

## **P-300/ESD02-006**

### **JOINT GEOPHYSICAL IMAGING**

**G. Michael Hoversten**

Contact: 510/486-5085, [gmhoversten@lbl.gov](mailto:gmhoversten@lbl.gov)

#### **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

The objective of the Joint Geophysical Imaging project is to ultimately develop formal joint geophysical imaging algorithms for simultaneously inverting different types of geophysical data—to find a common, self-consistent earth model. The initial stages of this work have concentrated on demonstrating a methodology for combining time-lapse changes in electric conductivity and compressional- and shear- wave velocity with a detailed rock-properties model, to produce quantitative estimates of the change in reservoir pressure and fluid saturations.

#### **APPROACH**

Crosswell electromagnetic (EM) and seismic data were acquired during CO<sub>2</sub> injection into the Lost Hills Oil field. Data were recorded before and during CO<sub>2</sub> injection. From well log data, a detailed rock-properties model was developed that related changes in the electrical and seismic properties of the reservoir to changes in pressure and fluid saturations. The rock-properties model was used to constrain inversion of the time-lapse data sets to produce time-lapse changes in the seismic compressional, shear, and electrical conductivity as CO<sub>2</sub> was injected.

These time-lapse changes in geophysical parameters were combined with the rock-properties model to produce changes in reservoir pressure and fluid saturations (including changes in hydrocarbon gas, water, and CO<sub>2</sub> saturations). A critical step was to first remove the effects of the reservoir pressure and water-saturation changes by combining the EM and shear-wave velocity changes. Once the effects of reservoir pressure and water saturation changes were stripped off, the changes in acoustic velocity were used to map CO<sub>2</sub> saturation changes within the reservoir.

#### **ACCOMPLISHMENTS/ SIGNIFICANCE OF FINDINGS**

The newly developed procedure for unraveling the combined effects of reservoir pressure and fluid saturation changes produced a predicted change in CO<sub>2</sub> content in the reservoir oil. This change in CO<sub>2</sub> content is substantially better than that which can be obtained by traditional predictions (based on changes in geophysical parameters alone). Figure 1 shows the changes in CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in oil compared to the changes in compressional velocity and electrical conductivity between the two observations wells used in the survey. There is a clear improvement in spatial resolution, along with accurate quantitative prediction of the CO<sub>2</sub> volume in place.

#### **RELATED PUBLICATIONS**

Hoversten, G.M., P. Milligan, J. Byun, J. Washbourne, L.C. Knauer, and P. Harness, Crosswell electromagnetic and seismic imaging: An examination of coincident surveys at a steam flood project. Geophysics, 2003 (in press); Berkeley Lab

Report LBNL-48703.

Hoversten, G.M., R. Gritto, J. Washbourne, and T.M. Daley, Pressure and fluid saturation prediction in a multicomponent reservoir, using combined seismic and electromagnetic imaging. Geophysics, 2003 (in press); Berkeley Lab Report LNBL- 51281.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Support for this work was provided by the Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy, Office of Coal and Power Systems, Office of Oil, Gas, and Shale Technologies, through the National Energy Technology Laboratory, under U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.