisture, on the oxidation and Cr-release from the AFA steels, and their effects on the degradation of SOFC performance
- Prepare for Phase II of the project, in which we will manufacture and test the related BOP components in industrial SOFC systems



### **Project Structure**

- Task 1.0Project Management and Planning WVU
- Task 2.0Developing and Manufacturing AFAs ORNL & Carpenter
- Subtask 2.1 AFA Development
- Subtask 2.2 Microstructure Characterization
- Task 3Studies on Oxidation Kinetics, and Cr Evaporation in simulated SOFCenvironments WVU & ORNL
  - Subtask 3.1 Oxidation Kinetics ORNL
  - Subtask 3.2 Characterization of the oxide scale ORNL
- Subtask 3.3 Cr Evaporation Evaluation WVU & FCE
- Subtask 3.4 Contributions of partial pressure of different Cr species WVU
- Task 4.0 Cr-poisoning of SOFC cathode in associate with BOP materials WVU
- Subtask 4.1 Assembly of SOFCs with BOP Alloys
- Subtask 4.2 Electrochemical Investigations
- Subtask 4.3 Post-Mortem Analyses
- Task 5 Preparation for Phase II WVU, ORNL, Carpenter and FCE

I.D.	Task	Year 1				Year 2		
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	
1.0	Project Management							
2.0	Developing & Manufacturing AFAs							
2.1	AFA Development							
	Microstructure							
	Characterizations							
3.0	Oxidation and Cr Evaporation in Simulated SOFC Environments							
3.1	Oxidation Kinetics							
3.2	Scale characterization							
3.3	Cr Evaporation Evaluation							
3.4	Contributions of partial							
	pressure of different Cr							
	species							
4.0	Investigation on Cr-poisoning of SOFC in associate with BOP Alloys							
	Assembly of SOFCs with							
	BOP Alloys							
	Electrochemical							
	Investigations						7	
4.3	Post-Mortem Analyses							
5.0	Phase II preparation							

Note:  $\blacklozenge$  = Decision Points

DE-FE0027947

	Period 1	(Year 1)	Period 2 (	6 Months)	Total
	DOE	Cost	DOE	Cost	
	Funds	Share	Funds	Share	
West Virginia University	\$ 231,577	\$ 47,478	\$ \$ 108,422	2 \$ 34,139	\$ 421,616
Oak Ridge National Lab	\$ 80,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000	)\$-	\$ 130,000
Fuel Cell Energy	\$ 30,000	\$ 7,500	) <b>\$</b> -	- \$ -	\$ 37,500
Carpenter Technology	0	\$ 40,000	)\$.	- \$ -	\$ 40,000
Total	\$ 341,577	\$ 94,978	\$\$ 158,422	\$ 34,139	\$629,116.00

### **Risk Management**

Achieving long-term protective alumina scale formation at the upper end of the Risk 1 SOFC BOP component use temperature range, ~900-950°C. We have recently identified an AFA composition that can form alumina at 1100°C. Risk 1 This is achieved by higher AI, Cr and Ni levels, which will need to be evaluated Mitigation for impacts on Cr release rate The Cr content in the alumina-base surface oxide layer formed by AFA resulting in unacceptably high Cr release rates at both the high-temperature and lowtemperature ends of the SOFC BOP component use temperature range. Risk 2 Transient oxidation and the amount of Cr incorporated into the alumina-base surface layer will be critical. This can be controlled to an extent by minor alloying additions, particularly Hf, Y, Zr, as well as the balance of Al Cr, Ni, and Fe levels. For both issues we will use computational thermodynamic calculations to quickly Risk 2 screen proposed composition changes for feasibility (e.g. maintaining austenitic Mitigation matrix, second phases formed).



### **TRL/Commercialization Goals**

TRL (Phase I beginning) –

TRL (End of Phase I) –



Preliminary results on Crevaporation rates of AFA and related alloys (ORNL data)

### **Commercialization Goals –**

Developing and Employing AFA in Industrial SOFC Systems

> AFA Manufacture – Carpenter Technologies SOFC Developer – Fuel Cell Energy



3

4

- NETL-SOFC Team: Shailesh Vora, Heather Guedenfeld, Joel Stoffa, etc.
- **Co-Pls:** Mike Brady (Oak Ridge National Lab), Hussein Ghezel-Ayagh, Ali Torabi (FCE)
- Industrial Partner: Samuel Kernion (Carpenter)

