



Upcycled "CO₂-negative" concrete for construction functions

<u>Prepared by:</u> Gaurav N. Sant, Ph.D. Associate Professor and Henry Samueli Fellow University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) 420 Westwood Plaza, Boelter Hall: 5731-J, Los Angeles, CA 90024 Phone: (310) 206-3084, Email: <u>gsant@ucla.edu</u>

<u>Collaborators:</u> E. Callagon, S. Castano, R. Diwa, R. Minkara, D. Rajagopal, N. Neithalath, L. Pilon

<u>Developed for:</u> Project Kick-off Meeting at the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), Pittsburgh, PA, June 9, 2017

Gaurav N. Sant





Presentation outline

- Background
- Project objectives
- Team
- Scope of work (Tasks)
- Risks
- Project timeline
- Milestones
- Success criteria and decision points
- Budget





Background

- Electricity generation from coal-fired power plants represents
 25% of total CO2 emissions in U.S. (1.4 B tons CO₂ in 2015)
- Identify routes for large-scale utilization of CO₂ as a precursor in beneficial products and processes, while yielding a carbon capture and storage (CCS) solution of permanence
- Utilize CO₂ by mineralizing stable carbonate compound with cementitious character
- Rapidly source light metal cations, and accomplish material processing without generating additional CO₂ emissions





Project objectives

Upcycling industrial wastes and CO₂

 To utilize coal combustion and iron/steel processing wastes as precursors/reactant for scalable CO₂ mineralization

Process design

 To develop an integrated, 'bolt-on' technology/process solution for upcycled concrete production incorporating aspects of *Caleaching*, *Ca(OH)*₂ *precipitation*, *mixture formulation*, and *structural shape-stabilization*, while maximizing CO₂ uptake

OPC concrete replacement

 To develop a novel CO₂-negative *upcycled concrete* that is performance-equivalent or superior to OPC-based concrete while maintaining functional and utility equivalence





Process diagram

- Securing reclaimed solid reactants
- Ca-extraction (leaching) within a leaching reactor
- Concentration of leaching solution by capacitive concentrator, followed by Ca(OH)₂ precipitation
- Formulation of a rheologyoptimized slurry







Process diagram

- Shape-stabilization of slurry into the form of a structural section (beam, column, etc.)
- Contacting structural section with flue-gas borne CO₂ within a carbonation chamber → "upcycled concrete" section
- Low-grade heat sourced from flue gas prior to, and following, desulfurization to optimize kinetics





Upcycled concrete production process





Team structure and management







Team: Roles and responsibilities

Role	Responsibilities
<u>PI:</u> G. Sant	 Develop the project's risk register based on project time duration,
	complexity of the technical tasks, and milestones
	 Promote and ensure risk management for the project
	 Ensure proactive responses to all risks and opportunities to ensure
	beneficial outcomes of the project
	Communicate risks and their management to the partners and DOE
	 Monitor and update risk/threat matrix
	• Track and monitor the effectiveness of risk response actions and retire risks
Faculty Co-PIs:	Identify and assess risks
L. Pilon,	Develop response to risks
N. Neithalath	• Communicate risks and response action to the PI, and contribute to the risk
D. Rajagopal	management plan
Project Scientist:	Implement risk management activities
B. Wang,	 Look out for new risks and devise minimization strategies
E. Callagon	 Monitor and update risks for specific activities or milestones
Graduate Students:	Communicate issues in a timely manner to supervisors
TBD	Categorize risks and devise priorities for management
	Report the mitigation strategies and document their effectiveness





Scope of work (Tasks)





Task 1: Project management and planning

- Periodic reports to DOE/NETL as well as manage informal correspondence and collaboration
- Technical briefings to DOE/NETL, and present project results jointly with other project partners at several industry- and DOE-sponsored conferences
- Monitor the project's progress against plan, review and, if necessary, update the project management plan on a frequent basis and report on budget and schedule variances to DOE/NETL



Task 2: Portlandite production by leaching of crystalline iron and steel slags

- Setup a laboratory-scale leaching reactor and establish the leaching kinetics of air-cooled, crystalline slags under different conditions
- Construct and evaluate a capacitive concentrator
- Deliver compiled data on leaching characteristics of the crystalline slags, and process parameters and throughput of the portlandite production process





