

# Scale-Up and Testing of Advanced Polaris Membranes at TCM (DE-FE0031591)

Vincent Batoon, Adam Borsaly, Carlos Casillas, Ivy Huang, Thomas Hofmann, Jay Kniep, Tim Merkel (PI), Craig Paulaha, Witopo Salim

> Carbon Management Research Project Review Meeting Capture from Power Generation / Pilot-Scale Research August 16, 2022

## **Project Overview**

**Award name:** Scale-Up and Testing of Advanced Polaris Membrane CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Technology (DE-FE0031591)

Project period: 8/1/18 to 9/30/22

Funding: \$8.2 million DOE; \$2.6 million cost share (\$10.8 million total)

DOE program manager: Bruce Lani (BP1), Isaac "Andy" Aurelio (BP1/2), Andy O'Palko (BP3)

Participants: MTR, TCM, Trimeric, CCSI2

**Project scope:** Design, build, and operate a system at TCM with Gen 2 Polaris modules

**Project plan**: The project is organized in three phases:

- **Phase 1** Design system, fabricate membrane modules
- **Phase 2** Build and install system at TCM
- **Phase 3** Operate system, analyze results, decommissioning



### **Background: This Project in Context**

#### Self-Assembly Isoporous Supports (DE-FE31596; Hans Wijmans)

- Transformational new membrane (TRL 3 4)
- Reduces membrane area and energy use



urface of Conventional Support

Surface of Isoporous Support



#### Pilot Testing at TCM, Norway (DE-FE0031591; Tim Merkel)

- Gen 2 Polaris<sup>™</sup> membrane
- Low pressure-drop modules
- Containerized skid, 10 TPD pilot scale



2021

2022

2023

• Phase I – Design 150 TPD pilot; secure host site

2020

• Phase II – FEED and permitting

2019

2018

Phase III – Fabricate, install and operate (TRL 7 – 8)



2025

2024

### Background: Membrane and Module Improvements



- Moving from Gen 1 to Gen 2 Polaris cuts membrane area by ~50% (~\$12/tonne CO<sub>2</sub>)
- Lower pressure drop of new modules saves 10 MW<sub>e</sub> fan power on 500 MW<sub>e</sub> system



## **Project Objectives**

- Scale-up Gen 2 Polaris membrane packaged in lowpressure-drop, low-cost module stacks and test at TCM
- Demonstrate "containerized" skid as final form factor for future large-scale systems
- Test pilot system (~10 TPD) over range of CO<sub>2</sub> capture rates and feed CO<sub>2</sub> content for TEA input
- Update overall process TEA



## **Primary Objective: Module-Scale Up**

Plate-and-Frame Prototype with Gen-1 Polaris (Tested at NCCC/B&W/UT-Austin 2015-18)



Verified low-pressure drop in field testing

Containerized Module Stacks with Gen-2 Polaris (2021/22 TCM Field Test)



Low pressure drop, plus optimized flow distribution and reduced cost (valves, etc)



## **TCM Site Preparations**

#### View of TCM with 3<sup>rd</sup> site in foreground



- Technology Centre Mongstad (TCM) is a world-leading site for evaluation of carbon capture technologies
- TCM began development of the "3<sup>rd</sup>" site for testing of emerging capture technologies in 2019
- TCM assisted MTR with installation of the pilot system at the site in spring/summer 2021, and with operation in fall 2021/winter 2022



## **MTR System General Arrangement**

- Membrane system general arrangement drawings finalized in BP2 (June 2020)
- Membrane "container" with 4 stacks on top floor (full container would be 6-8 stacks); blower/pumps on bottom floor
- Factory Acceptance Test (FAT) of system completed in March 2021
- Skids shipped to Norway in spring 2021, and installed at TCM in summer 2021





### **MTR System at TCM**



MTR

System has a single membrane container. Future larger systems will have multiples of this unit building block

## **Test System Design**

- 2 stage system with air sweep step (stream 6) and varying feed CO<sub>2</sub> content using recycle (stream 9)
- TCM slipstream flow rate of 800 to 2,400 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h
- 50% to >90% CO<sub>2</sub> capture rates possible
- Tests the membrane portion of the capture process, but not the CO<sub>2</sub> purification unit (CPU)





### **Test Plan for TCM Campaign**

- Received input from DOE, TCM and CCSI2 team on test plan
  - Adjustable test parameters included: flue gas flow rate, sweep air flow rate, and  $CO_2$  concentration to 1<sup>st</sup> stage membrane
  - Used these variables to explore capture rates from 50% to >90% and to evaluate pressure drop in planar modules
  - Two different module configurations with different pressure drop characteristics were examined
  - Metal coupon testing of membrane generated streams also conducted: carbon steel, Ni plated CS, 304 SS, 316 SS, Al 6061, etc



### **TCM Test Data: Purity/Recovery**



- With ~14% CO<sub>2</sub> feed gas, a single stage membrane produces 40-55% CO<sub>2</sub> and a second stage yields >85% CO<sub>2</sub>
- Purity/recovery tradeoff is typical membrane behavior; details are useful for future system design
- In a complete system, the second stage permeate would be sent to the CPU for liquefaction producing >99.9% CO<sub>2</sub> ready for pipelines



### **TCM Test Data: Effect of Air Sweep**



- Air sweep on a 2<sup>nd</sup> step membrane module can be used to increase capture rate at low cost
- The TCM campaign was slipstream testing, so the CO<sub>2</sub>laden air was measured and vented; in a real system, it would be recycled to the combustion process
- Results are consistent with prior sweep testing at B&W and NCCC



