



Cataloging Earthquakes

- Events cataloged from April 2015 to April 2017
- 2133 earthquakes were located in Sumner County, KS
- Magnitudes range from M_w 0.4 to M_w 3.5
- P-wave velocity calculated from well logs of KGS 2-32
- S-wave velocity is calculated from a V_p/V_s ratio
- Moment Magnitude calculated from energy spectrum of event and distance to event
- Magnitude of Completeness
 - 1.5 M_w for Sumner County




Figure 1. Comparison of small local event (left) of M_w 1.0 and large local event (right) of M_w 1.8 with vertical channel displayed on the top row and the two horizontal channels below. P and S arrivals are shown in red. Both events are from March of 2016.




Figure 2. Velocity model was used in calculating locations of events near the Wellington Array. The model was derived from well logs of the KGS 2-32 well, calculated to the bottom of the well. Deeper velocities were constrained through 1-D velocity inversion




Figure 3. The graph is showing the Magnitude of completeness calculated from the Gutenberg-Richter law. The blue line with black circles represents events recorded from the seismometer array, and the orange line represents predicted events using the Gutenberg-Richter law, with a b-value of 1.5. The two curves diverge at a magnitude $M_c = 1.1$.

Magnitude of Completeness:

- Magnitude of Completeness (M_c) is the earthquake magnitude for which an array can confidently pick all events of that magnitude and larger in a certain area [Vorobieva, 2012]
- M_c for the Wellington array is $\sim 1.5 M_w$ for Sumner County
- Increase b-value of 1.5 indicative of pore fluid pressure increase as cause of seismicity

Objective

The objective of the earthquake monitoring program at Wellington Oilfield is to ensure safe CO₂ injection in the Mississippian and Arbuckle with regards to induced seismicity. The research also provides information on the stress field of the shallow basement and the location of faults. This data can be used to advance the understanding of induced seismicity in the region as well as inform the regulation of fluid injection in the midcontinent.




Figure 4. Map of Sumner County, KS, showing the earthquake catalog and station locations.




Figure 5. Map of Sumner County, KS, showing the earthquake progression through time, with red being the oldest (2015) and blue being the newest (2017).

Results

- CO₂ injection at Wellington field well KGS #2-32 did not cause observable seismicity
- Seismicity likely caused from increased pore fluid pressure in basement and Arbuckle
- Over the last two years earthquakes have been advancing northward, from northern Oklahoma to southern Kansas
- Shear-wave anisotropy analysis presented here is the first direct evidence provided by seismological observations relating increase in pore fluid pressure to earthquakes in KS and OK
- Pressure monitoring in well KGS 1-28 confirms the pressure increase in KS and OK
- Shear-wave splitting methods can be used to mitigate seismic hazard associated with injection induced seismicity

Shear-Wave Splitting

Shear wave splitting in anisotropic media




Figure 6. Example of how a shear-wave separates into a fast and slow component in an anisotropic medium. From Garnero, 2017.





Figure 7. Map of the study area in south-central Kansas and northern Oklahoma. Black triangles are seismometer station locations, colored circles are earthquake epicenters where color identifies the time period of the earthquake and the source of the data. Red circles: 2010-2012 Earthscope Transportable Array (TA); Green circles: 2013-2015 Nanometrics Research Network (NX) and the USGS network (GS); Blue circles: 2015-2016 Wellington, Kansas CO₂ sequestration monitoring network (ZA). Arbuckle pressure measured in well KGS 1-28.



Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by grants from the Kansas Geological Survey and the Kansas Interdisciplinary Carbonates Consortium (KICC) as well as DOE contract (DE-FE0006821). Equipment has also been on loan through IRIS and PASSCAL.

References

- 1) Ellsworth, W.L., 2013, Injection induced earthquakes, *Science*, v. 341, 1225-1229.
- 2) Garnero, E., 2017, School of Earth and Space science, Arizona State university, personal website: <http://garnero.asu.edu/>
- 3) Michael, A. J., 1984, Determination of stress from slip data: faults and folds: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 89, p. 11,517-11,526.
- 4) Ottemoller, L., Voss, P., and Havskov, J., 2014, Seisan earthquake analysis software for Windows, Solaris, Linux, and macosx.
- 5) Silver, P.G., and Chan, W.W., 1991, Shear wave splitting and subcontinental mantle deformation, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 96, p. 16429-16454
- 6) Stork, A.L., Verdon, J.P., and Kendall, J. M., 2014, The robustness of seismic moment and magnitudes estimated using spectral analysis, *Geophysical Prospecting*, v. 62, p. 862-878.
- 7) Vorobieva, I., Narteau, C., Shebalin, P., Beaucecel, F., Nercessian, A., Clouard, V., and bouin, M. P., 2012, Multiscale mapping of completeness magnitude of earthquake catalogs, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, v. 103, no. 4, p. 2188-2202.
- 8) Zinke, J.C. and Zoback, M.D., 2000, Structure-related and stress-induced shear-wave velocity anisotropy: observations from microearthquakes near the Calaveras fault in central California, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, v. 90, p. 1305-1312.