Subtask 2.1: Slag characterization

- Chemical and mineralogical characterizations (e.g., using XRF, SEM-EDS, XRD) of the following slag types:
 - Crystalline iron
 - Carbon steel
 - Stainless steel
- Leachability and carbonation potential will be assessed based on total alkaline simples oxide contents (e.g., CaO, MgO, Na₂O, etc.)
- Surface area of the granulated slag particles will be measured by BET





Subtask 2.2: Slag leaching kinetics

- Slag leaching kinetics will be evaluated as a function of slag particle size and leaching temperature
- Leaching kinetics will be analyzed based on a diffusioncontrolled model (from leachant analyses and surface area)
- Kinetics will be measured at 3 leaching temperature between 25 and 90 °C to establish an Arrhenius-type model





Subtask 2.3: Optimizing capacitive concentrator

 Fabricate porous carbon electrodes and characterize the capacitive concentrator with leachant solutions from Subtask 2.2 at 20 to 40 °C.



Civil and Environmental Engineering

Task 3: CO₂ mineralization by accelerated carbonation

- Construct custom-built carbonation reactors and identify the appropriate process parameters to maximize the throughput of the CO₂ mineralization process.
- Carbonation characteristics of relevant coal-derived fly ash and leached slag granules, as well as the process conditions for carbonation of the *upcycled concrete* mortar will be delivered as a compiled dataset.

Civil and Environmental Engineering

Task 3: CO₂ mineralization by accelerated carbonation

- Subtask 3.1: Fly ash particulates will be characterized using XRF and SEM-EDS (composition) and XRD (mineralogy), as in Subtask 2.1
- Subtask 3.2: Carbonation studies on blended fly ash, leached slag, and portlandite mixtures to assess their carbonation potential. Proportions may be adjusted by increasing precipitated portlandite content

Civil and Environmental Engineering

Task 3: CO₂ mineralization by accelerated carbonation

- Subtask 3.3: Custom-built carbonation reactors to establish the carbonation kinetics of fly ash, portlandite, and slag, as a function of temperature (45-90 °C) and CO₂ concentration (6-18%). Carbonation level will be determined by TGA.
- Subtask 3.4: Carbonation studies on *upcycled concrete* (equivalent mortars), with blend design and at carbonation conditions from Subtasks 3.2 and 3.3. Optimal proportions (i.e., from a carbonation perspective) will be established.

Civil and Environmental Engineering

Task 4: Upcycled concrete fabrication and properties

- Determine the mixture proportions that optimize the rheology and workability of fresh upcycled concrete formulations (i.e., "mixing ratios" between the fly ash-portlandite-slag particulate blends, additional fine aggregates, water and chemical admixtures (as needed)).
- Develop and identify and optimal shape stabilization process for fabrication of prismatic upcycled concrete geometries
- Mechanical performance of upcycled concrete will be evaluated using standard ASTM protocols

Civil and Environmental Engineering

Subtask 4.1: Rheology characterization and optimization of upcycled concrete mortars

- Rheology of mortars from
 Task 3 will be characterized in terms of yield stress, plastic viscosity, suspension stability
- Dispersants to ensure suitable workability and shape stability
- Suitable shape stabilization process (e.g., extrusion forming, molding, or pressing) will be selected



Civil and Environmental Engineering



Rheology characterization and optimization of upcycled concrete mortars

- Slurry rheology
 - On binder proportions designed to achieve target carbonation levels
 - Yield stress and plastic viscosity as the target parameters
 - Mini slump for rapid assessment

Flow Coefficient
$$(\kappa) = \sqrt{\frac{A_{ms}}{\tau_y \ X \ \mu_p}}$$

 $\kappa_i \ge$



Linking particle characteristics to rheology

- Suspensions at solid loadings close to maximum packing are very sensitive to operating conditions
- Packing of particles and packing characteristics (e.g., number density, SSA) related to rheology
- Provides a means to design particle characteristics (e.g., careful size distribution of components, grinding) for desired rheology that help form construction elements



Civil and Environmental Engineering

Subtask 4.1: Rheology characterization and optimization of upcycled concrete mortars

- Low workability (stiff mixtures)
 - Stabilization by compaction/pressing into the molds (e.g., concrete blocks)
- Intermediate workability
 - Extrusion into structural shapes (e.g., beams, pipes, poles)
- Highly flowable mixtures
 - Casting
 - Rheology control to prevent segregation



Civil and Environmental Engineering

Subtask 4.2: Concrete formulation, shape stabilization, property characterization

- Develop formulations and material proportions for optimal upcycled concrete mixtures
- Mortars will be formed into prismatic samples using shape stabilization method in Subtask 4.1