### **TCM Test Data: Pressure Drop**



- A key feature of the MTR planar modules is lower pressure drop compared to other module configurations
- Lower pressure drop means less fan power is needed to push gas through the membrane modules
- Pressure drop used in TEA is 1.5 psi (10.4 kPa); actual performance is much lower!
- Data for different modules falls on a single trendline indicating good flow distribution



### **Current Project Status**

- Testing at TCM finished March 1, 2022
- Decommissioning of the system was completed in June
- The system is now being stored at the port of Bergen awaiting future industrial test opportunities





- Currently, we are working on the project TEA with Trimeric
- This study, as well as the technology gap analysis and the EH&S reports, are on schedule for Sept 30 completion

## **Lessons Learned**

- Because of supply chain issues, module housings were aluminum instead of plastic or stainless steel (prior systems)
- After running at TCM, housings showed significant aluminum sulfate corrosion presumably due to water + SO<sub>2</sub> condensate



#### After TCM Testing



- Surface analysis of membranes (SEM/EDS, XPS, ICP-MS) confirmed the presence of aluminum and ammonium (bi)sulfate, which lowered membrane permeance
- Running in parallel at TCM, the TDA/MTR hybrid system with all SS housings showed no membrane fouling;
- Also, a new module installed mid-campaign with fouling-resistant Polaris formulation was unaffected by the corrosion



## **Next Steps**

### Conceptual Drawing of MTR Large Pilot at WITC



The modular membrane capture approach demonstrated at TCM will be used on the larger 150 TPD MTR system under construction at the Wyoming Integrated Test Center (WITC) - Dry Fork Station (DFS) power plant



# Summary

- A planar module test system was designed, built, installed and operated at the new TCM 3<sup>rd</sup> site
- ~6 months of testing was focused on varying capture rates and evaluating different module configurations; completed in March 2022
- Performance confirmed expected purity/recovery tradeoff and low pressure drop of planar modules
- Lessons learned included need to protect membranes from capture system corrosion; component and membrane material solutions
- Project is nearing completion with TEA and other reports on schedule for Sept 30 end date



## **Acknowledgements**

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FE0031591.

#### Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



### EXTRA SLIDES -



### **Background: Development Timeline**



![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Presentation Outline**

- Project overview
- Background and objectives
- Progress to date
- Future plans
- Summary

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **TCM Test Data: Pressure Drop 2**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

- During the TCM campaign, a new module configuration was installed on Stage 2 for the final two months of operation
- It shows even lower pressure drop with equal throughput
- New module offers further energy savings (opex) or smaller size (capex) at same power usage

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **Role of Participants**

- MTR (Tim Merkel, Jay Kniep, Thomas Hofmann) project lead and liaison with DOE; responsible for membrane system design, construction, installation and operation; will lead data analysis and all reporting to DOE
- TCM (Kjetil Hantveit, Sundus Akhter) host site for the field test; with MTR, will coordinate system installation, operation, and data analysis
- Trimeric (Ray McKaskle) Responsible for membrane capture process techno-economic analysis (TEA)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Budget Period 3 Milestones**

Milestone Number	Task/ Subtask No.	Milestone Description	Planned Completion Date (*)	Verification Method
Phase 3 / Budget Period 3				
11	8.1	Test System Commissioned on Flue Gas	7/31/21	Quarterly Report
12	8.3	Parametric Tests Completed, Long Term Performance Testing Begins	12/31/21	Quarterly Report
13	8.4	Long Term Performance Testing Completed	2/28/22	Quarterly Report
14	10	Complete Techno-Economic Analysis Report	9/30/22	Topical Report
15	11	Complete Technology Gap Analysis Report	9/30/22	Topical Report
16	12	Complete Environmental Health and Safety Risk Assessment Report	9/30/22	Topical Report
17	M1	Submit Final Report	12/31/22	Final Report

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Budget Period 3 Scope of Work**

- Main objective of BP3 is operation of the test system; tasks include:
  - Task 8: Operate Membrane Test System
  - Task 9: Decommissioning and Site Clean-Up
  - Task 10: Refine Techno-Economic Analysis
  - Task 11: Technology Gap Analysis
  - Task 12: Environmental Health and Safety Risk Assessment
- BP3 budget: \$2,614,694
  - \$1,333,694 Federal, \$1,281,000 Cost Share

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **TCM Site Preparations**

#### 3<sup>rd</sup> site with MTR and TDA skids

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Close up view of 3rd site foundation

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

- TCM approved development of the "3<sup>rd</sup>" site for testing of emerging technologies in 2019
- The site was ready for system installation by Fall 2020

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Capture Cost vs Rate and CO<sub>2</sub> Content

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Capture cost is normalized to 60% capture from coal using today's Polaris membranes

- TEA will quantify the impact of capture rate and CO<sub>2</sub> content on costs
- Membrane costs are sensitive to the feed CO<sub>2</sub> content
- Minimum cost is about 20% lower for cement compared to coal
- Membrane cost is less sensitive to capture rate for higher feed CO<sub>2</sub> content; higher capture is more affordable for cement

## **Primary Objective: Module-Scale Up**

### Plate-and-Frame Prototype with Gen-1 Polaris

(Tested at NCCC/B&W/UT-Austin 2015-18)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

Verified low-pressure drop in field testing

#### Planar Module Stacks with Gen-2 Polaris (2021 TCM Field Test)

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

Low pressure drop, plus optimized flow distribution and reduced cost (valves, etc)

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)