


Small Scale Field Test Demonstrating CO₂ sequestration in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer and by CO₂-EOR at Wellington field, Sumner County, Kansas --

W. Lynn Watney and Jason Rush
Kansas Geological Survey
Lawrence, KS 66047

*Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnerships
Annual Review Meeting
October 15-17, 2011
Pittsburgh, PA*

Funding Opportunity Number: DE-FOA-0000441
Contract #FE0006821
\$11,484,499 DOE
\$3.236 million cost share




**KANSAS STATE
UNIVERSITY**



Outline

- Background
- The Participants
- The Plan
- Leveraging Current Research at Wellington Field
- Inject, Monitor, Verification, and Accounting of CO₂





Project Team
Small Scale Field Test – Wellington Field (FE0006821)

<u>Name</u>	<u>Project Job Title</u>	<u>Primary Responsibility</u>
Lynn Watnev	Project Leader, Joint Principal Investigator	Geology, information synthesis, point of contact
Tiraz Birdie	Consulting Engineer	Reservoir engineer, dynamic modeling, synthesis
Jason Rush	Joint Principal Investigator	Geology, static modeling, data integration, synthesis
John Doveton	Co-Principal Investigator	Log petrophysics, geostatistics
Dave Newell	Co-Principal Investigator	Fluid geochemistry
Rick Miller	Geophysicist	2D seismic acquire & interpretation
TBN	Geology Technician	LiDAR support, water well drilling/completion
TBN	Engineering Technician	Assemble and analyze data, report writing
KU Department of Geology		
Michael Taylor	Co-Principal Investigator	Structural Geology, analysis of InSAR and LiDAR
TBN	Graduate Research Assistant	Structural Geology, analysis of InSAR and LiDAR
Kansas State University		
Saugata Datta	Principal Investigator	
TBN	Graduate Research Assistant	Aqueous geochemistry
TBN	3- Undergraduate Research Assistants	
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		
Tom Daley	Co-Principal Investigator	Geophysicist, analysis of crosshole and CASSM data
Jennifer Lewicki	Co-Principal Investigator	Hydrogeology, analysis of soil gas measurements
Barry Freifeld	Co-Principal Investigator	Mechanical Engineer, analysis of U-Tube sampler
Sandia Technologies, Houston		
Dan Collins	Geologist	Manage CASSM and U-Tube operation
David Freeman	Field Engineer	Manage field install of CASSM and U-Tube
Berexco, LLC		
Dana Wreath	VP Berexco	Engineering, Manager of Wellington Field
Randy Kouedele	Reservoir engineer	Engineering
Staff of Wellington Field		field operations
Beredco Drilling team		Mississippian and Arbuckle drilling operations
Abengoa Bioenergy Corp. - Colwich, KS		
Christopher Standlee, Danny Allison		CO2 supply – Colwich Ethanol Facility

Wellington Field

Site of proposed Small Scale Field Test


Top Mississippian Structure, 10 ft C.I.



6 miles

OIL AND GAS FIELDS OF KANSAS

2009



Feb 2011-2013

50 miles

Deep Arbuckle/basement
Test – scheduled for 2nd
quarter 2012

Abengoa Bioenergy
(Colwich ethanol)

Sequestration capacity of Arbuckle
saline aquifer & EOR-CO₂ Mississippian
chert reservoir

WELLINGTON FIELD (Berexco)
(DE-FE0002056) Dec 2009-2013

Small Scale Field Test @ Wellington
(DOE-FOA-441)

Funded 10-1-2011 (through 2015)


Named Fields

- Oil field
- Gas field
- Oil and gas field



Source of CO₂



Abengoa Colwich plant and CO₂ site



- Constructed in 1982, has been upgraded and expanded many times over the years, and is a modern well equipped plant.
- Production capacity of approximately 25 M gallons of ethanol per year and produces over **200 tons per day of raw CO₂**.
- CO₂ was captured, processed and sold for approximately 10 years from this facility.