Laboratory tests

Shape stabilization based on application





Forming structural shapes using

- Mixtures with desired rheology used as binders in the formation of structural shapes for carbonation
- Fine and coarse aggregates for volumetric stability
- Determination of adequate paste volume fraction (film thickness on particles) to ensure binding capacity
- Mixing procedure to ensure homogeneity and molding into structural shapes







Stabilizing structural shapes

- Rheology modification of binders so as to obtain desired workability
- Workability dictates the stabilization method
- Relating the flow coefficient to the method of shape stabilization



Laboratory tests

Shape stabilization method based on application

Civil and Environmental Engineering

Subtask 4.2: Concrete formulation, shape stabilization, property characterization

- Mechanical property analyses (e.g., flexural strength) following ASTM
- Engineering properties of upcycled concrete
 - Compressive strength
 - Flexural strength
 - Modulus of elasticity
 - Fracture properties







Mixture refinement and optimization

- Mechanical properties to refine the mixture
- A target flexural strength > 7 MPa for the carbonated pastes
- If needed, strength enhancement procedures will be employed
 - Better particle packing
 - Additives such as sodium silicate
 - Refining carbonation parameters to increase carbonation efficiency





Task 5: Process design and scalability assessment

- Establish process design for laboratory-scale, integrated upcycled concrete production system, and for its industrial scale-up
- Detailed design for the laboratory-scale demonstrated system to be constructed and tested in **Task 6** will be delivered



Task 5: Process design and scalability assessment

- Subtask 5.1 (Component selection and design): Identify the characteristics and specification of each component, with focus on the design and performance of capacitive concentrator and leaching reactor. Equipment required for assembling a laboratory-scale system is identified.
- Subtask 5.2 (System design and process optimization): Create a system design that integrated the individual components of the *upcycled concrete* process





Task 6: System evaluation

- Construct a laboratory-scale system to demonstrate the integrated *upcycled concrete* process.
- Report of all performance data collected during experimental test runs, including CO₂ uptake, mass flow rate, production throughput, energy consumption, etc. will be delivered.





Subtask 6.1: System procurement/construction

- Procure required equipment components from **Subtask 5.1**, and assemble a laboratory-scale test system from **Subtask 5.2**.
- Procure simulated coal-fired power plant flue gas.
- Establish operating procedures and test plan





Subtask 6.2: Integrated laboratory-scale tests

- Conduct test runs with the laboratory-scale system to evaluate the integrated *upcycled concrete* process
- Perform 3 test runs using simulated coal-fired power plant flue gas (e.g., typical concentration on the order of: 14 +/- 2% CO₂, 6.2 +/- 1% H₂O, 3.4 +/- 0.5% O₂, 20-120 ppm SO₂, 75-250 ppm NO_x, 74.5 +/- 2% N₂) for a 12-24 h duration
- Produce upcycled concrete with 3 different (target) CO₂ uptake levels



Subtask 7.1: Scalability assessment and economic feasibility study

- Technical and economic feasibility study to provide detailed accounting of capital costs, operation and maintenance costs and RSP relative to existing markets for the scaled-up process
- Conceptual design for coupling the upcycled concrete production process with coal-fired power plant
- Market assessment, including all revenue streams, assumed unit costs, current and projected market volume and value, and estimated quantity of CO₂ utilized.
- Deliver compiled results of the techno-economic feasibility study, including a high-level, return-on-investment (ROI) analysis based on experimental and modeling results.





Subtask 7.2: Lifecycle analysis

- Lifecycle analysis (LCA) that considers both material and process aspects.
- System design from **Subtask 5.2** will be further optimized for minimizing the LCA impacts
- LCA considering both materials and processing aspects will be conducted to identify environmental benefits
- Quantify net CO₂ avoidance offered



Subtask 7.3: Technology gap analysis

- Technology Gap Analysis to review the state of upcycled concrete process development.
- Estimations of performance, cost, emission, market, safety metrics per NETL's guidelines for carbon utilization and storage technologues
- Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of critical process components (portlandite production by leaching and precipitation, CO₂ mineralization), upcycled concrete fabrication)
- Summarize commercially-available equipment components and potential vendors





Risk management approach

- Close monitoring of scientific/financial aspects by PI and a project manager
- *Risk register* will help identification and mitigation of risks







