### Novel CO<sub>2</sub>-Selective Membranes for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture from <1% CO<sub>2</sub> Sources DE-FE0026919

### **PI: Winston Ho, Professor**

William G. Lowrie Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering Department of Materials Science and Engineering The Ohio State University

> DE-FE0026919 Project Kick-off Meeting NETL, Pittsburgh, PA, April 4, 2016

# Outline

- Project Objective
- Process
- Membrane
- Project Scope 3 Budget Periods
- Success Criteria
- Tasks 3-BP Gantt Charts
- Project Team and Outlook

# **Project Objective**

- Develop a novel cost-effective membrane and design of membrane modules that capture CO<sub>2</sub> from <1% CO<sub>2</sub> sources
  - 90% CO<sub>2</sub> Capture
  - 95% CO<sub>2</sub> Purity

# **3-Budget Period Project**

- BP1: 03/01/2016 02/28/2017
  - Laboratory-scale membrane synthesis, characterization and transport performance studies
  - High-level preliminary techno-economic analysis
- BP2: 03/01/2017 02/28/2018
  - Laboratory-scale membrane synthesis, characterization and transport performance studies to continue
  - Fabrication of larger lab size membrane (~ 6" by 6")
  - Fabrication, evaluation and down-selection from plate-andframe and spiral-wound membrane modules
  - Update techno-economic analysis performed in BP 1
- BP3: 03/01/2018 02/28/2019
  - Fabricate 3 laboratory membrane modules
  - Module testing with <1% CO<sub>2</sub> simulated gas mixture
  - Update techno-economic analysis
- Integrated program with fundamental studies, applied research, synthesis, characterization and transport studies, and high-level techno-economic analysis

### **Project Organization and Roles**

#### **Ohio State University**

- Technical lead
- Concept development and execution
- Novel membrane synthesis/characterization
- Laboratory-size membrane scale-up
- Process design considerations
- Cost calculations

#### Winston Ho

**DOE NETL** 

#### **Project Manager**

José Figueroa

#### TriSep Corporation

 Consult on membrane scale-up/module fabrication

**Peter Knappe** 

#### Gradient Technology

 Consult on system and cost analyses

**Steve Schmit** 

#### AEP

 Consult on plant integration and demonstration considerations

Matt Usher

# Process Proposed for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture from <1% CO<sub>2</sub> Sources



 Proposed membrane process does not require cryogenic distillation (compared to competition)

### Location of Proposed Technology in Coal-fired Power Plant



### Selective Amine Polymer Layer / Polymer Support

**Simplicity of Membrane for Low Cost** 



### Selective Amine Polymer Layer / Polymer Support

- Selective Amine Polymer Layer
  - Facilitated transport of  $CO_2$  via reaction with amine

 $CO_2 + R-NH_2 + H_2O \implies R-NH_3^+ + HCO_3^-$ 

- Facilitated transport = flux augmentation via reaction
- High CO<sub>2</sub> permeance and CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity

### **Scale-up for PES Support**

### **Continuous Membrane Fabrication Machine at OSU**



### Successful Continuous Fabrication of Affordable PES Support Demonstrated in DE-FE0007632

**14-inch PES Support** 

#### **Casting Machine**



**SEM** – Top View



2500 feet fabricated

- Manufacturer could not supply PES needed for scale-up
- PES synthesized/developed at OSU to resolve supply issue
- PES technology being transferred to TriSep

### Successful Continuous Fabrication of Affordable PES Support

### **SEM Analysis of 14-inch PES Support**



Ave. pore size = 69.5 nm, Porosity = 16.9%

Will Improve Polymer Support for Higher Membrane Performance 12

### Amine Polymer Layer Contains Mobile and Fixed Carriers: Facilitated Transport



### Facilitated Transport vs. Solution-Diffusion Mechanism

CO<sub>2</sub> Facilitated Transport Flux: Very High
 CO<sub>2</sub>-amine reaction enhances CO<sub>2</sub> flux

- N<sub>2</sub> Flux: Very Low
  - N<sub>2</sub> does not react with amine
  - N<sub>2</sub> transport follows conventional physical solutiondiffusion mechanism, which is very slow