Wellington Field

3D Multicomponent 3D Seismic survey & 2 basement tests



Tripolite Chert Reservoir at Wellington Field is Analogous to Many Mississippian Oil and Gas Fields in Southern Kansas

Cummulative Oil & Gas in southern Kansas


1,180 million (M) bbls oil +
3,880 Billion (B) cu. ft of natural gas

Comanche	-	14 M +	407 B
Barber	-	70 M +	1500 B
Harper	-	37 M +	304 B
Sumner	-	146 M +	44 B
Kiowa	-	31 M +	576 B
Pratt	-	93 M +	167 B
Kingman	-	95 M +	874 B
Sedgwick	-	108 M +	4 B
Butler	-	582 M +	1 B

 > 88MMBO

 > 1MMBO

 > .5MMBO



Chester
Sandstones

Wellington Field
KGS-Industry-DOE
partnership to examine CO₂-EOR
in Mississippian Tripolite reservoir &
CO₂ sequestration in deep saline Arbuckle

DOE-FE0002056




Gerlach, Sept. 2011

Spivey-Grabs Basil is the largest Mississippian oil field in Kansas with 69 MM BO & 841 BCFG
Produces from the tripolite and could benefit from horizontal drilling and, in later maturity, by CO₂-EOR

Gantt Chart

Small Scale Field Test Wellington Field (FE0006821)


BP1 (Oct. 2011- Sept. 2012), Tasks 1-5



Gantt Chart

Small Scale Field Test Wellington Field (FE0006821)

BP1 (Oct. 2011- Sept. 2012), Tasks 6-12



		Yr 2 - 2013											
		O	N	D	Jan '13	F	M	A	M	J	Jul	A	S
Task 1.	Project Management and Reporting												
	Subtask 1.2.	Program management and reporting											
Task 10.	Pre-injection MVA - establish background (baseline) readings												
	Subtask 10.1	Analysis of INSAR data											
	Subtask 10.2.	Collect and analysis LIDAR data											
	Subtask 10.3.	Shallow ground water sampling and analysis											
	Subtask 10.4.	Soil gas chemistry and CO2 flux sampling and analysis											
	Subtask 10.5.	Head gas & water sampling and analysis - existing Mississippian wells											
	Subtask 10.7.	1st crosshole tomograpahy - pre-injection											
Task 13.	Retrofit Arbuckle Injection Well (#1-28) for MVA Tool Installation												
	Subtask 13.1.	Install CASSM source(s)											
Task 14.	Retrofit Arbuckle Observation Well (#2-28) for MVA Tool Installation												
	Subtask 14.1.	Install U-tube											
	Subtask 14.2.	Install CASSM receiver (applicable for cross-hole tomography)											
	Subtask 14.3.	Install DTPS sensors											
Task 15.	Begin Injection at Arbuckle Injector												
	Subtask 15.1.	CO2 Transportation to Arbuckle Injector											
	Subtask 15.2.	Inject supercritical CO2											
Task 16.	MVA During Injection - Arbuckle CO2 Sequestration												
	Subtask 16.1.	CASSM monitoring											
	Subtask 16.2.	Soil gas chemistry and CO2 flux sampling and analysis											
	Subtask 16.3.	U-tube monitoring											
	Subtask 16.4.	Shallow ground water sampling and analysis											
	Subtask 16.5.	Head gas & water sampling and analysis - existing Mississippian boreholes											
	Subtask 16.6.	LiDAR surveys											
	Subtask 16.7.	InSAR data analysis											
	Subtask 16.8.	Second Crosswell Tomography Halfway Through Injection											
	Subtask 16.9.	Integration of CASSM and Crosswell Tomography											

Small Scale Field Test Wellington Field (FE0006821)
BP2 (Oct. 2012- Sept. 2013)

Start injection in Arbuckle, April '13



			Yr 3 - 2014								
O	N	D	Jan '14	F	M	A	M	J	Jul	A	S

Task 1. Project Management and Reporting

Subtask 1.1. Program management and reporting

Task 15. Continue Injection in Arbuckle

Subtask 18.1. CO2 Transportation to Arbuckle Injector
 Subtask 18.2. Inject supercritical CO2



End Injection in Arbuckle, May '14

Task 16. MVA during injection - CO2 Sequestration site

Subtask 16.1. CASSM monitoring
 Subtask 16.2. Soil gas chemistry and CO2 flux sampling and analysis
 Subtask 16.3. U-tube monitoring
 Subtask 16.4. Shallow ground water sampling and analysis
 Subtask 16.5. Head gas & water sampling and analysis - existing Mississippian wells
 Subtask 16.6. LIDAR surveys
 Subtask 16.7. InSAR data analysis
 Subtask 16.8. 2nd crosshole tomography halfway through injection (optional)
 Subtask 16.9. Integration of CASSM and crosswell tomography