Technical risks

Description of Risk	Probability	Impact	Risk Management Mitigation and Response Strategies
Slags show characteristics of slow leaching kinetics	Low	Moderate	Improve the kinetics by increasing interfacial surface area between slag particles and leachant, and dilution ratios, and slightly acidifying the leachant.
Unsatisfactory throughput or output concentration level from the capacitive concentrator	Moderate	Low	Construct a multi-concentrator system with concentrators in series (to improve concentration performance) or in parallel (to improve throughput). Further, use waste heat from power plant to accelerate precipitation by actively evaporating the concentrated solution.
Fly ash shows slow carbonation kinetics and/or low carbonation potential due to low calcium content	Moderate	Low	Increase the Ca(OH) ₂ /fly ash ratio, and/or secure calcium-rich fly ash (i.e., higher CaO content)

Gaurav N. Sant





Technical risks (cont.)

Description of Risk	Probability	Impact	Risk Management Mitigation and Response Strategies
Concrete slurry shows unsatisfactory workability	Moderate	Moderate	Adjust workability with rheology modifiers (e.g., viscosity modifiers, and dispersants)
Carbonated concrete shows unsatisfactory strength	Low	Moderate	Enhance strength by pre-carbonation densification to reduce porosity reduction, and/or add inorganic binders to the formulation





Resource risks

Description of Risk	Probability	Impact	Risk Management Mitigation and Response Strategies
Budget shortfalls	Moderate	High	Closely monitor project costs and scope, and set spending priorities based on timelines and milestones.
Inexperienced staff	Low	Low	Schedule sufficient time for training of new staff and technicians
Losing critical staff at crucial point of the project	Low	Moderate	Training multi-skilled, cross-trained students and staff and establish clear standard operating procedures
Delayed delivery of supply	Moderate	Low	Anticipate supplies, front order, and plan for potential delays





Management risks

Description of Risk	Probability	Impact	Risk Management Mitigation and Response Strategies
Scope creep	Low	High	Set clear priorities and assign resources based on timelines and milestones defined in project management plan. Maintain clear communications between teams and DOE.
Intellectual property risks	Moderate	Moderate	Ensure that the project participants are knowledgeable of relevant IP agreements, and uniqueness of the research outcomes
Delayed finish of earlier activities	Moderate	Moderate	Closely track project progress and develop alternative pathways when delays emerge. Ensure timely delivery of independent research activities when delays emerge.





Project timeline

Tacks	Βι	ıdge	et Po	erio	d 1	Bu	dget	Perio	Budget Period 3			
IdSKS	4/2	1/17	7 - 6	/30	/18	7/1	/18 -	6/30	/19	7/1/19 - 3/31/20		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q 9	Q10	Q11	Q12
Task 1.0 – Project Management and												
Planning												
Subtask 1.1 - Project management and												
planning												
Subtask 1.2 - Briefing and reports												
Task 2.0 – Portlandite production by												
leaching of crystalline iron and steel slags												
Subtask 2.1 - Slag characterization												
Subtask 2.2 - Slag leaching kinetics												
Subtask 2.3 - Optimizing capacitive												
concentrator												
Subtask 2.4 - Portlandite precipitation												
characteristics												





Project timeline (cont.)

Tasks	Βι	udge	et Po	erio	d 1	Bu	dget	Perio	Budget Period 3			
IdSKS	4/:	1/17	7 - 6	/30	/18	7/1	/18 -	6/30	/19	7/1/1	l9 - 3/	31/20
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12
Task 3.0 – CO ₂ mineralization by												
accelerated carbonation												
Subtask 3.1 - Characterization and												
carbonation potential assessment of fly												
ash												
Subtask 3.2 - Carbonation potential of												
blended fly ash and portlandite												
Subtask 3.3 - Carbonation kinetics in												
simulated flue gas mixture												
Subtask 3.4 - Carbonation of upcycled												
concrete mortars (optimized blends)												





Project timeline (cont.)

Tooko	Βι	udg	get F	Perio	d 1	Bu	dget	Perio	d 2	Budget Period 3		
IdSKS	4/	1/1	L7 -	6/30)/18	7/1	./18 -	6/30)/19	7/1/1	L9 - 3/	31/20
	Q1	Q	2 Q3	Q4	Q5	Q 6	Q7	Q8	Q 9	Q10	Q11	Q12
Task 4.0 – Upcycled concrete fabrication												
and properties												
Subtask 4.1 - Rheology characterization												
and optimization of upcycled concrete												
mortars												
Subtask 4.2 - Concrete formulation, shape												
stabilization, property characterization												
Task 5.0 – Process design and scalability												
assessment												
Subtask 5.1 - Component selection and												
design/modifications												
Subtask 5.2 - System design and process												
optimization												





Project timeline (cont.)