### **Carrier Saturation Phenomenon**

• CO<sub>2</sub> Flux Increases as Pressure Increases until Carrier Saturation Occurs



- At Carrier Saturation, i.e., High CO<sub>2</sub> Pressure
  - CO<sub>2</sub> at high pressure reacts with all carriers incorporated in the membrane
  - CO<sub>2</sub> flux reaches maximum and does not increase with pressure any further

### Carrier Saturation Phenomenon (cont'd)

- At Carrier Saturation (High CO<sub>2</sub> Pressure), i.e., Maximum, But Constant CO<sub>2</sub> Flux (j)
  - CO<sub>2</sub> permeance reduces as pressure increases
  - That is: CO<sub>2</sub> permeance increases as pressure reduces



• At Low CO<sub>2</sub> Pressure, i.e., Less CO<sub>2</sub> Molecules

- More free carriers available for reaction with CO<sub>2</sub>
  - + Greater CO<sub>2</sub> facilitation and then higher CO<sub>2</sub> permeance
- CO<sub>2</sub> permeance increases as pressure reduces

### **SO<sub>2</sub> Membrane Mitigation**

- Absorption into 20 wt% NaOH Solution
  - Polishing step based on NETL baseline document
    - Estimated to be about \$4.3/tonne CO<sub>2</sub> (in 2007 dollar, 6.5% COE increase)
  - Non-plugging, low-differential-pressure, spray baffle scrubber
  - High efficiencies (>95%)



### SO<sub>2</sub> Effects on Amine-containing Membranes

- SO<sub>2</sub> Effects
  - SO<sub>2</sub> permeated with CO<sub>2</sub>
  - SO<sub>2</sub> at 1 3 ppm did not affect stability of membrane with amine cover layer



### Propose SO<sub>2</sub> Polishing Step before membrane

- 1 3 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> in flue gas
- Used in NCCC testing in 2015

# **Funding and Performance Dates**

- Total Budget: 03/01/2016 02/28/2019
  DOE: \$1,248,278; OSU: \$372,864 (23% cost share)
  - BP1: 03/01/2016 02/28/2017
    DOE: \$407,616; OSU: \$121,756
  - BP2: 03/01/2017 02/28/2018
    DOE: \$419,628; OSU: \$125,344
  - BP3: 03/01/2018 02/28/2019
    DOE: \$421,034; OSU: \$125,764

# **Success Criteria**

- BP1: 03/01/2016 02/28/2017 – CO<sub>2</sub> permeance = 700 – 850 GPU
  - $-CO_2/N_2$  selectivity = 100 140
- BP2: 03/01/2017 02/28/2018- CO<sub>2</sub> permeance = 850 - 1000 GPU - CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity = 100 - 140
- BP3: 03/01/2018 02/28/2019 – CO<sub>2</sub> permeance = 1000 – 1800 GPU – CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity = 140 – 200

# **Budget Period 1**

	Total Cost			1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		Quarter		3rd Quart		rd Quarter		4th Quarter		rter		
Task Name	of Task (\$)	Start	Finish	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	
Budget Period 1	529,372	3/1/2016	2/28/2017															
Task 1: Project Management and Planning	6,388	3/1/2016	2/28/2017															
Task 2: Synthesis of Improved Polymer Support	107,470	3/1/2016	2/28/2017															
Task 3: Synthesis of Novel Membranes	131,353	4/1/2016	2/28/2017		/													
Task 4: Membrane Characterization	131,352	4/1/2016	2/28/2017															
Milestone 1: $CO_2$ permeance = 700-850 GPU & $CO_2/N_2$ selectivity =100-140			2/28/2017															
Task 5: Carrier Saturation Phenomenon Study	131,352	4/1/2016	2/28/2017															
Task 6: Techno-economic and System Analysis	13,645	3/1/2016	2/28/2017		/													
Milestone 2: Feasibility of $\geq$ 90% CO <sub>2</sub> capture with $\geq$ 95% CO <sub>2</sub> purity			2/28/2017															
Quarterly Progress Reports	4,322	3/1/2016	4/30/2017															
Budget Period 1 Annual Report	3,490	1/1/2017	4/30/2017															