Small Scale Field Test Wellington Field (FE0006821)

BP3 Yr 1 (Oct. 2013- Sept. 2014)

Task 17. Risk Management Related to CO2 Sequestration in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer

Subtask 17.1. Integrate MVA analysis and observations to detect CO2 leakage
 Subtask 17.2. Activate mitigation plans if leakage detected

Task 18. Compare Simulation Results with MVA Data and Analysis and Submit Update of Site Characterization, Modeling, and Monitoring Plan

Subtask 18.1. Revise Geomodel to Improve Match with MVA Data

Task 19. Post injection MVA - Arbuckle CO2 Sequestration

Task 20. Evaluate CO2 Sequestration Potential in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer at Wellington

Task 21. Evaluate Regional CO2 Sequestration Potential in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer in Kansas

Task 22. Recondition Mississippian Boreholes Around Mississippian CO2-EOR injector

Subtask 25.1. Recondition existing boreholes around CO2-EOR injector

Task 23. Equipment Dismantlement

Task 24. CO2 Transported to Mississippian Injector

Subtask 24.1. Transport CO2 to injection borehole
 Subtask 24.2. Inject CO2 at CO2-EOR injection borehole under miscible conditions



Start injection in Mississippian, June '14

Task 25. Monitor Performance of CO2-EOR Pilot

Task 26. Compare Pilot EOR Performance with Model Results

Subtask 26.1. Compare field performance with simulation studies
 Subtask 26.2. Revise geomodel - if necessary
 Subtask 26.3. Update simulation - if necessary

Small Scale Field Test Wellington Field (FE0006821)

BP3 Yr 2 (Oct. 2014- Sept. 2015)

		Yr 4 - 2015											
		O	N	D	Jan '15	F	M	A	M	J	Jul	A	S
Task 1.	Project Management and Reporting												
	Subtask 1.1.	Program management and reporting											
Task 17.	Risk Management Related to CO2 Sequestration in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer												
	Subtask 17.1.	Integrate MVA analysis and observations to detect CO2 leakage											
	Subtask 17.2.	Activate mitigation plans if leakage detected											
Task 19.	Post injection MVA - CO2 sequestration site												
Task 20.	Evaluate CO2 Sequestration Potential in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer at Wellington												
Task 21.	Evaluate regional CO2 Sequestration Potential in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer in Kansas												
Task 24.	CO2 Transported to Mississippian Injector												
	Subtask 24.1.	Truck CO2 to injection well											
	Subtask 24.2.	Inject CO2 at CO2-EOR injection well under miscible conditions											
Task 25.	Monitoring Performance of CO2-EOR Pilot												
Task 26.	Compare Pilot EOR Performance with Model Results												
	Subtask 26.1.	Revise geomodel - if necessary											
Task 27.	Evaluate CO2 Sequestration Potential of CO2-EOR Pilot												
Task 28.	Evaluate Potential of Incremental Oil Recovery and CO2 Sequestration by CO2-EOR - Wellington field												
	Subtask 28.1.	Revise Wellington field geomodel											
	Subtask 28.2.	Use simulation studies to estimate field-wide CO2-EOR potential											
	Subtask 28.3.	Estimate field-wide CO2 sequestration potential of CO2-EOR											
Task 29.	Closure of CO2 Sequestration Project in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer at Wellington field												
	Subtask 29.1	Acquire and process 3D seismic data around Arbuckle injector (#1-28)											
	Subtask 29.2	Interpret newly acquired 3D data and compare with baseline survey											
	Subtask 29.3.	Integrate MVA analysis results with 3D survey to establish CO2 containment											
	Subtask 29.4.	Seek regulatory permission for closure											
Task 30.	Develop a Best Practice Manual:												



End Injection in Mississippian, Feb. '15



Seek Regulatory Closure
September 2015

Current Status

Application Underway for EPA Class VI Injection Well for Geologic Sequestration of CO₂

U.S. EPA, Region 7
Air, RCRA, and Toxics
Kansas City, KS 66101

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 124, 144, 145, 146, and 147
[EPA-HQ-OW-2008-0390 FRL-9232-7]


RIN 2040-AE98

Federal Requirements Under the
Underground Injection Control (UIC)
Program for Carbon Dioxide (CO₂)
Geologic Sequestration (GS) Wells

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.