Tacks	Βι	ıdge	et P	erio	d 1	Bu	dget	Perio	Budget Period 3			
IdSKS	4/:	1/1	7 - 6	/30	/18	7/1	./18 -	6/30	/19	7/1/1	l9 - 3/	31/20
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q 8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12
Task 6.0 – System demonstration												
Subtask 6.1 - Component procurement												
and system construction												
Subtask 6.2 - Integrated laboratory-scale												
testing												
Task 7.0 – Final Technology Assessment												
Subtask 7.1 - Scalability assessment and												
economic feasibility study												
Subtask 7.2 - Lifecycle analysis												
Subtask 7.3 - Technology Gap Analysis												





Milestones

Milestones		udg	et P	erio	d 1	Bu	dget	Perio	Budget Period 3			
winestones	4/:	1/1	7 - 6	5/30	/18	7/1	./18 -	6/30	/19	7/1/1	l9 - 3/	31/20
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12
a. Updated Project Management Plan												
b. Kickoff meeting												
c. Establish the leaching characteristics (i.e.,												
rate and extent) for 3 unique slag types												
d. Achieve 3 different CO ₂ uptake levels												
(between 0.06-0.12 grams of CO ₂ per gram												
of solid reactants) with blended fly ash and												
portlandite formulations												
e. Establish the rheology characteristics for												
upcycled concrete formulations with 3												
different fly ash-portlandite blends												
f. Establish shape-stable, upcycled concrete												
formulation with compressive strength \geq 15												
MPa												





Milestones (cont.)

Milestones		Jdg	et P	erio	d 1	Bu	dget	Perio	Budget Period 3			
IVIIIestones	4/:	1/1	.7 - 6	5/30	/18	7/1	/18 ·	- 6/30)/19	7/1/1	L9 - 3/	31/20
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q 6	Q7	Q8	Q 9	Q10	Q11	Q12
g. Establish process design for lab-scale test												
unit with production throughput between												
10-to-100 kg of upcycled concrete per day												
h. Complete construction of lab-scale test												
unit with production throughput between												
10-to-100 kg of upcycled concrete per day												
i. Achieve production throughput between												
10-to-100 kg upcycled concrete per day,												
with CO ₂ uptake between 0.06-0.12 grams												
of CO ₂ per gram of reactants												
j. Establish scalability, lifecycle CO ₂ footprint												
and techno-economic feasibility												
k. Technology Gap Analysis												
QR. Quarterly RPPR report												

Gaurav N. Sant





Success criteria and decision points



NETL Kick-off meeting





Project Funding Profile

	Budget I	Period 1	Budget	Period 2	Budget F	Period 3	Total Project		
	04/01/17-	06/30/18	07/01/18	-06/30/19	07/01/19-	03/31/20	IOLAI	rojeci	
	Gov't	Cost	Gov't	Cost	Gov't	Cost	Gov't	Cost	
	Share	Share	Share	Share	Share	Share	Share	Share	
UCLA	\$344,436	\$155,533	\$274,142	\$119,467	\$181,421	\$25,000	\$799,999	\$300,000	
ASU	\$75,155	\$18,480	\$66,541	\$15 <i>,</i> 583	\$58 <i>,</i> 304	\$15,937	\$200,000	\$50,000	
Total	\$419,591	\$174,013	\$340,683	\$135,050	\$239,725	\$40,937	\$999,999	\$350,000	
Cost									
Share	71%	29%	72%	28%	85%	15%	74%	26%	





Budget Period/Fiscal Year Project Costing Profile

Budget Period	Fiscal Year	Performing Organization	Planned Costs	
			Federal Share	Non-Federal Share
1	FY17	UCLA	\$156,819	\$56,382
1	FY18	UCLA	\$187,618	\$99,151
2	FY18	UCLA	\$76,568	\$20,492
2	FY19	UCLA	\$197,574	\$98,975
3	FY19	UCLA	\$83,177	\$-
3	FY20	UCLA	\$98,244	\$25,000
1	FY17	ASU	\$30,062	\$4,620
1	FY18	ASU	\$45,093	\$13,860
2	FY18	ASU	\$16,635	\$-
2	FY19	ASU	\$49,906	\$15,583
3	FY19	ASU	\$19,435	\$5,312
3	FY20	ASU	\$38,869	\$10,625