# **Budget Period 2**

	Total Cost			1st Quarter			2nd Quarter			: 3rd Quarter			4th Quarter				
Task Name	of Task (\$)	Start	Finish	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Budget Period 2	544,972	3/1/2017	2/28/2018														
Task 7: Project Management and Planning	6,388	3/1/2017	2/28/2018														
Task 8: Improved Membrane Synthesis	153,213	3/1/2017	2/28/2018														
Task 9: Improved Membrane Characterization	140,467	4/1/2017	2/28/2018		/												
<i>Milestone 3:</i> $CO_2$ <i>permeance = 850-1000 GPU &amp; <math>CO_2/N_2</math> selectivity =100-140</i>			2/28/2018														
Task 10: Comparative Membrane Configuration Evaluation	153,213	4/1/2017	2/28/2018														
Task 11: Contaminant Testing	70,234	4/1/2017	2/28/2018														
Task 12: Use and Refining of Techno-economic Analysis	13,645	3/1/2017	2/28/2018		<b>/</b>												
<i>Milestone 4: Economic feasibility of</i> $\geq$ 90% CO2 <i>capture with</i> $\geq$ 95% <i>purity</i>																	
predicted			2/28/2018														
Quarterly Progress Reports	4,322	3/1/2017	4/30/2018														
Budget Period 2 Annual Report	3,490	1/1/2018	4/30/2018														

# **Budget Period 3**

	Total Cost			1st Qu	2nd Quarter			3rd Quarter			r 4th Quarte						
Task Name	of Task (\$)	Start	Finish	Mar Ap	r May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
Budget Period 3	546,798	3/1/2018	2/28/2019														
Task 13: Project Management and Planning	6,388	3/1/2018	2/28/2019														
Task 14: Optimized Membrane Synthesis	117,307	3/1/2018	2/28/2019														
Task 15: Optimized Membrane Characterization	107,548	4/1/2018	2/28/2019	<b></b>													
<i>Milestone 5: CO</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>permeance = 1000-1800 GPU &amp; CO</i> <sub>2</sub> / $N_2$ <i>selectivity =140-200</i>			2/28/2019														
Task 16: Contaminant Testing and Analysis on Membrane Performance	58,653	4/1/2018	2/28/2019			,											
Task 17: Membrane Module Fabrication	117,307	6/1/2018	11/30/2018														
Milestone 6: 3 laboratory membrane modules fabricated			11/30/2018														
Task 18: Membrane Module Testing	117,306	9/1/2018	2/28/2019														
<i>Milestone 7: CO</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>permeance = 1000-1800 GPU &amp; CO</i> <sub>2</sub> / $N_2$ <i>selectivity =140-200</i>			2/28/2019														
Task 19: Update Techno-economic Model	13,645	3/1/2018	2/28/2019														
<i>Milestone</i> 8: <i>Economic feasibility of</i> $\geq$ 90% CO <sub>2</sub> <i>capture and</i> $\geq$ 95% CO <sub>2</sub> <i>purity</i>																	
targets predicted with final data and associated design guidelines			2/28/2019														
Quarterly Progress Reports	4,322	3/1/2018	3/30/2019														
Final Project Report	4,322	2/1/2019	5/30/2019														

### Past Work Facilitates Success of Current Project

- PES Support Ready for Use/Improvement – Scale-up demonstrated in DE-FE0007632
- Amine Polymer Cover Layer can be Used as Selective Membrane
- Polyamine and Membrane Syntheses / Characterization Ready for Improvement
  - Good foundation and knowledge base for novel membranes
  - Experimental set-ups in place for current project
- Trained Qualified Researchers Available – In place and making impacts
- Membrane Module Fabrication Experience – Good for module fabrication of current project
- Techno-economic Analysis Conducted
  - Beneficial for high-level TEA of current project

# Summary/Outlook

- Exciting Project
- Qualified Researchers are in Place
- Project Team is Ready for Significant Progress