DATES: This regulation is effective
January 10, 2011. For purposes of
judicial review, this final rule is
promulgated as of 1 p.m., Eastern time
on December 24, 2010, as provided in
40 CFR 23.7.




Wellington Field

Porosity Fence Diagram

Mississippian Tripolitic Chert Oil Reservoir & Arbuckle Saline Aquifer
(Preliminary Petrel model pending integration of 3D seismic)



Inject CO₂ into Lower Arbuckle Near Crest of Dome



Proposed – convert new well, #1-28 to CO₂ “disposal” well in the lower Arbuckle


(in location offset from CO₂-EOR pilot in the Mississippian)

North




Initial geomodel of Arbuckle (porosity & structure)

Cored well (#1-32) & (#1-28), latter to be used to as CO₂ injector



- Inject CO₂ deep in lower Arbuckle porous zone (Gasconade-Gunter)
- Updip (~40 ft relief) movement of CO₂ plume to crest of structure as it degrades
- Keep plume within Arbuckle


Upscaled Average Porosity (effective Φ from NMR) for Arbuckle Group using new well data from KGS #1-32 & #1-28



Upscaled Permeability

in vicinity of KGS #1-32 & #1-28

using geometric mean of k (Coates NMR), porosity used for trend




Injection Scenario – Start on Jan 1, 2011 (for 9 months)


Grid cells 60' by 60'

Total CO₂ injected ~ 40,000 tons

Injection layers – L25 to L30, each ~20 ft thick, 120 ft total




**Porosity Model using 3D depth migrated seismic for
uppermost Arbuckle being used to design and
geosteer a 2000 ft lateral through karst at Bemis-Shutts
Field (under FE0004556, J. Rush, PI)**



**Gaussian Simulation
using vertical & horizontal variograms**

Current DEPTH: 4193 ft at 86.6 degree, 270 degree azimuth, 268 ft from surface location



Follow MVA Recommendations of DOE Tailored, Cost Effective MVA Methodologies



the **ENERGY** lab

BEST PRACTICES for:

Monitoring, Verification, and Accounting of CO₂ Stored in Deep Geologic Formations



First Edition


Monitoring, Verification, and Accounting of CO₂ Stored in Deep Geologic Formations

DOE/NETL-311/081508

January 2009

National Energy Technology Laboratory
www.netl.doe.gov

Optimal Injection and Best Practice Monitoring




- InSAR/LIDAR surface deformation/IRIS seismometers
- Measure soil gas flux and chemistry through series of shallow probes.

- Monitor for tracers, CO₂, inorganics and organics in 12 shallow freshwater wells (in two nests of 6 wells)
- Monitor two deeper wells ~600 ft deep below shallow evaporite cap rock

- Measure for tracers and CO₂ casing head gas and fluid samples from Mississippian wells (if positive, run 2D seismic)
- (Underpressured oil reservoir [900 psi] should trap any vertically migrating CO₂)*

Inject 30 million tonnes of CO₂ into Mississippian chert oil reservoir to demonstrate CO₂-EOR (offset injector from Arbuckle)


Inject 40 million tonnes of CO₂ with SF₆ and krypton tracers into lower Arbuckle saline aquifer and seismically image and sample in situ CO₂ plume development to verify geomodel and simulations



Map showing boreholes that penetrate the Arbuckle saline aquifer in Wellington Field

- Proposed monitoring borehole (#2-28) within 300 ft of the existing #1-28 borehole to be converted into CO₂ injector for small scale field test

- Yellow dot shows estimated size of CO₂ plume after injection of 40,000 tonnes in 120 ft interval of lower Arbuckle based on preliminary simulation results



Map showing boreholes that penetrate into the Mississippian oil reservoir in Wellington Field


- Location of Mississippian boreholes to be monitored during and after CO₂ injection into the Arbuckle
- Location of Mississippian injection borehole and 5-spot pattern of producing boreholes

1 mile


In Situ Monitoring of CO₂ Plume

Example Time Lapse Crosswell Imaging of CO₂ Plumes


Schematic Crosswell



Frio-I 2004




Cranfield 2010



CO₂ Plume

U-Tube In Situ Sampling of CO₂ Plume


- Handling of multiphase fluid collected at high frequency



12/2/2011

Mississippian Reservoir Will Serve as Ideal Trap for Leaking CO₂

- is underpressured (900 psi, 0.25 psi/ft) and blanket-like in distribution
- will act as to capture leaking CO₂ that might be lost from plume
- if detect CO₂, run high resolution 2D seismic to characterize leakage



Monitor Mississippian wells above CO₂ plume in the Arbuckle

DEPARTMENT OF
GEOLOGY

KANSAS STATE
UNIVERSITY
Saugata Datta
Miss Well Monitor


KU KANSAS
GEOLOGICAL
SURVEY
The University of Kansas

Rick Miller
2-D Seismic


Shallow Evaporite Beds as Logged in KGS #1-32

→ Effectively isolates shallow freshwater aquifers from more deeply buried brine aquifer system

GR (black, solid) and caliper (dashed red)
sonic dt (red solid), phi (blue dashed)



Full-waveform sonic




Accumulation chamber soil CO₂ flux measurements



- Open-bottomed chamber placed on soil surface; gas continuously circulated through chamber and infrared gas analyzer (backpack).
- Rate of change of CO₂ concentration in chamber measured. Proportional to soil flux ($\text{g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$).
- Jennifer Lewicki

Soil Gas Sampling



Soil gas sampling




- Probe with slotted end manually driven into ground and allowed to equilibrate ~ 1 hour – sampled into preevacuated chambers
- Chemistry of interest (**bulk gas composition, stable isotopes**)

LiDAR and InSAR to Detect Any Surface Deformation Associated with CO₂ injection

Mike Taylor, University of Kansas


- C-GPS
- IRIS seismometer
- Terra sar x (radar data)
- LiDAR



Simulated vertical displacement (in meter) after 3 years of CO₂ injection (top) without and (below) with a permeable fault intersecting the caprock.



- Injection depth = 6000 ft
- Injection interval = 60 ft thick
- Max pressure ~10 Pa above ambient
- Injection rate = 1 MM tons per year
- Observed surface displacement = 10 mm


Modeling Ground Deformation at In Salah



Coupled reservoir-geomechanical analysis of CO₂ injection at In Salah, Algeria (CO₂ sequestration Project)
Rutqvista, Vasco, Myera (2009)

Repeat 3D seismic survey above Arbuckle injection well at closure of project






KGS-DOE-BEREXCO CO2 INJECTION MODEL

Trace overlay/color underlying: P Velocity
Scales: Horizontal 1000.0 Vertical 400.0 ft

- Can seismic methods detect the CO2 plume in injection zone in the lower Arbuckle?
- Modeled CO2 plume using Gassman fluid substitution equation
- Assume 50% water saturation post injection
- Answer is YES prior to having inversion modeling done




Before injection

**Post Injection
showing detectable gas effect**

Summary



- Start Date: October 1, 2011
- Inject Arbuckle: April, 2013
- Inject Mississippian oil reservoir: June, 2014
- End Date: September, 2015
- The Participants: KU/KGS, KSU, LBNL, Sandia Technology, Berexco, LLC, Abengoa Bioenergy, Tiraz Birdie – Consultant, Lawrence, KS
- Mississippian reservoir underpressured, blanket-like, 0.25 psi/ft (900 psi), located above Arbuckle injection to trap leaked CO₂
- Possible use operation of Mississippian field for post-project monitoring (offered by Berexco who operates unitized field)
- Separate, offset pilot CO₂ for EOR evaluation in Mississippian reservoir
- Leveraging current research at Wellington Field, site of extensive aquifer, caprock, and oil reservoir characterization that began December 2009.
- Injection & Monitoring, Verification, and Accounting of CO₂ will be evaluated as appropriateness and cost-effectiveness for MVA in Kansas with potential to be utilized by local petroleum industry.



Acknowledgements & Disclaimer

Acknowledgements

- *The work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) under Grant Number DE-FE0006821, W.L. Watney and Jason Rush, Joint PIs. Project is managed and administered by the Kansas Geological Survey/KUCR at the University of Kansas and funded by DOE/NETL and cost-sharing partners.*

Disclaimer

- *This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.*